

# APPENDICES A-E FOR THE DRAFT MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN



**August 2019**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Appendix A – 1986 Stony Brook Study

Appendix B- 2003 Southampton College Study

Appendix C- NYSDEC Inlet Management Permit and Emergency Authorizations

APPENDIX D - Legislative Acts of the State of New York

APPENDIX E – Implementation Timeline

## APPENDIX A

### 1986 Stony Brook Study

# Stony Brook

Office of Research Administration  
State University of New York at Stony Brook  
Stony Brook, New York 11794-4466  
telephone: (516) 246-7935

January 7, 1986

Mr. David Emilita  
Town Planner  
Town of Southampton  
116 Hampton Road  
Southampton, New York 11968

RE: Southampton Town Resolution # 746  
(local file # 431-2413a)

Dear Mr. Emilita:

Enclosed please find the final written project report for the project entitled: "Dynamics of Mecox Inlet". This completes Dr. Zarillo's obligations under the agreement.

Please forward final payment on the grant to:

Mr. Robert G. Parr  
Secretary-Treasurer  
P.O. Box 9  
Albany, New York 12201

Sincerely,

*Marie Murphy*

Marie Murphy  
Associate for Sponsored Programs

Enclosures

xc: Dr. G. Zarillo  
Dr. J. Schubel  
Ms. R. Tompkins  
file

RECEIVED  
'86 JAN 23 PM 1:17  
OFFICE OF SUPERVISOR  
TOWN OF SOUTHAMPTON

DYNAMICS OF MECOX INLET AND  
RESULTING EFFECTS ON ADJACENT BEACHES

Final Report to the Town of Southampton  
83

Gary A. Zarillo

and

George L. Smith

Marine Sciences Research Center  
State University of New York  
Stony Brook, New York 11794-5000

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Introduction.....	1
Scope of Work.....	2
Seasonal Beach Changes.....	4
Process-Response Study.....	7
Results of Process-Response Study.....	8
Analytical and Numerical Models.....	12
Analytical Model Results.....	13
The Numerical Model.....	15
The Grid System.....	15
Forcing the Model.....	16
Other Input Parameters.....	16
Sediment Transport Predictions.....	16
Results of Tidal and Sediment Transport Predictions.....	17
Results for the Present Situation.....	17
Results for Plans III and IV.....	18
Results for Plans V and VI.....	19
Results for Plans VII and VIII.....	19
Summary.....	20
Recommendations.....	24

## Introduction

Physical and geological processes controlling tidal inlet dynamics include tidal currents, wave action, wave-generated nearshore currents, sediment transport and shoaling patterns, and episodic storms. These processes interact to not only control inlet hydraulics, but also can exert significant influence over adjacent beach and nearshore areas. The combined effect of these processes is additionally complicated due to their variability on time scales from hours to months.

In addition to processes, which determine inlet dynamics on a relatively short time scale, other factors such as the long-term rate of sea-level rise, long-term rate of shoreline recession and rate of sediment supply determine how an inlet-bay system and surrounding beaches will evolve with time. Therefore, in order to successfully develop a management plan for the Mecox Inlet-Bay system it is necessary to understand both the effects of processes operating on a short to intermediate basis (days to months) and the effects of factors controlling the longer-term (years) trends of shoreline change. It is particularly important to make a clear distinction between short-term variability of the beach, inlet and bay and long-term permanent changes. A single episode of beach erosion or accretion does not imply a permanent change or long-term trend. This distinction is particularly important for inlet-influenced shorelines, which are usually subject to greater variability than shorelines where no inlets exist. Permanent change to a shoreline can only be viewed as a long-term average of many short-term fluctuations.

The overall goal of the work completed for the Town of Southampton has three parts: 1) to provide a basic understanding of hydraulic and sediment transport processes operating at the Mecox Inlet-Bay system and to assess the

immediate effects of these processes on adjacent beach areas, 2) to determine whether short-term effects of the inlet on adjacent beaches have led to any long-term impacts which are resolvable from long-term changes of nearby shoreline areas, that are not immediately influenced by an inlet, 3) and to develop a set of guidelines for controlling man-made openings of Mecox Inlet that will minimize adverse impacts on the adjacent beach areas. Within the context of these goals the management of Mecox Bay is also considered in terms of reducing bay water levels and promoting adequate flushing of the bay to enhance water quality.

#### Scope of Work

In order to meet the goals outlined above work completed for the Town of Southhampton was completed in three areas. Firstly, a program of field measurements was designed to determine how the Mecox Inlet-Bay system functions on a short to intermediate term basis. This part of the study has two components. A network of beach profiles extending from the dune face to the mean low water line was established and monitored for an eight-month period. The beach profiles were used to determine the magnitude of the seasonal beach cycle due to the varying frequency of storms between winter and summer. The second component of the field program consisted of a week-long process-response study corresponding with a man-made inlet opening at Mecox Bay (Sept. 10 to 17, 1985). This part of the study, including measurements of inlet hydraulics and beach volume change was designed to determine the immediate effects of Mecox Inlet on the adjacent shoreline.

The second area of work includes the use of an analytical and numerical model of inlet-bay hydraulics. Both models were used to simulate tidal flow in the inlet and tide-level fluctuations in Mecox Bay under open-inlet

conditions. The goal of this part of the study is to develop a predictive tool that could be used to test different inlet configurations for potential impact on the beach and potential for enhanced flushing of Mecox Bay. The analytical model was used as a preliminary step to assess overall hydraulic conditions of Mecox Inlet and to select possible alternative configurations to be tested with the more comprehensive numerical model. The numerical model provides much more detail on flow conditions within the inlet and was therefore coupled with a sediment transport model. The sediment transport model was used to assess whether Mecox Inlet under several different inlet configurations would tend to export or import sand from the littoral zone. In this way the impact of each proposed inlet configuration could be ranked according to potential for accelerating beach erosion.

The third phase of the work includes a study of long-term rates of shoreline changes in Southampton. Shoreline changes that take place over a number of years cannot be predicted from shorter-term variations measured with beach profile. The only way to establish such changes is by comparing shorelines from aerial photographs and maps widely separated in time. In order for the results to be meaningful, however, the change in shoreline position must be greater than measurement errors associated with using photographs and maps. Despite the difficulty in determining long term shoreline changes, this part of the study provides important information regarding the long-term impact of inlets on the beach. In addition there has been no attempt at a quantitative study of shoreline change in the Southampton area or other sections of Long Island's south shore since Taney's (1961) report twenty-five years ago.

## Seasonal Beach Changes

Seasonal variation of beach in the vicinity of Mecox Inlet was measured using a network of thirteen beach profiles (Fig. 1). The network includes approximately 1.5 kms (0.9 miles) of beach centered on the area where the inlet is frequently cut. The profiles are spaced approximately 100 m (330 ft) apart and extend from the seaward dune face to the mean low water line. The landward position of each beach profile was located with a temporary bench mark established using rod and transit surveying methods. The elevation of each temporary profile bench mark was determined from a permanent Suffolk County tidal bench mark in the edge of Dune Road on the east side of the inlet (Fig. 1).

Profiles in the network were surveyed on a monthly basis from March to November, 1985, thereby including most of the spring and fall months as well as all the summer months. During September, 1985 most profiles were measured two additional times as well as on the usual monthly basis in order to observe the effects of the opening of Mecox Inlet and Hurricane Gloria. After emplacement of the benchmarks using rod and transit methods, calibrated sighting rods were used to survey the profiles. In this method vertical elevation change along each profile of the beach is determined by sighting on the horizon using the top of one rod and reading the elevation change from the top of a second calibrated rod. The cross-shore distance between each measurement was about 2 m (6 ft). This method is considered to be accurate within  $\pm 1$  cm (0.4 inches) in the vertical and 4 cm (1.6 inches) in the horizontal. In addition to accuracy, the advantage of using this method over the rod and transit method is the speed with which measurements can be completed. All thirteen profiles could be completed within two hours.




Figure 1. Location of beach profiles surveyed monthly and profiles surveyed daily during the process-response study.

Analysis of volumetric changes of the beach from data collected at each profile location was completed using a computer program. The program determines the difference in beach area under consecutive profile curves, thus providing the results in terms of cubic meters of beach per linear meter of beach (cubic meters per meter of beach).

The results are summarized in Figure 2, which shows volumetric change at each profile in the network between March and November. Overall, the profiles show a trend of increasing beach volume through the spring and summer months and decreasing beach volume in the fall. At most profile locations maximum beach volume occurred during mid to late summer, but two of the profiles, PF-2 and PF-9 (Fig. 1 and 2) showed maximum beach volume in early November. One profile, PF-6, on the west side of the low, inlet area, acquired maximum volume just after Hurricane Gloria. However, six of the profiles displayed significant loss of beach volume at the time of the hurricane or during the immediate post-storm period. These fluctuations superimposed on the seasonal trends due to episodic events are typical of ocean-facing shorelines. The spatial variability along the beach of adjoining profiles is also common, especially along shorelines that display rhythmic (cycle) topography such as the south shore of Long Island. It is noteworthy that none of the profiles shows a loss of beach volume at the time of the two openings of Mecox Inlet within the study period (June and September).

In order to smooth out some of the minor fluctuations indicated by the beach profile data and view the results of the overall data set, volume changes at all profiles were averaged for each measurement period and plotted against time (Fig. 3). The averaged beach profile data set clearly shows the seasonal trends of increasing beach volume during the Summer and decreasing beach volume during the Fall. In the data set smoothed by averaging, only the




Figure 2. Monthly change in beach volume between March and November 1985 at profiles P-1 to P-12.






Figure 3. Average change in beach volume at profiles east of Mecox Inlet (P-1 to P-6), west of Mecox Inlet (P-101 to P-11) and for all profiles (P-1 to P-11).

effects of major events can be seen. Significant loss of beach volume is indicated by the July and late September data set.

Figure 4 indicates the net volumetric change at all profiles between the beginning of the study period (March, 1985) and October, 1985. In general, most profiles underwent a small net gain of beach sand with the exception of profiles 4, I01 and I02. The net loss at profile 4, located approximately 250 m (820 ft) to the east of the inlet (Fig. 1) was very small. Profiles I01 and I02 are located in the immediate vicinity of the inlet and were surveyed only during the final four months of the study (July to November, 1985). The individual plots of each of these profiles (Fig. 2) shows that beach volume loss in the inlet area was largely due to the effects of Hurricane Gloria in late September rather than opening the Mecox Inlet in early September. Profile I02, just west of the inlet (Fig. 2) underwent a small volume loss just before and just after opening of the inlet, but more than regained this loss in the period between closing of the inlet and the hurricane (Fig. 3). The largest net gain of beach sand during the study period occurred at profile 6 located just east of the inlet (Fig. 2). More than half of this gain took place between the end of September and the middle of October, 1985 (Fig. 3). Some of this gain can probably be attributed to recovery of the beach after the storm. Overall, net changes in the profiles over the study period tended to be smaller than episodic gains or losses on a month to month basis. This pattern is typical of open ocean beaches, which respond rapidly to episodic storm events but display more gradual changes when considered on a seasonal or longer term basis.







Figure 4. Net change in beach volume A) at all profiles for the first eight months of the study, B) for the period up to the September inlet opening, C) for the period ending just after September inlet closing and d) for the period ending just after hurricane Gloria.

## Process-Response Study

In order to determine the hydraulic properties of Mecox Inlet and to assess the immediate effects of the inlet on the surrounding beach a week long observational study was conducted in September, 1985. The study period corresponded to the opening of the inlet on September 10 to closing of the inlet on September 17. The overall goal of the process-response study was to provide a continuous record of conditions at the inlet. Therefore, the observational program was designed to collect data 24 hours a day as long as the inlet remained open. From a temporary field station established on the beach measurements of inlet currents, wave parameters and associated longshore currents and wind patterns were measured at 3-hour intervals over the entire eight day period the inlet was open. The response of the surrounding beach was monitored by surveying fourteen closely spaced beach profiles in the vicinity of the inlet on a daily basis. The configuration of the inlet channel and associated shoals within the inlet throat were also surveyed on a daily basis.

Tidal currents in the inlet were determined over a measured course along the throat of the inlet (narrowest and deepest section) using a surface float. Changes in configuration (depth and width) and orientation of the inlet were determined using standard surveying methods as well as with tape measures and calibrated staffs to provide detail.

In the surfzone, just seaward of the inlet entrance, breaking wave heights were measured using a hand-held calibrated staff. Wave periods were determined as the average of eleven waves passing a fixed point in the surfzone. The angle of breaking waves with respect to the beach was estimated by sighting along the crests of shoaling waves with a hand-held compass. Longshore currents generated by breaking waves were measured in a similar way


as tidal currents in the inlet. The alongshore movement of a float over the surfzone was timed over a 20-meter distance four times to provide an average speed of the longshore current. Wind speed and direction was also measured using a hand-held anemometer.

Fourteen beach profiles surveyed on a daily basis during the process-response study were spaced approximately 50 m (165 ft) apart and extended for 150 m (500 ft) to the east and west of the inlet (Fig. 2). The survey method was identical to that used for the seasonal beach profiles, but only the lower portion of the beach (berm crest to Mean Low Water) was surveyed during the process-response study in order to allow each daily survey to be completed at low tide.


#### Results of Process-Response Study

Throughout the Process-Response study from September 10 to September 17, 1985, both weather conditions and surfzone conditions were fairly uniform. Winds were generally from northeasterly to southeasterly quadrants during the week-long study (Fig. 5). During the first day of the study strong northerly winds (up to 20 mph) dominated the wind pattern (Fig. 5). During the middle part of the study (days 2 to 5) northerly winds were no greater than 10 mph. During the last 3 days of the study winds remained at about 5 mph but shifted to the southeast (Fig. 5).


Breaker heights in the surfzone were less than 1 m (3.28 ft) during the first half of the study (Day 1 to 4) (Fig. 6). Associated wave periods during this time varied between 5 seconds and 10 seconds. Maximum breaker height increased to greater than 1 m in the second half of the study. Wave periods were also generally longer (7 to 11 seconds) during this time. During the final day of the study the maximum breaker height increased to nearly 2 m.



A



B



C

Figure 5. Wind speed during the week-long process-response study including A) raw wind speed, B) Longshore component and C) cross-shore component.

This long period swell (9 seconds) is thought to be largely responsible for finally closing the inlet by transporting sand onshore that shoaled in the inlet mouth.

Wave approach to the shoreline during the week-long study was consistently from the south-southeast. Breaker angles with respect to the shoreline ranged from 0 to 20° (opening west) but the dominant mode was about 10° (Fig. 6). In response to the direction of wave approach long shore currents driven by breaking waves in the surfzone flowed consistently westward. Whereas the direction of longshore flow was consistent, the speed of the current varied from a minimum of zero to a maximum of 0.9 m/s (2.9 ft/s) (Fig. 6). Comparison of longshore current speed with wave parameters (height, angle, period) and wind velocity (Fig. 6) indicates no simple relationship between the strength of longshore currents and wave or wind parameters. However, comparison of longshore currents with inlet currents (Fig. 7) indicates the tidal discharge through Mecox Inlet strongly influences the strength of the longshore current. After day 3 the strength of the longshore current in the vicinity of the inlet mouth fluctuated at tidal periods (Fig.7), but showed an inverse relationship with the inlet current. In other words, when inlet currents were high, longshore currents were low. This pattern continued through the end of the week-long study, but was less distinct after day 6 (Fig.7). The influence of inlet currents on longshore currents in the adjacent surf zone was reduced during the last two days of the study due to restricting shoals that developed at the inlet entrance.

In response to draining of Mecox Bay, changing tidal current velocities in the inlet throat, shifting wind patterns and wave processes in the adjacent surfzone, the configuration of Mecox Inlet changed significantly. This was particularly true for the seaward portion of the inlet (Fig. 8). After opening




Figure 6. Surf zone parameters during the week-long process-response study including breaker height, breaker period, breaker angle and longshore current velocity.





Figure 7. Inlet current velocity and longshore current velocity during the process-response study.




Figure 8. Morphologic changes at Mecox Inlet during the process-response study.

of the inlet on September 10, the inlet throat widened from 10 m (33 ft) to approximately 15 m (50 ft) within a few hours (Fig. 8). At its smallest constriction the inlet channel cross-section increased from about 200 ft<sup>2</sup> to approximately 300 ft<sup>2</sup>. During this initial period, flow through the inlet channel was continuously in the seaward (ebb) direction at speeds up to 2.75 m/s (9 ft/s) (Fig. 8). This was due to continuous draining of Mecox Bay under the hydraulic head resulting from freshwater build-up. Much of the sand eroded from the channel during this period accumulated just seaward of the inlet entrance as a small ebb-tidal shoal. Through the remainder of the first day, the inlet continued to widen to nearly 70 ft and the inlet throat cross-section increased to about 400 ft<sup>2</sup> accordingly. Inlet currents did not reverse to a flood direction but weakened somewhat as the ocean tide level increased (Fig. 7). In addition to the continued build-up of the nearshore ebb-tidal deposits, shoal build-up began along the inlet channel inside the mouth (Fig. 8).

Between the end of day 1 and day 4, the inlet channel continued to widen and increased its throat cross-section to approximately 500 ft<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 8). Shoal build-up in the inlet channel continued and caused the inlet channel to begin a meandering pattern. Under the influence of westward flowing longshore currents in the surfzone, the outer channel of Mecox Inlet and associated ebb-tidal shoals became skewed to the west between day 1 and day 4 (Fig. 8). Much of the sand required for build-up of the inlet channel shoals and outer shoals was derived from bank erosion as the inlet widened.

At the beginning of day 2 currents in the inlet channel began to reverse to the flood direction for short periods of time during the rising ocean tide. However, the duration of flooding was short (2-4 hours) and the strength of flooding currents was low, ranging from about 0.4 to 0.6 m/s (1.3-2.0 ft/s).

The ebb dominance of inlet flow was due to the continued draining of Mecox Bay.

From day 4 to day 6 the inlet widened slightly and the channel cross-section reached approximately 550 ft<sup>2</sup>. Shoals within the inlet channel reached a quasi-stable configuration as the widening of the inlet slowed and finally ceased (Fig. 8). During this period build-up of the outer shoal also slowed. The ebb-tidal shoal and associated outer inlet channel maintained a westward skewed configuration due to continued westward flowing longshore currents. Tidal currents within the inlet changed from ebb-dominant to flood dominate during this time (Fig. 7). Further reduction of bay level resulted in a decrease in maximum ebb currents to about 1 m/s (3.3 ft/s) and an increase in maximum flood currents to about 1.5 m/s (5 ft/s).

In the period from day 6 to day 8 of the process-response study the overall configuration of the inlet remained the same. The shoals established earlier in the inlet remained at approximately the same size and shape (Fig. 8). The only modification to the inlet during this period was the build-up of a flood-tidal shoal just inside the inlet entrance. This shoal was built as a result of landward sand transport by waves and the strengthening flood currents. Typical flooding and ebbing patterns are shown in figure . During the ebb, currents at the inlet entrance remained in a constricted channel through the ebb-tidal shoal. During flood, however the broad ebb-tidal shoal becomes submerged and flooding currents are enhanced by shoaling wave bores. Maximum flood currents reached 1.8 m/s (6 ft/s) (Fig. 7).

During the eighth day of the study the inlet closed during an afternoon flooding tide (Fig. 8). The closing process was simply onshore transport largely due to long period shoaling waves and breakers (Fig. 6). Waves up to 1.9 m (6.2 ft) in height and 9 seconds in period transported sand from the

ebb-tidal shoal into the throat of the inlet. The elevation of this sand "plug" at the inlet entrance was at or above the elevation of Mean High Waer. Therefore, there was no chance of reopening of the inlet during the following ebbing tide.

Daily measurements of the closely spaced profiles adjacent to Mecox Inlet indicated the response of the beach to open inlet conditions. In general, the profiles to the east of the inlet (profiles I3 to I7) showed a net gain in volume of the lower beach during the week-long study. Conversely, the profiles to the west of the inlet showed (profiles I8 to I14) a net loss of beach volume over the same period (Fig. 9). This pattern is also reflected in the average change in beach volume for the areas east and west of the inlet (Fig. 10). Although most of the profiles to the west of the inlet showed a slight loss of beach volume from day to day during the process-response study, the bulk of the loss occurred between day 6 and day 7. This is particularly apparent at profiles I-10, I-12, I-13 and I-14 (Fig. 9). Beach volume loss during this period was also recorded at three profiles to the east of the inlet (Fig. 9). Despite the loss of volume on the lower beach during the September opening of Mecox Inlet, the monthly profiles across the entire beach (base of dunes to Mean Low Water) measured before and after the eighth day open inlet conditions did not show a major loss of beach volume (Fig. 2). The interruption of longshore currents due to artificial opening of Mecox Inlet is believed to cause only a minor fluctuation in beach volume. This is especially true compared to the effects of episodic storms on the beach, such as Hurricane Gloria.

#### Analytical and Numerical Models

Different configurations of Mecox Inlet in terms of length and cross-






Figure 9. Daily change in Volume of the Lower beach (profiles 1-3 to 1-14) during the week-long process-response study.

AVG BEACH VOL CHG VS TIME



AVG BEACH VOL CHG VS TIME



AVG BEACH VOL CHG VS TIME




Figure 10. Average daily change in beach volume during the process-response study A) east of Mecox Inlet, B) west of Mecox Inlet and C) for all beach profiles.

sectional area can result in varying conditions of flow in the inlet channel and tidal range in Mecox Bay. In order to assess whether different configurations of the inlet would have a positive impact on stability of adjacent beaches and flushing rates of the bay two types of models were used as predictive tools. Each model provides predictions of tidal currents within the inlet channel and tide-levels in the bay, but differ in level of detail.

As a first step in modeling tidal hydraulics of Mecox Inlet-Bay system a analytical model was used. Such models employ several simplifying assumptions, but provide an exact solution (analytical solution) to the equations that describe the hydrodynamics of inlet-bay systems. In the second part of the model study a numerical model was used to provide greater detail concerning tidal flow patterns in the inlet. Numerical models closely approximate the solution of hydrodynamic equations and account for the configuration of inlet-bay systems in a more realistic way. In addition, numerical models can be coupled with sediment transport models in order to predict patterns of sediment transport within inlet channels.

#### Analytical Model

The results of the analytical model have been presented in an early interim report to the Town of Southampton and therefore will only be summarized here within the analytical scheme two inlet lengths (1900 ft and 2600 ft) and four different inlet channel cross-sectional areas (600, 700, 950, 1120 ft) were modeled. In addition, for each combination of inlet length and cross-section, two frictional coefficients were assumed (0.236 and 0.112).

Results of the analytical model are summarized in Table 1. The model predicts that the ratio of bay tidal range to the ocean tidal amplitude is small. The largest predicted bay tidal range (0.3 ft) would occur when the inlet channel is short, channel cross-section is large and frictional

Table 1

$A_B = 6.4 \times 10^7 \text{ ft}^2$	Surface Area of Bay
$a_o = 1.7 \text{ ft}$	Ocean tide amplitude
$H_c = R = (A_c/162)^{1/2}$	
$L_c = 1900 \text{ ft}, 2600 \text{ ft}$	Length of inlet
$A_c = 600, 700, 960, 1120 \text{ ft}^2$	Cross-sectional area of inlet
$f_1 = 0.236; f_2 = 0.112$	

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
	$f = 0.236$ $L_c = 2600 \text{ ft}$	$f = 0.112$ $L_c = 2600$	$f = 0.236$ $L_c = 1900$	$f = 0.112$ $L_c = 1900$
$A_c$				
Amplitude Ratio ( $a_b/a_o$ )				
600	0.050	0.071	0.058	0.083
700	0.060	0.086	0.070	0.100
960	0.089	0.127	0.103	0.148
1120	0.108	0.154	0.125	0.178
Maximum Velocity ( $V_{max}$ ) (ft/s)			1.5 ft/s	45.7 cm/s
600	1.26	1.81	1.47	2.11
700	1.31	1.88	1.53	2.19
960	1.41	2.03	1.65	2.35
1120	1.47	2.10	1.71	2.44
Phase Lag ( ) (Degrees)			e.g. $85^\circ = 2 \text{ hours } 56 \text{ min}$	
600	87.65	86.61	87.10	85.84
700	87.06	85.77	86.42	84.86
960	85.45	83.46	84.52	82.16
1120	84.39	81.95	83.29	80.41
			Max difference = $7^\circ$ = 14 min	

coefficient is small. Conversely, the smallest predicted bay range (0.1 ft) would occur when the inlet channel is long, channel cross-section is small and frictional coefficient is large. A similar relationship is predicted for tidal current velocities within the inlet channel. The highest predicted velocity (2.44 ft/sec) would occur in the shorter inlet channel of greater cross-section and smaller frictional coefficient. The lowest currents (1.26 ft/sec) would occur in the longer channel of small cross-section and greater friction. Under all combinations of inlet length, channel cross-section and frictional characteristics the phase lag (difference in time of high water) between the ocean and bay tide is large (about 3 hours).

For a given channel length, frictional characteristics and bay area, the optimum channel cross-sectional area can be predicted in terms of maintaining maximum tidal current velocities and bay flushing. These predictions can be presented in the form of stability curves showing the variation of inlet current velocity compared to inlet cross-sectional area. Figure 11 shows inlet characteristics for a bay having the area of Mecox Bay ( $6.4 \times 10^7$  ft<sup>2</sup>) assuming two inlet channel lengths (2600 ft and 1900 ft) and two values of inlet friction (0.238 and 0.112). These stability diagrams show that given the present inlet configuration, Mecox Bay will always fall on the portion of the stability curve to the left of maximum inlet current speed and channel cross-sectional area. This means that the maximum possible tidal prism (water volume) is not being admitted to the bay and that the inlet could be much larger (3000 to 5000 ft<sup>2</sup> vs 600 to 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> at present). According to the results of the analytical model widening and deepening the present man-made inlet would increase inlet current velocities and tidal flushing of the bay up to a certain point (the peak of the curve in Fig. 11).

## The Numerical Model

The numerical model was used to provide more comprehensive predictions of hydraulics and tide induced sediment transport at Mecox Inlet. The specific numerical model used in this study has been tested at a variety of inlets and has resulted in good predictions of inlet current velocities and bay water levels. The numerical model is based on a cross-sectionally averaged, one-dimensional momentum equation derived from the complete equation of motion by Harris and Bodine (1977).

## The Grid System

In order to run the numerical model for predictions at Mecox Inlet it was necessary to construct a grid system which describes the geometry of the inlet. Then, a forcing mechanism to drive the model must be specified either as a sinusoidal ocean tide curve or an actual time series of ocean tide levels. In the application to Mecox Inlet possible cases of inlet configuration were represented by two basic grid systems. One is a winding inlet (Plan I) and the other is a more-or-less straight inlet (Plan II). The grid system of Plan I has four cells, one on the seaward side of the inlet mouth, two cells describing the inlet channel and one cell describing the bayside inlet entrance. Because of simpler configuration of the straight inlet only three grid cells were required. Depths along the inlet channel and at the bayside entrance of the inlet were obtained from field surveys when the inlet was open in June and September of 1985. Bathymetry at the seaward end of the inlet was obtained from nautical charts and an offshore survey conducted in March of 1985.







Figure 11. Inlet stability curves predicted from the analytical model using two inlet lengths (1900 ft and 2600 ft) and two frictional coefficients (0.112 and 0.236).

### Forcing the Model

The numerical model was forced by an assumed time series of ocean tide levels according to a sinusoidal tidal curve of constant amplitude. The amplitude was assumed to be 1.4 feet according to the National Ocean Survey's 1985 Tide Tables.

### Other Input Parameters to the Model

In addition to inlet configuration and forcing frictional coefficients (for each grid cell) and the variation of bay area with tide level are required to operate the model. The water area of Mecox Bay at Mean Sea Level (MSL) was estimated to be  $4.4 \times 10^7$  ft<sup>2</sup> from the Nautical Chart. In the present application, the model was programmed to assume linear variation of bay area with tide-level change. Values of Manning's n, a frictional coefficient required by the model was assumed to be a function of water depth below MSL in each grid cell.

### Sediment Transport Predictions

In order to relate the tidal current field predicted by the numerical model to the prediction of sediment transport, the boundary shear stress (frictional effects), appropriate sediment transport equations and characteristics of the sediments in the study area are required. The boundary shear stress in the grid cells can be directly determined from the numerical model. Previous studies have shown that Engeland and Hansen's (1967) equation for total load sediment transport (both bed load and suspended load) is most appropriate for predicting sand transport at Mecox Inlet.

Instantaneous sediment transport rates were calculated from the Engeland and Hansen equation using velocity and shear stress predicted from the numerical model at 5-minute intervals. Net transport rates over two spring-

neap cycles (29 days) were computed by averaging instantaneous sediment transport.

#### Results of Tidal and Sediment Transport Predictions

The numerical and sediment transport models were run for various configurations of Mecox Inlet to assess the effects on inlet currents, bay water level and sand transport patterns. Inlet configurations included the present inlet cross-sectional area (600 ft<sup>2</sup>) for both a winding inlet (Plan I) and a straight inlet (Plan II). Then an inlet having a cross-sectional channel area of 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> was modeled for both the winding configuration (Plan III) and the straight configuration (Plan IV). Plan V and VI consisted of a 4000 ft<sup>2</sup> inlet cross-section for the winding and straight configurations respectively. Finally plan VII and Plan VIII consisted of an inlet 10,500 ft<sup>2</sup> in cross-section for the winding and straight configurations.

#### Results for the Present Situation (Inlet Cross-Section 600 ft<sup>2</sup>)

Figure 12 illustrates the results of predictions for the present inlet cross-section of 600 ft<sup>2</sup>. Bay water level at high tide is predicted to be 0.58 feet and 0.68 feet above MSL for the winding and straight configurations respectively. This is between 40 and 50% of the ocean water level at high tide. At low tide the bay water level remains at about MSL for both configurations, which is substantially higher than the ocean water level of 1.4 feet below MSL. This means that the bay does not drain completely to the level of the ocean tide under present conditions. Therefore the bay tidal range is only between 20 and 30% of the ocean tidal range when Mecox Inlet is opened to its usual cross-section.

Maximum vertically averaged tidal currents in Mecox Inlet are predicted to be slightly greater than 3 ft/s for both plans, ebbing currents reaching slightly higher speeds than flooding currents (Figure 12). This compares with maximum surface currents of 5 ft/s measured in the inlet during the process-response study in September, 1985. The tidal prism (tidal water volume exchange) for Plan I (winding inlet) is  $2.6 \times 10^7$  ft<sup>3</sup> compared with  $3.4 \times 10^7$  ft<sup>3</sup> for Plan II (straight inlet). This indicates that tidal flushing is 35% more efficient for the straight inlet configuration.

Results from the sediment transport model indicate that there will be convergence of net sediment transport (shoaling) at the bayward and seaward end of the Plan I winding inlet (Fig. 13). For Plan II, the straight inlet erosion will take place at the center of the inlet, whereas shoaling is expected at the seaward end (Fig. 13).

#### Results for Plans III and IV - Inlet Cross-Section 1000 ft<sup>2</sup>

As the inlet cross-sectional is increased to 1000 ft<sup>2</sup>, tidal flow through the inlet is more efficient resulting in a higher high tide (0.8 to 0.9 ft above MSL) and a lower low tide (-0.4 to -0.5 ft below MSL) for Plan III and Plan IV (Fig. 14). Accordingly the tidal range of the bay would increase to between 40 and 50% of the ocean tidal range (Fig. 14). The tidal prism of Mecox Bay under these conditions would be between  $5.4$  and  $6.4 \times 10^7$  ft<sup>3</sup>. Thus tidal flushing would be up to 2 times more effective compared to present conditions. Maximum tidal currents would be 3.4 ft/s for Plan III (Fig. 15) and 3.8 ft/s for Plan IV (Fig. 15). For plan III (winding inlet) sediment transport predictions suggest shoaling at the seaward end of the inlet and little or no shoaling among the center and bayward side of the inlet (Fig. 16). For Plan IV (straight inlet) sediment transport predictions indicate





Figure 12. Predictions of inlet tidal current velocity and tide levels in Mecox Bay for a curving inlet channel (Plan I) and a winding inlet channel (Plan II) having a cross-sectional area of 600 ft<sup>2</sup> (present conditions).




Figure 13. Predictions of net sediment transport patterns for a straight and curving inlet having a cross-sectional area of  $600 \text{ ft}^2$  (present conditions).





Figure 14. Predictions of tide level in Mecox Bay under present conditions, for an inlet cross-section of  $1000 \text{ ft}^2$  (Plans III and IV), an inlet cross-section of  $4000 \text{ ft}^2$  (Plans V and VI) and an inlet cross-section of  $10,500 \text{ ft}^2$  (Plans VII and VIII).





Figure 15. Predictions of tidal currents in Mecox Inlet for present conditions, for an inlet cross-section of 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> (Plans III and IV), for an inlet cross-section of 4000 ft<sup>2</sup> (Plans V and VI) and for an inlet cross-section of 10,500 ft<sup>2</sup> (Plans VII and VIII).

shoaling at the seaward end of the inlet and either erosion or lack of shoaling along the inlet channel and bayward side (Fig. 16).

#### Results for Plans V and VI - Inlet Cross-Section 4000 ft<sup>2</sup>

The large inlet cross-sectional area of Plan V and Plan VI would allow a significant increase in the bay tide according to predictions from the numerical model. Bay water levels at high tide would be approximately 1.45 ft above MSL for both the winding and straight inlets or slightly greater than the ocean high tide (Fig. 14). At low tide the bay level would be approximately equal to the ocean level or about -1.40 below MSL.

Under Plans V and VI maximum tidal current velocities are predicted to be about 2 ft/s or substantially lower than predicted currents for the present situation (Fig. 15). This is due to the greater inlet channel cross-section. The tidal prism predicted for both Plans V and Plan VI is about  $12.5 \times 10^7$  ft<sup>3</sup>. This would result in tidal flushing of Mecox Bay that is up to five times more effective than for the present situation (Table 1).

Sediment transport predictions for Plan V and Plan VI indicates net sediment transport in a bayward direction within the inlet channel (Fig. 17). Net transport patterns indicate a convergence of transport or slowing of transport on the bayside of the inlet. Therefore, unlike previous plans considered, an inlet with a 4000 ft<sup>2</sup> cross-section would be subject to shoaling on its bayward side.

#### Results for Plans VII and VIII - Inlet Cross-Section 10,500 ft<sup>2</sup>

An inlet connecting Mecox Bay to the ocean having a cross-section of over 1000 ft<sup>2</sup> would result in virtually unrestricted tidal exchange between bay and ocean. Bay water levels would be the same as ocean water levels and would be


completely in phase with ocean tides without delays relative to high and low tide in the ocean (Fig. 14, Table 2).

Although the cross-sectional area ( $10,500 \text{ ft}^2$ ) has been increased more than 2.5 times over Plans V and VI, the flushing ability of Mecox Inlet would remain the same as in the previous case. This is due to a marked decrease of maximum current velocity to less than 1 ft/s in an inlet having such a large channel cross-section and a relatively small bay behind it (Fig. 15). In this case net sediment transport by tidal currents is predicted to be negligible because of the nearly symmetrical tidal wave and weak currents (Fig. 18, Table 3).


#### Summary

Seasonal changes in the beach areas adjacent to Mecox Inlet indicate the usual cycle of increased beach volume during late spring and summer months and decreased beach volume during late fall and winter months. This cycle is attributable to the greater frequency of storms (either locally or at sea) in the winter months, which results in higher energy conditions that cut back the beach. Although the eight-month profile data set showed that the beach is subject to significant fluctuations in volume, even on a month to month basis, none of these larger changes corresponded to the two open inlet periods (in June and September) incorporated within the study period. If the opening of Mecox Inlet in June and September of 1985 had any affect on the adjacent beach areas, such effects were apparently small enough or of short enough duration to be masked by changes caused by other factors. These factors include local wave climate, semidiurnal and spring-neap tidal cycles, wind patterns and storms.

11000. Inlet





1000 Feet  
300 m  
METAL TRANSPORT  
SEDIMENT TRANSPORT




11000 FT<sup>2</sup>  
CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA

4000. Inlet



1000 Feet  
300 m  
METAL TRANSPORT  
SEDIMENT TRANSPORT



4000 FT<sup>2</sup>  
CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA

Figure 16. Sediment transport predictions for an inlet cross-section of 1000 ft<sup>2</sup>.

Figure 17. Sediment transport predictions for an inlet cross-section of 4000 ft<sup>2</sup>.




Figure 18. Sediment transport predictions for an inlet cross-section of 10,500 ft<sup>2</sup>.

Table 2 Summary of results from the numerical model  
for the Mecox Inlet

	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VII
Max. C.								
Flood	3.1	3.5	3.4	3.8	2.1	2.1	0.84	0.8
Ebb, ft/s	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.8	2.0	2.0	0.85	0.
Duration								
Flood	5.3	5.3	5.6	5.6	6.1	6.0	6.2	6.
Ebb, hr	7.1	7.1	6.8	6.8	6.3	6.4	6.2	6.
Max. Disc.								
Flood	1900	2500	3900	4500	8900	9000	9000	890
Ebb, ft <sup>3</sup> /s	1300	1900	3000	3500	8000	7900	9000	890
Bay Level								
High W.	0.58	0.66	0.82	0.92	1.44	1.43	1.41	1.
Low W., ft	0.03	-0.02	-0.41	-0.53	-1.42	-1.41	-1.41	-1.
Phase Lag								
High W.	2.4	2.3	2.1	1.3	0.34	0.15	0.0	0.
Low W., hr	3.3	3.1	2.7	2.4	0.42	0.33	0.0	0.
Bay Range	0.55	0.75	1.23	1.45	2.86	2.84	2.82	2.
Bay/Ocean	0.20	0.27	0.44	0.52	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.
Tidal Prism								
107 ft <sup>3</sup>	2.5	3.4	5.6	6.5	12.6	12.5	12.4	12.

Table 3 Predicted net sediment transport rates for the Mecox Inlet

unit;  $g^{-1}cm^{-1}s^{-1}$

Winding Inlet				
X-area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Present	1000	4000	10500
Grid #	Plan I	Plan III	Plan V	Plan VII
4	$3.4 \times 10^{-5}$	$8.7 \times 10^{-4}$	$-1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$-1.1 \times 10^{-5}$
3	$4.4 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.9 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	n.s.t.
2	$-3.6 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	n.s.t.
1	n.s.t.	n.s.t.	$-2.2 \times 10^{-5}$	n.s.t.

Straight Inlet

Grid #	Plan II	Plan IV	Plan VI	Plan VIII
3	$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$5.7 \times 10^{-5}$	$-1.1 \times 10^{-5}$
2	$-3.4 \times 10^{-1}$	$-3.1 \times 10^{-1}$	$2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	n.s.t.
1	n.s.t.	$-1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$	n.s.t.

Note; n.s.t. means no net sediment transport.

The results of the process-response study conducted when Mecox Inlet was open in September, 1985 documented the short-term effects of the inlet in the beach. It is clear that the beach areas within 200 m of the inlet are sensitive to inlet processes. The lower part of the downdrift beach (west of the inlet in this case) suffered an unmistakable loss of beach volume as a result of reduced westwave drift of sand. Conversely, the interruption of longshore drifting of sand by the inlet resulted in a net gain of beach volume on the updrift side of the inlet (east in this case). This pattern of beach volume change is thought to be due to strong wave-current interaction and shoal building at the mouth of the inlet. Strong tidal currents associated with the inlet mouth clearly resulted in reduced longshore current speeds, thus reducing longshore drifting of sand. Although much of the sand supply from shoaling at the inlet mouth is from erosion of the inlet channel after the initial cut, some of the sand supply for shoaling is due to trapping from longshore currents as they are interrupted by inlet-related tidal currents. This process of sand trapping by the inlet is partly responsible for observed beach volume changes during open inlet conditions.

Despite the documented effects of Mecox Inlet on the adjacent beach, these changes are considered very local. None of the long beach profiles that were used to assess volumetric changes of the beach within 500 m (1650 ft) on either side of the inlet registered any significant change at the time of the inlet opening in September 1985. The direct impact of inlet opening on the sand budget seems to be very small and confined to the immediate vicinity of the inlet compared with the effects of episodic storms in particular. The effects of storms on this area are likely to be greater than direct impact of inlet hydraulics at Mecox Inlet. Storms not only cause loss of beach volume by offshore sand transport, but by overwashing the low-lying barrier beach

directly seaward of Mecox Bay. Overwashing of the eastern portion of this area during hurricane Gloria is a good example of this. The main source of sand shoaling in the seaward side of Mecox Bay is probably due <sup>?</sup> to rather than due to tidal currents associated with the inlet.

Results of both the analytical model and numerical model of Mecox Inlet show that Mecox Bay would support somewhat larger inlet (larger channel cross-section) than is usually present after man-made opening of the inlet. From the initial cut on September 10 of a channel about 200 ft<sup>2</sup> in cross-section, Mecox Inlet eventually increased in size to about 600 ft<sup>2</sup> in cross-section through erosion of its own channel. Predictions from the analytical model indicated for a Bay the size of Mecox Bay and an ocean tidal range of 3.4 ft that the optimum inlet channel cross-section would be on the order of 2000 to 3000 ft<sup>2</sup> in which tidal currents would attain a maximum speed of 2 to 3 ft/s. Therefore, inlet channels of smaller cross-section would tend to undergo scour and increase in cross-section. Results of the numerical model are in general agreement with both observed conditions and predictions from the analytical model. Predictions from the numerical model in which the inlet cross-section was varied between 600 ft<sup>2</sup> and 10,500 ft<sup>2</sup> showed that maximum tidal current velocities would occur when the inlet cross-sectional area is between 1000 and 4000 ft<sup>2</sup>. Predictions of net sediment transport rates from the numerical model indicated that significant shoaling would only occur at the seaward end of Mecox Inlet. For inlet cross-sections of 4000 ft<sup>2</sup> or less at inlet cross-sections greater than 400 ft<sup>2</sup> current velocities would not be high enough to maintain the inlet channel which would have a tendency to decrease its cross-section by shoaling. Sediment transport predictions for the present conditions were in good agreement with observations, indicating that sediment supply for shoaling at the inlet entrance is partly from scouring of the inlet

channel as it widens after the initial cut.

In addition to allowing an assessment of the effects of Mecox Inlet on adjacent beach areas the results of observations and predictions allow an assessment of tidal flushing of Mecox Bay. A compilation of inlet opening records for the past 13 years shows that Mecox Inlet is on the average opened approximately 5 times each year. Of that number an average of 4 openings are man-made and one is natural (Fig. 19). Based on our record of water level in Mecox Bay over the past eight months artificial opening is completed when the bay level rises by 40 to 50 cm (16 to 20 inches) above the reduced bay level just after an open inlet period. Shortly after the inlet is open salinity in Mecox Bay increases to near oceanic salinity or about 32‰. After a period of increasing bay level from fresh water influx, bay salinities decrease to about 20‰. Based on an average rate bay level rise of 1 cm (0.4 inch) per month, the average rate of freshwater influx to Mecox Bay is approximately 17 ft<sup>3</sup>/s.

The flushing time of Mecox Bay (or the time required to replace all the freshwater in the bay at a rate equal to freshwater influx) can be completed using the relatively simple tidal prism method.

$$t = \frac{V}{P} T$$

In this equation  $t$  is the flushing time,  $V$  is the low tide volume of the bay,  $P$  is the tidal prism (intertidal volume) and  $T$  is the tidal period (12.42 hours). Using this relationship the computed flushing time for Mecox Bay under present conditions (small inlet channel cross-section) is approximately 2.5 days. At the end of this period the salinity of Mecox Bay should reach near-oceanic values because of the relatively small freshwater discharge into the bay ( $7.6 \times 10^5$  ft<sup>3</sup> per tidal period) compared with the tidal prism ( $3 \times$




Figure 19. Summary of total artificial and natural openings of Mecox Inlet over the past 13-year period.

$10^7$  ft<sup>3</sup>). Increasing the cross-sectional area of Mecox Inlet to 1000 ft<sup>3</sup> would increase the tidal prism to approximately  $6 \times 10^7$  ft<sup>3</sup> according to predictions from the numerical model. This would result in a decrease of flushing time to approximately 1.2 days. Likewise, increasing the inlet cross-section to 4000 ft<sup>3</sup> or, 10,500 ft<sup>2</sup> would further reduce the flushing time to 0.5 days or one tidal cycles. These simple calculations indicate that increasing the inlet cross-section could reduce the flushing time of Mecox Bay by as much as five times. The flushing time under present conditions is relatively short, however, (2.5 days) and flushing of the bay occurs well within the one to two-week period during which the inlet is commonly open.

#### Recommendations

Results of studying the Mecox Inlet-Bay system indicate that artificially cutting a small inlet channel across the beach several times per year yields no significant or lasting impact on beach stability as a direct result of inlet hydraulics. Thus, it is recommended that cutting of the inlet should be continued as long as the initial cut is no larger than 200 to 400 ft<sup>2</sup> in cross-section. The initial cut will naturally increase to a dimension of no greater than 600-1000 ft<sup>2</sup> and have no lasting effect on the surrounding beach. However, because the outer inlet channel has a tendency to curve and migrate westward, it is suggested that the initial cut continue to be placed on the east side of the low beach area in front of Mecox Bay. This will minimize and direct impact on developed areas just to the west of Mecox Bay (the area now bulkheaded).

It has been concluded that severe cut-back of the beach in the vicinity of Mecox Inlet occurs episodically largely as a result of storms which cause overwashing and occasional natural opening of the inlet. An example of this is the large washover that occurred at Mecox Inlet during Hurricane Gloria and associated beach erosion. After episodic storms the beach generally recovers within a few weeks. This process is expected to continue, especially since the beach area immediately surrounding the location of Mecox Inlet has no natural dune. An artificially built dune now extends part way across the inlet area and probably prevented overwashing along this section during Hurricane Gloria. Extending this dune all the way across the inlet area in the time interval between inlet cuts would prevent overwashing of sand into the bay during minor storms. However, this procedure would be somewhat costly and add to the cost of cutting the inlet. Also, such an artificial dune would not survive a major storm and would provide an additional source of sand for overwashing when it does occur. In addition, the sand supply for building the dune should not come from the beach in front of it. This would also add to the cost.

Results of the study also show that flushing time for Mecox Bay is fairly short, even for a small inlet cut. Therefore increasing the inlet size would not significantly enhance flushing of Mecox Bay, which is already completed in less than one week. However, since salinities in Mecox Bay are quickly reduced after inlet closure due to continuous freshwater influx, more frequent artificial opening of Mecox Inlet could be used to maintain salinity at a higher level in the bay. Results of the study indicate that more frequent opening of the inlet would not increase the risk of erosion to surrounding beaches if the inlet size is small. It is also possible that more frequent opening of the inlet at relatively lower water levels in Mecox Bay

would actually help minimize short-term erosion on the adjacent beach that does occur. The strong ebbing currents that transport sand out of the inlet and scour the inlet channel just after opening are a function of elevated water levels in the bay. If the inlet was opened more frequently when bay level has increased only half its usual 40 to 50 cm rise between inlet cuts, ebbing currents after the cut would not be as strong and post-cut scouring of the inlet would not be as great. Under these conditions, the inlet would probably not increase in size as much and close after a shorter period of time. In addition seaward building of ebb-tidal shoals and interference with longshore currents would be reduced. The overall result of weakened inlet processes would be to minimize impact on the surrounding beach.

In itemized form our recommendations are as follows:

1. Maintain the inlet cross-sectional area at 600-1000 ft<sup>2</sup>
2. Reduce impact of short-term beach erosion on developed areas by using easterly route through beach
3. Increase flushing of Mecox Bay by increasing the frequency of inlet cutting
4. Reduce the impact of overwashing by establishing a temporary artificial dune across the inlet area.
5. Reduce the impact of storms by closing Mecox Inlet if it opens naturally during a storm and has a large cross-sectional area.

## APPENDIX B

### 2003 Southampton College Study

*A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF MECOX BAY*  
*FOR THE SOUTHAMPTON TOWN TRUSTEES*

CHRISTOPHER GOBLER, PHD,  
SOUTHAMPTON COLLEGE, LONG ISLAND UNIVERSITY

June 2003

***A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF MECOX BAY***  
***FOR THE SOUTHAMPTON TOWN TRUSTEES***

CHRISTOPHER GOBLER, PHD,  
SOUTHAMPTON COLLEGE, LONG ISLAND UNIVERSITY

June 2003



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Mecox Bay is an enclosed shallow water inland bay located on the south fork of Long Island, New York. It lies adjacent to the Atlantic Ocean and contains an inlet that is opened periodically during the year by the Town of Southampton, which allows the bay to exchange water with the Atlantic Ocean. The inlet is opened to maintain salinity levels that are ideal for shellfish (>10 ppt) and to control water levels within the bay. The aim of this study was to identify the physical, chemical, and biological effects of opening the Mecox Bay inlet. Five set stations were sampled once a week from 17 January through 13 December 2002. Three stations were located within Mecox Bay, one in the Atlantic Ocean (outside the nearby Shinnecock Inlet) and one in the brackish portion of Mill Creek, which is the largest freshwater tributary flowing into the bay. Results indicated that the opening of the Mecox Bay inlet most directly affected depth and salinity of the bay. Seasonal temperature changes played a direct and indirect role in altering Mecox Bay nutrient concentrations, as did the significant input from tributaries and groundwater. Coliform bacteria densities increase with the closing of the inlet and data from this study indicate that the shellfishing season (closed 15 Apr – 15 Dec) and areas of the bay closed to shellfishing (tributaries, eastern shore) as determined by the NYDEC are appropriate. The presence of high nutrient concentrations promotes phytoplankton growth (high chlorophyll), which results in healthy shellfish populations within Mecox Bay. Mecox Bay can be both phosphate and nitrogen limited for phytoplankton, with phosphate limitation occurring in the winter, early spring, and autumn and nitrogen limitation occurring in the summer. Mecox Bay was found to be approximately 1.5 m deep, and had sediments which ranged from sandy and low in

organic carbon content to muddy and organically enriched (> 10% organic carbon by weight). Benthic and pelagic survey's of Mecox Bay revealed a high level of biodiversity within this ecosystem with substantial abundances of the American Oysters, *Crassostera virginia*, the ribbed mussel, *Geukensia demissa*, the soft shell clam, *Mya arenaria*, the Inland Atlantic silverside, *Menidia beryllina*, and the blue claw crab, *Callinectes sapidus*, being noted.

## INTRODUCTION

Estuaries are regions of transition from terrestrial to marine environments. They are partially enclosed bodies of water where freshwater mixes with high salinity seawater (Environmental Protection Agency, 2001). Estuaries, which are some of the most productive environments on earth, provide sheltered waters for fish and shellfish spawning, offer migratory birds a spot to rest during migration, and act as a home to a large diversity of wildlife (EPA, 2001). Estuarine habitats are generally areas of high primary production and provide abundant food supplies for their inhabiting organisms (Bruno et al., 1980).

Approximately half of the United States population, 110 million people, lives in coastal areas, which are currently growing three times faster than counties elsewhere in the country (EPA, 2001). As a result, estuaries provide economic benefits through tourism and fisheries and also support public infrastructure by serving as harbors and ports for shipping, transportation, and industry (EPA, 2001). Estuaries act as a habitat for finfish and shellfish, which are of commercial and recreational importance (Bruno et al., 1980). 75% of the United States' commercial fish catch and 80-90% of its' recreational fish catch come from estuaries (EPA, 2001).

Estuaries also play an important ecological role in filtering sediments, nutrients, and pollutants, which generates cleaner and clearer water entering the adjacent marine environment (EPA, 2001). However, many estuarine environments have been anthropogenically degraded by channel dredging, the filling of marshes and tidal flats, water pollution, and shoreline reconstruction (EPA, 2001). The consequences of such

actions include: beach and shellfish bed closings, harmful algal blooms, unproductive fisheries, eutrophication, and a loss of habitat for fish and other wildlife (EPA, 2001).

### *Physical Features of Estuaries:*

The combining of salt water and freshwater within estuaries results in the stratification of the water column. While there are several types of stratification, the three types of stratification most commonly seen in estuaries result in a well-mixed water column, a slightly stratified water column, and a highly stratified water column (Berner and Berner, 1992). In well-mixed estuaries, the water mixes evenly so that there is no halocline between the salt and fresh water. Well-mixed estuaries are dominated by tidal flow (Berner and Berner, 1992). In slightly stratified estuaries, the less dense fresh water remains above the denser salt water. There is a small amount of mixing between the two layers and both river flow and tidal flow play an important role (Berner and Berner, 1992). In highly stratified estuaries, the formation of a halocline prevents the mixing of the salt and fresh water layers. In such estuaries, large amounts of river flow dominate the modest influence of tidal flow (Berner and Berner, 1992).

Hypoxia is a period of reduced dissolved oxygen concentration whose frequency, duration, and severity are dependent upon the net movement of bottom waters (Kuo and Neilson, 1987). Hypoxia has been defined as the level at which dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations are below 3 mg O<sub>2</sub>/L (94 μM O<sub>2</sub>) in bottom waters (Anderson and Taylor, 2001). Many regulatory agencies define hypoxia as < 3mg O<sub>2</sub>/L, because juvenile and adult benthic invertebrate and fish species experience physiological stress below this concentration (Anderson and Taylor, 2001).

The severity of DO depletion in the bottom waters of estuaries depends on a variety of factors, including basin morphology, vertical density stratification, and nutrient and organic matter inputs (Stanley and Nixon, 1992). Hypoxia tends to develop when there is both vertical water column stratification and warm water temperatures ( $>15^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; Stanley and Nixon, 1992). Stratification in an estuary and DO levels are tightly coupled with freshwater discharge and wind stress. Thus, severe hypoxia occurs more frequently in the upper half of an estuary than near the mouth (Stanley and Nixon, 1992). In shallow water estuaries, wind mixing tends to decrease water column stratification more frequently, resulting in shorter durations of bottom water hypoxia that are limited in spatial extent (Stanley and Nixon, 1992).

Hypoxia also results from an increase of phytoplankton biomass delivering large amounts of organic material to the bottom through sedimentation. Microbial processes occurring with the decay of this material consume oxygen, thus lowering the oxygen content of the water (Richardson and Jørgensen, 1996). If such conditions persist, all of the oxygen will be removed from the water, a process known as anoxia (Richardson and Jørgensen, 1996).

#### ***Coliform Bacteria:***

Fecal contamination of freshwater by human sewage, including faulty septic tanks, and animal waste from animal husbandry practices and natural waterfowl populations may be used as an indicator to the presence of other harmful pathogens in estuaries (George, et al., 2001). Total coliforms (TC) and fecal coliforms (FC) are two types of fecal bacteria indicators used to determine the microbiological quality of surface

waters (George, et al., 2001). Filter-feeding shellfish located within coastal waters may take up both naturally occurring and introduced pathogenic microorganisms when filtering seawater (Jones and Summer-Brason, 1998). Human consumption of raw or partially cooked shellfish containing pathogens can result in diseases in humans (Jones and Summer-Brason, 1998).

Among naturally occurring bacterial pathogens associated with shellfish, pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. pose one of the greatest public health hazards (Jones and Summer-Brason, 1998). Although *Vibrio* spp. are prevalent in warm water estuaries, they have been detected in coastal waters as far north as the Maine coast along the Atlantic Ocean (Jones and Summer-Brason, 1998). Temperature and salinity are useful parameters in predicting densities of *V. vulnificus* and it has been found that the optimum temperature and salinity for *V. parahaemolyticus* is 20 °C and 20 ppt, respectively (Jones and Summer-Brason, 1998). Previous studies have shown that the growth of these autochthonous pathogens may be enhanced in coastal waters receiving nutrients from wastewater and surface runoff (Jones and Summer-Brason, 1998).

#### **Nutrients:**

Estuaries and coastal waters often experience eutrophication, an increase in the flow of particulate and dissolved materials into the estuary (Fisher et al., 1995). Such materials include N and P, which promote algal growth when enough light is available (Fisher et al., 1995). Eutrophication of aquatic systems results from allochthonous (nutrient input from outside the system) as well as autochthonous (nutrient recycling within the water column and sediments) sources (Fisher, et al., 1995; Richardson and

Jørgensen, 1996). The external loading of nutrients in estuarine systems can come from soil erosion, which often includes nutrient-enriched fertilizers, disposal of municipal or industrial effluents, anthropogenic sources, such as sewage, and ground water runoff (Fisher et al., 1995). A secondary effect of eutrophication can be hypoxia (Richardson and Jørgensen, 1996).

### ***Phytoplankton Diversity and Distribution:***

There are over 4000 described species of phytoplankton in 13 taxonomic classes (Lalli and Parsons, 1997). One of the most abundant phytoplankton groups is the diatoms, belonging to the class Bacillariophyceae (Lalli and Parsons, 1997). Diatoms, which are usually the dominant phytoplankton in temperate and high latitudes, are unicellular and range in size from 2  $\mu\text{m}$  to over 1000  $\mu\text{m}$  (Lalli and Parsons, 1997). All species of diatoms have external skeletons made of silica called frustules (Lalli and Parsons, 1997). Other abundant phytoplankton groups include the dinoflagellates and coccolithophores. Dinoflagellates, which belong to the class Pyrrophyceae, have two flagella giving them enhanced motility (Lalli and Parsons, 1997). Coccolithophorids are small phytoplankton that are generally smaller than 20  $\mu\text{m}$  (Lalli and Parsons, 1997). Coccolithophorids have external shells made up of many calcareous plates, called coccoliths, and like dinoflagellates they have two flagella (Lalli and Parsons, 1997). Coccolithophores are common in warm or cool waters and prosper in low light conditions (Lalli and Parsons, 1997; Graham and Wilcox, 2000). Finally, cyanobacteria, which are found in both coastal and oceanic waters, are an important phytoplankton group, as some species are capable of fixing dissolved gaseous nitrogen (Lalli and Parsons, 1997).

Phytoplankton communities within estuaries are composed of a large diversity of taxa, each of which has distinct physiological characteristics (Pinckney et al., 1997). These differences make some phytoplankton better equipped to take up nutrients under nutrient limited circumstances (Pinckney et al., 1997). This may cause uneven phytoplankton distributions over spatial and temporal scales and in certain situations may cause one species to completely dominate a region, thus creating an algal bloom (Pinckney et al., 1997). Such algal blooms often cause negative effects on water transparency, killing both benthic plants and microalgae that are not able to receive sufficient light for photosynthesis (Graham and Wilcox, 2000). It is estimated that up to 50% of all marine and freshwater algal blooms may be toxic (Graham and Wilcox, 2000). These toxic algal blooms can cause massive fish kills and serious illness or death in humans (Graham and Wilcox, 2000). Biomass accumulation in estuaries may be controlled by the removal of biomass through advective losses, grazing, sinking, and cell death (Fisher, et al., 1995). Previous studies have shown bivalve growth to be dependant upon food availability (suspended algae and phytoplankton), temperature, and current speed (Lorrain et al., 2000).

#### ***Phytoplankton Biomass and productivity:***

Phytoplankton biomass is an important factor in determining the total primary productivity of an estuary and, as such, studies have used chlorophyll *a* concentrations as an index of phytoplankton biomass (Bruno et al., 1980; Lively et al., 1983). Factors affecting phytoplankton biomass include available nutrient levels, irradiance, temperature, salinity, pH, and water circulation patterns (Pinckney et al., 1997). Primary production occurring in surface waters directly contributes to bottom water organic

matter through sedimentation (Fisher et al., 1995). Biogeochemical and ecological processes in estuaries are driven by phytoplankton photosynthesis (United States Geological Survey, 2002). Changes in pH, trace metal speciation, concentrations of dissolved gases (oxygen, carbon dioxide, and methane), inorganic nutrients (nitrate, phosphate, silicate), and organic compounds (amino acids, organosulfur compounds) may all be directly associated with fluctuations in phytoplankton photosynthesis (USGS, 2002).

#### ***Phytoplankton and nutrients:***

Carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous, silicon, potassium and sulfur are nutrients required for phytoplankton survival (Richardson and Jørgensen, 1996). Phytoplankton allow for nutrients, such as nitrogen, phosphorous, and silicon, which are not usually directly consumed by other organisms, to be stored and utilized by secondary and tertiary consumers of the food chain (Fisher, et a., 1995). In freshwater environments, phosphorous is typically the limiting nutrient to phytoplankton, whereas in marine environments nitrogen, silicon, and phosphorous are all potentially limiting nutrients to phytoplankton (Richardson and Jørgensen, 1996). Due to the mixing of freshwater and seawater, studies of phytoplankton nutrient limitation in estuaries can be complicated by seasonal transitions between N and P limitation (Twomey and Thompson, 2001). Under such circumstances, spatial variability of nutrient limitation may depend on the size of the estuary and the proximity of phytoplankton communities to nutrient sources (river mouths, deep anoxic layers, ocean entrance; Twomey and Thompson, 2001). Under

sufficient light conditions, phytoplankton can influence nutrient limitation by their uptake of available nutrients until the limiting nutrient is exhausted (Fisher et al., 1995).

#### ***Temporarily Open Estuaries:***

Seventy percent of all estuaries in South Africa are temporarily open (Froneman, 2002). Estuaries that remain temporarily open are more susceptible to the accumulation of pollutants than permanently open estuaries (Nozais et al., 2001). Information concerning phytoplankton within temporarily open estuaries is sparse, but as the main primary producers they provide a crucial link between inorganic compounds and organic matter available to higher trophic levels (Nozais et al., 2001). Major changes in phytoplankton biomass are strongly correlated with the open and closed phases of temporarily open estuaries, which in turn influence their interaction with nutrients, irradiance, and water circulation (Nozais et al., 2001).

#### ***Mecox Bay:***

Mecox Bay is an enclosed, inland bay which is located on the south shore of south fork of Long Island, within the Town of Southampton. Historically, Mecox Bay has hosted one of the most productive oyster populations on the Atlantic Coast and has also hosted a productive soft shell clam community. Mecox also has a clear recreational and aesthetic value to the residents of the Town of Southampton. Mecox Bay lies adjacent to the Atlantic Ocean, and has an inlet which is opened by the Town of Southampton periodically (several times a year) allowing Mecox to exchange with the Atlantic Ocean. Within several days of the channel being opened, natural sediment transport processes close the recently dredged channel. Such town-sanctioned openings ensure that water

levels within Mecox Bay do not encroach on home surrounding Mecox Bay and help maintain salinity levels in Mecox within the brackish range ideal for the resident shellfish populations. While these periodic openings clearly affect the salinity and flushing the Mecox Bay, precise impacts on other important biological, chemical and physical parameters are unknown. Moreover, the general biological, chemical, geological, and physical characteristics of the system are unknown. Finally, point sources of pollution, precise residence times, and the general water quality of the system have not been established.

The aim of this study was to generally characterize the physical, chemical, and biological features of Mecox Bay and to identify the effects of opening the Mecox Bay inlet. During 2002, Mecox Bay was monitored on a weekly or biweekly basis. A robust data set of temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen concentration, depth, coliform bacteria densities, organic and inorganic nutrient concentrations, phytoplankton species composition and abundance, the effect of nutrients (N, P, and Si) on phytoplankton growth rates, bathymetry, sediment composition, as well as benthic and pelagic biodiversity was generated.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Five set stations were sampled once a week from 17 January through 13 December 2002. Three stations were located within Mecox Bay, one in the Atlantic Ocean (outside the nearby Shinnecock Inlet) and one in Mill Creek, which is the largest freshwater tributary flowing into the bay (Fig 1). All three stations within Mecox Bay were averaged together as one station representative of the bay. The brackish Mill Creek data is not reported. The stations were reached by a whaler and water samples at each station were collected from the surface using a bucket. Water samples were collected at the bow of the boat to prevent collecting any debris that arose from the motor. The collected water was then transferred from the bucket to carboys using a plastic funnel for storage and transportation purposes. Collected water samples were taken to the Southampton College Marine Station for immediate lab analysis.

### ***Physical Parameters:***

Temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), salinity (ppt), dissolved oxygen (DO; mg/L), and light penetration (m) were measured weekly at each station. A Hydrolab Quanta model CTD probe was lowered through the water column in 0.1 m and 0.2 m intervals, depending on the depth, and temperature, salinity, and DO readings were taken from the attached surface display. The CTD probe was held at depth until the DO reading stabilized. A secchi disk was used to determine light penetration.

### ***Coliform Bacteria:***

Water samples for the quantification of coliform bacteria were collected in autoclave sterilized amber glass bottles. Bottles remained sealed until the sample was

collected. They were then submerged beneath the water, directed into the current, and opened top down until filled (APHA, 1969). Bottles were sealed, kept in a cooler, and analyzed for coliform bacteria densities (MPN) according to the Multiple-tube Fermentation Method within one hour of collection (Pierce and Leboffe, 1999).

#### ***Nutrients:***

Once in the lab, water was transferred from the carboys to 500mL Teflon bottles. Samples were removed from the bottles using a 60mL syringe and filtered through a pre-combusted (4 hours at 450°C) 25 mm glass fiber filter in a Swinex Filter Holder into 120 mL nutrient bottles. Samples were then stored at -20°C until time of analysis. All samples were completely thawed in cool water before analysis was conducted. Sample containers were bathed in a 10% HCL acid wash for 24 hrs and rinsed with distilled water prior to use. Silicate, nitrate, phosphate, ammonium, and dissolved organic nitrogen were analyzed according to Parsons, et al. (1984). Nutrient concentrations in each sample were calculated through the use of graphs of sample absorbencies vs. their molarities, the equation for a "best fit" line regression and Beer's Law. Dissolved organic nitrogen concentrations were determined by subtracting the amount of dissolved inorganic nitrogen within the sample from the measured total dissolved nitrogen concentration (Gobler and Sanudo-Wilhelmy, 2001).

#### ***Phytoplankton Biomass levels:***

Levels of phytoplankton biomass were measured via chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*) analysis for both whole water and <5 µm samples, according to Parsons et al. (1989).

Size fractions <5 µm were obtained by filtration of whole water samples through a 5 µm Nyltex® mesh (Sin et al., 2000). Measured volumes (100-400 mL) of whole water samples were filtered to collect phytoplankton using a filtration manifold onto 47 mm glass fiber filters. The glass fiber filters were then placed in 20 mL vials and frozen overnight. 10 mL of 90% acetone was then added to the samples to leach out the chlorophyll, which were then placed in the dark at -20°C for 24 hours. The acetone leachate was placed into a cuvette and analyzed using a Turner Designs, model 10 AU, fluorometer. The fluorometer was calibrated once prior to its first use. 8 drops of 10% HCL were then added to the cuvette and another reading was made with the fluorometer. Chlorophyll *a* measurements were given in the units of µg/L. The calculations used to obtain the chlorophyll *a* level were:

$$\text{Chl } a \text{ (}\mu\text{g/l)} = (\text{Rb} - \text{Ra}) * \text{V}$$

where Rb was the reading before the addition of 10% HCL, Ra was the reading after the addition of 10% HCL and V was the volume of acetone / volume filtered. The same method was applied to the <5 µm water samples. This method was applied in triplicate for each whole water and <5 µm water samples from each station every week of sampling in order to account for error by use of standard deviation. Samples >5 µm were calculated by subtracting <5 µm samples from whole water samples.

#### ***Phytoplankton Species Composition and Abundance:***

Phytoplankton species composition and abundance was determined using the Utermöhl method (Hasle, 1978). Whole water samples from each station were preserved using Lugols iodine solution and then stored at 4 °C until the time of analysis, at which point a 15 mL aliquot of the well-shaken sample was poured into a 50 mL settling

chamber. Settling chambers consisted of a 50 mL top cylinder and a bottom plate chamber, which consisted of a rectangular perspex plate, a ring, and a coverslip within a circular base plate (Hasle, 1978). After a 24 hr settling period the top cylinder was removed to drain the water and a slide was placed perpendicularly on top of the base plate to cover the water sample located on the coverslip. No abrupt movements were made to the circular base plate to prevent disruption of the settled phytoplankton. The phytoplankton were stored in this manner until they could be observed.

At the time of observation, the coverslip was placed on an inverted microscope from which species identification and cell densities were determined. Only one coverslip per station for each week of sampling was settled and observed using the Utermöhl method. Phytoplankton were counted by the use of a grid (field) system. Ten grids were counted and identified for each coverslip. Phytoplankton smaller than 10  $\mu\text{m}$  were quantified but not identified. Phytoplankton larger than 10  $\mu\text{m}$  were counted and identified to the genus level. Picoplankton (<2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and nanoplankton (2  $\mu\text{m}$ -10  $\mu\text{m}$ ) were counted until they exceeded 100 organisms in each category. If 100 plankton were counted before an entire grid was completed, counting for the remainder of that particular grid continued until the grid was completed. More than one grid was counted if the first grid did not provide enough organisms to reach the minimum number of 100. The equation used to determine cell densities was:

$$\frac{\text{\# of organisms/fields counted}}{\text{Volume Settled}} * \frac{\text{Area of the well (mm}^2\text{)}}{\text{Area of the grid (mm}^2\text{)}}$$

where the area of the well was 283  $\text{mm}^2$  and the area of the grid was 0.031655  $\text{mm}^2$ .

### *Phytoplankton Nutrient Limitation Experiments:*

Nutrient addition experiments were conducted to identify the type of nutrient regime which promotes phytoplankton growth. Within an hour of collection, 1 L of seawater was transferred to acid clean 1.2 L polycarbonate flasks. Triplicate flasks were amended with sodium nitrate (20  $\mu\text{M}$ ), phosphate (1.25  $\mu\text{M}$ ), silicate (20  $\mu\text{M}$ ), or were left unamended as a control treatment. The concentrations of these additions were similar to previously observed increases of these nutrients in the water column of Long Island embayments and in Mecox Bay (Gobler and Sañudo-Wilhemly, 2001; Gobler and Boneillo, 2003; this study). Nutrient stocks were filter-sterilized (0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and stored frozen. Experimental bottles were incubated at a depth of  $\sim 0.25$  m under neutral density screening in Old Fort Pond (OFP) at the Southampton College, LIU, Marine Station, located 10 km west of Mecox Bay. Open tidal exchange with Shinnecock Bay keeps OFP well flushed; temperatures during incubations were typically within  $2^\circ\text{C}$  of stations in Mecox Bay. Screening reduced ambient light penetration by 40%. After 24 hrs, experimental flasks were filtered for chlorophyll *a* onto GF/F glass fiber filters. Net growth rates of the total phytoplankton community were calculated from changes in cell densities and chlorophyll *a* using the formula:  $k = [\ln(B_t / B_0)] / t$  where *k* is the net growth rate, *B<sub>t</sub>* is the amount of biomass (cell density or chlorophyll *a*) present at the end of the experiments, *B<sub>0</sub>* represents the amount of biomass at the beginning of experiments, and *t* is the duration of the experiment in days. Growth responses of each nutrient treatment were compared to the control treatment using a *t*-test. Nutrient additions yielding growth rates which were significantly greater than the control at the 0.05 level of probability were noted in Table 2.

### *Point Sources of Contaminants:*

Tributaries and groundwater entering Mecox Bay were evaluated as potential sources of nutrients and pathogen contaminants. Seven creeks (Bennett Creek, Sam's Creek, Swan's Creek, Calf Creek, Hayground Cove, Mill Pond, and Channel Pond) were sampled six times during the year: 14 Mar, 11 Apr, 3 Jun, 17 Jun, 10 Oct and 7 Nov. During each date, surface freshwater ( $< 0.1$  ppt) samples were obtained, processed and analyzed for levels of nutrients and coliform bacteria (*see above methods*).

When Mecox Bay was opened during the month of June, groundwater entering the bay was sampled from twenty locations surrounding the bay using three meter, Teflon-lined, PVC piezometers with 2.5 cm horizontal screened slits along the lower 25 cm located at the mean high water mark (Gobler & Sañudo-Wilhemy 2001; Gobler and Boneillo, 2003). Previous research on eastern Long Island has demonstrated that groundwater collected with such piezometers is more representative of the groundwater which enters surface waters than groundwater collected from coastal wells (Gobler & Sañudo-Wilhemy 2001). Deployment of piezometers 24 hrs before sampling allowed for equilibration with the benthic environment (Capone and Bautista, 1985). High groundwater seepage rates at Mecox Bay typically allowed intertidal samplers to fill with fresh groundwater (salinity  $< 0.1$  ppt) when sampled during low tide. Groundwater was sampled using a peristaltic pump equipped with acid-washed Teflon tubing. To ensure representative groundwater was sampled, wells were purged at  $< 100 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$  and samples were not obtained until the conductivity, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and pH of the pumped groundwater stabilized (Puls and Powell, 1992; Puls and Paul, 1995).

Nutrient and salinity samples were filtered with acid-cleaned, polypropylene capsule filters (0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ ; MSI Inc.) or precombusted GF/F glass fiber filters in the field, and immediately stored on ice and were frozen within 2 hrs. Groundwater was grouped into four regions (West, Northwest, East, Northeast) based on its general chemical characteristics.

#### ***Residence Time:***

The residence time of Mecox Bay was estimated using three methods. Firstly, tidal exchange volumes were calculated using a salt balance (Fisher et al. 1979) between Mecox Bay and the coastal Atlantic Ocean (Fig 1) according to the following equation:

$$Q_T = (Q_{GW} + Q_{RO} + Q_{precip} + Q_C) / [1 - (S_{MB} / S_{AO})]$$

where Q represents the water fluxes from net tidal exchange (T), groundwater (GW), surface run-off (RO), precipitation (precip) and creeks (C), and S is the mean annual salinities for Mecox Bay (MB) and the coastal Atlantic Ocean (AO). The estimated mean volume of Mecox Bay was divided by the tidal exchange volumes to determine residence times.

A second method used to determine residence times was measuring the net change in water transport out of the Mecox Bay inlet when the inlet was open. During 12-hr tidal cycles, currents ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ ) into and out of the bay were measured, and fluxes of water were estimated based on the length and width of the inlet opening. A net or residual transport current was determined, and the estimated mean volume of Mecox Bay was divided by this net, residual current to determine residence times.

Finally, residence time was estimated by observing changes in salinity in Mecox Bay during the times the inlet was open. Historical records (Southampton Town Trustees, pers. comm.) indicate that the maximal salinity achieved in Mecox Bay is typically on the order of 25 ppt. Hence, it was assumed that once the opened Mecox Bay has reached this full salinity, the bay has been completely flushed by ocean water.

#### ***Benthic surveys:***

During the March and April of 2002, a comprehensive benthic survey of Mecox Bay was conducted. Using GPS to mark stations, 10 east-west transects were conducted across Mecox Bay and Mill Creek. At each of the 50 stations samples, water depth was measured and a sediment sample was obtained by means of a PVC coring device. Sediment samples were stored frozen in Zip-loc bags until analysis. For sedimentary analysis, samples were dried, and then split. Half of the sample was sieved to determine grain size diameter using a Ro-Tap (Barbanti and Bothner, 1993). The particle diameters classes were summed to characterize sediment into three broad categories: Sand ( $> 500 \mu\text{m}$ ), sandy mud ( $180 - 500 \mu\text{m}$ ), and mud ( $< 180 \mu\text{m}$ ). The other half of the dried sediment sample was weighted and combusted at  $500^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 hrs and weighed again. Changes in weight during combustion were used to estimate the percent organic carbon content of the sediments using the loss on ignition technique (Leong and Tanner, 1999). Survey maps of bathymetry (depth) and sediment characteristics were made using isobars constructed by a computer program.

In addition to surveying the sediment, shellfish densities in Mecox Bay were also estimated during benthic transects. Shellfish samples were obtained via raking and via a scallop dredge. Shellfish caught during surveys were sized and numbers were converted to densities per 10 meters squared by estimating the area covered during each dredge or rake. Gear inefficiencies associated with each technique, as well as the timing of our survey (April: the end of shellfish harvesting season) likely contributed to a substantial underestimation of reported densities, although relative differences in densities across the bay are likely to be accurate. Underestimates were likely greatest for the soft shell clam, *Mya arenaria*, as this species is typically found in shallow regions which were not accessible via the benthic surveying techniques used by this project.

***Pelagic seining surveys:***

During the spring of 2002, seining surveys were conducted within the bay and tributaries of Mecox. A beach seine which was 8 m long by 2 m high with a mesh size of 6mm was utilized to collect pelagic organisms according to Araujo et al. (1999). Fish, crabs, and shrimp caught were identified, quantified and measured. A literature search was conducted to approximate the salinity tolerances of each species caught.

## RESULTS

### *Physical Parameters:*

During 2002, the Mecox Bay inlet was opened multiple times by human intervention or natural events. After each opening, it was closed by natural sediment transport processes. Mecox Bay inlet was dredged opened by the Town of Southampton on 8 Feb and remained open until 6 Mar. The channel was opened again by the Town on 29 May and it closed in early Jul. On 23 Sep, the town opened the inlet for a third time and it closed in early Oct. Due to successive storm events, the inlet was sporadically open throughout the autumn months with tidal washover occurring 16-21 Oct and 9-12 Nov.

Inlet openings and closings had a clear impact on the depth of Mecox Bay. After the Feb inlet opening, Mecox Bay contained depths of approximately 1.4 m (Fig 2). With the inlet closed, the depth of Mecox Bay continued to increase during the months of Apr and May to a depth of approximately 2 m (Fig 2). During the Jun opening of the inlet, Mecox Bay reached a minimal depth of  $1.2 \pm 0.2$  m on 10 Jun (Fig 2). With the closing of the inlet in Jul, the depth of Mecox Bay increased through the summer and averaged  $1.4 \pm 0.1$  m during Sep (Fig 2). With the inlet opening sporadically in the autumn, depths ranged from a low of  $1.5 \pm 0.2$  m (14 Nov) to a high of 2.2 m (7 Nov; Fig 2). The average secchi depth throughout the study was  $1.1 \pm 0.2$  m (Fig 3). The lowest secchi depths occurred during the summer months of Jul and Aug; 0.88 (16 Jul), 0.73 m (1 Aug), and 0.75 m (12 Aug; Fig 3).

The salinity in the Atlantic Ocean remained fairly constant throughout the study ( $32.1 \pm 1.1$  ppt; Fig 4). In contrast, the salinity of Mecox Bay varied with the opening of the inlet. Before the opening on the inlet on 8 Feb, the salinity was at its annual minimum, 6 ppt (7 Feb; Fig 4). With the opening of the inlet, the salinity increased during Feb to a high of 26.6 ppt on 7 Mar (Fig 4). With the closing of the inlet on 6 Mar, the salinity continued to steadily decrease until 22 May, when the salinity reached a low of 14.0 ppt (Fig 4). With the opening of the inlet again on 29 May, the salinity increased during Jun to 25.6 ppt (17 Jun) and then dropped to 14.2 ppt (10 Oct) while the inlet was closed (Fig 4). The salinity varied slightly during the sporadic autumn inlet openings but remained between 13.7 ppt (13 Dec) and 15.6 ppt (7 Nov; Fig 4).

Temperatures followed an expected seasonal pattern during this study. The temperature rose from  $<5$  °C in both Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean in Jan to a peak of 20.8 °C in Mecox Bay and 11.7 °C in the Atlantic Ocean on 18 Apr (Fig 5). Both Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean experienced slight temperature declines during May to 15.9 °C and 11.3 °C on 22 May, respectively (Fig 5). During Jul and Aug, temperatures in Mecox Bay reached their seasonal peak, remaining above 25.0 °C (Fig 5). The temperature in the Atlantic Ocean peaked at 21.7 °C on 1 Aug, remained around 21 °C during Sep, and then continuously declined for the remainder of the year (Fig 5). Through the autumn, the temperature of Mecox Bay steadily decreased to  $<4.0$  °C in Dec (Fig 5).

Bottom dissolved oxygen (DO) in Mecox Bay also displayed a seasonal cycle (Fig 6). Bottom DO ranged between 8.0 mg/L and 10.0 mg/L during the spring until Jun, where it began to continually decrease to a low of 2.71 mg/L on 2 Jul (Fig 6). Bottom DO rose to 6.9 mg/L on 16 Jul, stayed at approximately 5.5 mg/L in Aug and then gradually increased to a peak of 12.8 mg/L in Dec (Fig 6).

The residence time of Mecox Bay ranged from 5 to 26 days during the study (Table 1). During the Feb opening, both salt balance calculations and salinity observations suggested a residence time of approximately 18 days. During the Jun opening, salt balance, salt observations, and current measurements indicated a residence time of 19-26 days. During a brief inlet opening in Nov, current measurements indicated a residence time of 5 days.

#### ***Nutrients:***

Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) concentrations in Mecox Bay displayed a clear seasonal cycle. Levels started high at  $36.6 \pm 3.1 \mu\text{M}$  on 17 Jan and increased to  $49.2 \pm 10.5 \mu\text{M}$  on 24 Jan before continuously decreasing to  $21.4 \pm 3.0 \mu\text{M}$  on 25 Feb (Fig 7). After slightly increasing to  $22.6 \pm 1.1 \mu\text{M}$  on 7 Mar, DIN concentrations in Mecox Bay steadily decreased to a low of  $0.8 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{M}$  on 3 Jun (Fig 7). The average DIN concentration in Mecox Bay during the summer (Jun-Sep) was  $1.8 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{M}$  (Fig 7). In the autumn, DIN levels began increasing once again to over  $25 \mu\text{M}$  in Nov and Dec (Fig 7). The DIN concentration in the Atlantic Ocean remained steady throughout the year, averaging  $3.2 \pm 1.8 \mu\text{M}$  (Fig 7).

Phosphate concentrations in Mecox Bay increased from low levels in winter and spring (Jan-Mar,  $0.4 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{M}$ ; Fig 9) to higher levels in summer (Jul-Sep,  $1.2 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{M}$  Fig 9). After 20 Sep, phosphate concentrations continually decreased to a low of  $0.1 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{M}$  on 13 Dec (Fig 9). The average phosphate concentration in the Atlantic Ocean throughout the year was  $0.8 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{M}$  (Fig 9). Phosphate concentrations ranged from a high of  $1.2 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{M}$  (15 Feb) to a low of  $0.4 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{M}$  (22 May; Fig 9).

Seasonal variability in DIN and DIP concentrations resulted in dramatic shifts in DIN:DIP ratios in Mecox Bay during 2002. The DIN:DIP ratio decreased from 135 on 17 Jan to 34.1 on 15 Feb (Fig 10). The ratio then increased to 111 on 28 Mar before gradually decreasing to  $< 1$  in Jun and throughout the summer and early autumn (Fig 10). Starting in Oct, the DIN:DIP ratio continually increased to a high of 247 on 13 Dec (Fig 10). The DIN:DIP ratio in the Atlantic Ocean remained steady throughout the year with a mean of  $4.3 \pm 2.4$  (Fig 10). Consistent with these ratios, phytoplankton populations in Mecox Bay showed phosphorous limitation throughout the winter, spring, and late autumn (Jan-May and late Nov-Dec), but were nitrogen limited in the summer and early autumn (Jun-Oct; Table 2). In contrast, Atlantic Ocean populations were sporadically nitrogen limited through the year (Table 2).

DON concentrations in Mecox Bay seemed to change seasonally and with the opening of the inlet. DON concentrations in Mecox Bay decreased during the Feb opening of the inlet from  $43.9 \pm 8.4 \mu\text{M}$  (7 Feb) to  $26.3 \pm 8.2 \mu\text{M}$  (7 Mar; Fig 11). DON concentrations then gradually increased to  $50.8 \pm 10.2 \mu\text{M}$  (22 May) before again decreasing to a level of  $34.6 \mu\text{M}$  on 17 Jun, during which the Mecox Bay inlet was open

DON -  
Dissolved  
Organic  
Nitrogen

(Fig 11). The DON concentration then continually increased until 12 Aug ( $87.3 \pm 3.6$   $\mu\text{M}$ ; Fig 11). There was then a decrease in DON concentration throughout the autumn and winter months, reaching a low of  $13.8 \pm 3.3$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 13 Dec (Fig 11). In the Atlantic Ocean, DON concentrations steadily increased from  $9.7 \pm 6.3$   $\mu\text{M}$  (17 Jan) to  $25.7 \pm 3.6$   $\mu\text{M}$  (16 Jul; Fig 11). After the 16 Jul high, the DON concentration steadily decreased to a year low of  $6.8 \pm 0.8$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 13 Dec (Fig 11).

Throughout the year, the concentration of silicate in Mecox Bay was consistently higher than in the Atlantic Ocean (Fig 12) and silicate concentrations followed a pattern similar to that seen in DON concentrations. Silicate concentrations in Mecox Bay decreased from  $43.3 \pm 4.5$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 17 Jan to  $21.3 \pm 0.2$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 25 Feb before increasing to  $44.7 \pm 7.9$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 8 May (Fig 12). Silicate concentrations decreased again throughout Jun ( $19.4 \pm 4.1$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 17 Jun) and then increased to an annual peak of  $87.9 \pm 6.6$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 1 Aug (Fig 12). After 1 Aug, silicate concentrations continually decreased for the remainder of the year, reaching  $37.4 \pm 3.4$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 13 Dec (Fig 12). In the Atlantic Ocean, with the exception of 7 Mar ( $8.4 \pm 4.0$   $\mu\text{M}$ ) and 8 May ( $6.3 \pm 0.8$   $\mu\text{M}$ ) silicate concentrations ranged between 1  $\mu\text{M}$  and 2  $\mu\text{M}$  for the first six months of the year (Fig 12). Silicate concentrations reached a year high of  $31.6 \pm 5.5$   $\mu\text{M}$  (5 Sep) in the Atlantic Ocean (Fig 12). The concentration of silicate in the Atlantic Ocean varied between  $2.92 \pm 2.1$   $\mu\text{M}$  and  $31.6 \pm 5.5$   $\mu\text{M}$  throughout the autumn months before reaching  $4.4 \pm 0.1$   $\mu\text{M}$  on 13 Dec (Fig 12).

The tributaries and groundwater entering Mecox Bay provided a significant source of nutrients to the bay. Burnett and Sam's Creeks were the largest contributors of

nitrate to Mecox Bay ( $188.8 \pm 27.0 \mu\text{M}$  and  $153.4 \pm 67.0 \mu\text{M}$ , respectively; Fig 13). Swan's Creek and Channel Pond contributed the most DON ( $83.5 \pm 45.1 \mu\text{M}$  and  $74.7 \pm 29.8 \mu\text{M}$ , respectively) and Hayground Cove and Mill Pond were the biggest contributors of silicate ( $13.4 \pm 4.4 \mu\text{M}$  and  $51.8 \pm 34.4 \mu\text{M}$ ; respectively; Fig 13). Relatively small concentrations of ammonium and orthophosphate were found in all seven tributaries ( $6.9 \pm 5.3 \mu\text{M}$  and  $0.6 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{M}$  respectively; Fig 13). The largest concentration of nitrogen in groundwater was observed in the eastern portion of the bay ( $500.5 \pm 206.0 \mu\text{M}$ ; Fig 8). The largest concentration of phosphate from groundwater was observed in the northeast corner of the bay ( $8.5 \pm 5.9 \mu\text{M}$ ; Fig 8). The average phosphate concentration throughout Mecox Bay was  $5.0 \pm 3.3 \mu\text{M}$  (Fig 8). Silicate concentrations in groundwater were largest in the northwest and eastern corners of the bay ( $69.9 \pm 7.8 \mu\text{M}$  and  $61.3 \pm 45.7 \mu\text{M}$ , respectively; Fig 8).

### ***Coliform Bacteria:***

Coliform bacteria densities increased with increasing temperatures in both Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean and densities were generally higher when the inlet was closed (May and Jul-Sep; Fig 14). Despite the inlet being closed, densities were lowest at all locations during the spring months of Mar and Apr ( $8 \pm 7$  colonies/100mL; Fig 14). Densities of coliform bacteria rose to a peak in May (between  $60 \pm 29$  colonies/100mL and  $76 \pm 29$  colonies/100mL; Fig 14). Densities then dropped during the June inlet opening (between  $30 \pm 23$  colonies/100mL and  $34 \pm 16$  colonies/100mL), but were higher in July and August when the inlet was closed ( $25 \pm 17$  colonies/100mL and  $570 \pm 484$  colonies/100mL; Fig 14). Densities of coliform bacteria also increased during the autumn months, throughout which there was heavy rainfall (Table 4). Coliform bacteria

densities in the Atlantic Ocean were lower than Mecox Bay throughout the year ( $2.8 \pm 4.3$  colonies/100mL; Fig 14).

The creeks entering Mecox Bay were a large source of coliform bacteria for the bay. Kellis Pond supplied the lowest densities of coliform bacteria out of all the creeks tested;  $169.5 \pm 248.8$  colonies/100mL (Fig 15). Sam's Creek and Hayground Cove were the largest point sources for coliform bacteria to Mecox Bay with annual averages of  $542.8 \pm 268.4$  colonies/100mL and  $509.5 \pm 798.8$  colonies/100mL, respectively (Fig 15).

#### ***Phytoplankton Biomass:***

With the exception of 24 Jan, concentrations of whole chl *a* ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) were higher in Mecox Bay than in the Atlantic Ocean throughout the year (Fig 16). Whole chl *a* concentrations peaked during the Feb inlet opening ( $5.7 \pm 0.7$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ , 25 Feb) and immediately after the Feb opening of the inlet ( $10 \pm 0.2$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ , 14 Mar; Fig 16). Chl *a* concentrations then decreased to  $3.5 \pm 0.2$   $\mu\text{g/L}$  on 22 May before peaking for a second time during ( $13 \pm 0.4$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ , 17 Jun) and after (maximum of  $18 \pm 0.7$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ , 1 Aug) the Jun opening of the Mecox Bay inlet (Fig 16). High chl *a* levels were sustained in Mecox Bay during Jul and Aug. After the high summer chl *a* concentrations, levels decreased during the autumn months to  $6.9 \pm 0.7$   $\mu\text{g/L}$  (10 Oct) with the exception of two blooms seen on 17 Oct ( $15 \pm 0.8$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) and 31 Oct ( $12 \pm 0.6$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ; Fig 16). By 13 Dec, the concentration of whole chl *a* decreased to  $2.8 \pm 0.6$   $\mu\text{g/L}$  (Fig 16). Chl *a* concentrations in the Atlantic Ocean averaged  $1.9 \pm 0.4$   $\mu\text{g/L}$  throughout the year (Fig 16). High levels of chl *a* in the Atlantic Ocean were observed on 25 Feb ( $3.0 \pm 0.4$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), 16 Jul ( $4.4 \pm 2.2$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ), and 17 Oct ( $4.40 \pm 0.1$   $\mu\text{g/L}$ ; Fig 16). Average percentages of size-fractionated chl *a* for the

*a* for the entire length of the study showed that  $<5 \mu\text{m}$  phytoplankton comprised the majority of phytoplankton found in Mecox Bay ( $70.9 \pm 15.0\%$ ) and the Atlantic Ocean ( $57.9 \pm 48.6\%$ ; Fig 17).

#### ***Species Composition and Abundance:***

In a manner consistent with the size fraction data, small phytoplankton dominated throughout the study at each station. With a few exceptions (8 May and 3 and 10 Oct in Mecox Bay and 3 and 10 October for the Atlantic Ocean), picoplankton occurred at larger densities than nanoplankton (Fig 18, 19). In both Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean, picoplankton and nanoplankton densities were lowest between 24 Jan and 18 Apr (Fig 18, 19). Picoplankton and nanoplankton densities in Mecox Bay peaked during the summer and early autumn months (Fig 18).

Picoplankton and nanoplankton densities in the Atlantic Ocean were highest during the summer months with peaks in picoplankton occurring on 4 Jun (71,775 cells/mL), 2 Jul (211,216 cells/mL), and 5 Sep (196,109 cells/mL) and peaks in nanoplankton occurring on 8 May (21,245 cells/mL), 11 Jun (24,404 cells/mL), and 3 Oct (55,889 cells/mL; Fig 19). After an Oct decrease, picoplankton densities peaked again on 7 Nov (49,764 cells/mL) before decreasing to 15,859 cells/mL on 13 Dec (Fig 19). Nanoplankton densities remained below 5,906 cells/mL (31 Oct) after the 24 Oct peak (Fig 19).

Unlike the smaller phytoplankton, larger cells displayed cycles of peaks and drops in abundance through the year. In Mecox Bay, dinoflagellates reached peaks on 14 Mar (1,536 cells/mL), 4 Apr (2,570 cells/mL), 22 May (1,062 cells/mL), 11 Jun (1,263

cells/mL), 12 Aug (842 cells/mL), 24 Oct (2,182 cells/mL), and 14 Nov (842 cells/mL; Fig 20). Dinoflagellate densities were consistently higher than diatoms and ciliate densities. Diatoms in Mecox Bay peaked on 24 Jan (345 cells/mL), 4 Apr (517 cells/mL), 3 Oct (1,531 cells/mL), and 14 Nov (574 cells/mL; Fig 20). The density of diatoms was lowest during the summer months (Jun through Sep; Fig 20). Ciliates in Mecox Bay often peaked after dinoflagellates, with peaks occurring on 28 Mar (1,651 cells/mL), 4 Jun (957 cells/mL), 2 Jul (373 cells/mL), and 5 Sep (383 cells/mL; Fig 20). The densities of ciliates were lowest in Jan and Feb and from Oct to Dec.

With the exception of 10 Oct (38 cells/mL), diatom cell densities in the Atlantic Ocean were larger than dinoflagellate and ciliate densities (Fig 21). Dinoflagellates and diatoms peaked many times throughout the year. The largest dinoflagellate peaks occurred during the summer (20 cells/mL on 8 May, 187 cells/mL on 4 Jun, and 230 cells/mL on 12 Aug; Fig 21). The largest peaks in diatom densities in the Atlantic Ocean occurred on 8 May (804 cells/mL), 12 Aug (976 cells/mL), 3 Oct (880 cells/mL), and 27 Nov (871 cells/mL; Fig 21). Except for 17 Jun (57 cells/mL) and 3 Oct (77 cells/mL), ciliates cell densities in the Atlantic Ocean remained constant at 0 cells/mL (Fig 21).

### ***Benthic surveys***

Bathymetry surveys of Mecox Bay revealed that deepest areas of the bay were 2 – 2.5 m, in a thin band through the middle of the bay (Fig 22). The bulk of the bay was 1.5 – 2 m deep, although areas along the shore, by the inlet and within Mill Creek were < 1 m (Fig 22). The composition of bottom sediments is partly consistent with the depth of the bay. Specifically, the deepest regions of Mecox in the bay's center contain sediments

which are muddy and rich in organic carbon (> 5% of sediment by weight; Fig 23, 24). By contrast, most of the shallow perimeter sediments are sandy and have a low organic carbon content (< 1% of sediment by weight; Fig 23, 24). The exception to this trend was Mill Creek, which was shallow, but had muddy and organically enriched sediments (> 5% of sediment organic carbon by weight; Fig 23, 24). The most abundant shellfish in Mecox Bay was the American Oyster, *Crassostera virginia*, although moderate densities of the ribbed mussel, *Geukensia demissa*, and the soft shell clam, *Mya arenaria*, were also found (Fig 25). The shellfish were somewhat evenly distributed through the bay, although the greatest densities of all species were found in the southwest corner of the bay (*Crassostera virginia* densities  $\sim 2.5 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ; Fig 25). Amongst the smaller, infaunal benthic invertebrates, polychaetes, oligochaetes, and amphipods were the most abundant organisms noted during this study (data not shown). They were found primarily in sandy sediments (data not shown).

### ***Pelagic surveys***

Pelagic seining surveys conducted during the spring of 2002 revealed a great diversity of fish and invertebrates in Mecox Bay. The most abundant fish species found was the Inland Atlantic silverside (*Menidia beryllina*) while the most abundant pelagic invertebrate was the blue claw crab (*Callinectes sapidus*; Table 5). Other abundant fish included the Atlantic silverside (*Menidia menidia*), the sheepshead minnow (*Cyprinodon variegatus*) and the striped killifish (*Fundulus majalis*; Table 5). Nearly all pelagic organisms were found within various creeks surrounding Mecox Bay, with Atlantic Silversides, Atlantic herring, and Japanese shore crabs being the exceptions (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

### *Current Status of Mecox Bay:*

Mecox Bay acts as a highly productive estuary for shellfish, particularly the American oyster, *Crassostera virginia* (Fig 25; Southampton Town Trustees, pers. comm.). Densities of oysters in Mecox exceed almost any other embayment on Long Island (COSMA, 1985) and landings and recruitment of oysters have been increasing in recent years (Fig 25; Southampton Town Trustees, pers. comm.). This represents a marked departure from most other Long Island estuaries where shellfish populations have been failing (COSMA, 1985; Bricelj and Lonsdale, 1997). Therefore, it is of great interest to compare Mecox Bay to other Long Island estuaries in order to identify factors which may enhance shellfish growth. The biggest difference is that Mecox Bay is mostly closed and therefore does not have frequent exchanges with the Atlantic Ocean, as more open estuaries would experience. Accordingly, Mecox Bay has lower salinity,  $17.4 \pm 5.1$  ppt, compared to other Long Island estuaries, in particular Great South Bay, Peconic Bay, Long Island Sound, Shinnecock Bay, and Moriches Bay, whose average salinity levels are 24-30 ppt (Table 3; SCDHS, 1976-2002). Since oysters are known to grow quicker within brackish salinities (Thompson, 1997), the brackish environment in Mecox Bay may physiologically benefit the resident population. Mecox Bay also has higher DIN concentrations,  $14.3 \pm 13.5$   $\mu\text{M}$ , than the previously mentioned Long Island estuaries, where average DIN concentrations range between 1 and 5  $\mu\text{M}$  (Table 3; SCDHS, 1976-2002). Although there are moderately higher chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*) concentrations present in Mecox Bay relative to Peconic Estuary, Shinnecock Bay, and Moriches Bay (SCDHS, 1976-2002), these levels may be mitigated by the presence of filter feeding bivalves

within the bay (Officer et al., 1982). With the Mecox Bay inlet remaining mostly closed throughout the year, there is no loss of phytoplankton through the flushing of the inlet. Therefore, the phytoplankton community within Mecox Bay experiences high net growth. The elevated levels of nitrogen and chl *a* in Mecox Bay suggest the high levels of primary productivity are supporting dense and productive shellfish populations (COSMA, 1985). The existence of higher chl *a* levels in Great South Bay relative to Mecox Bay (SCDHS, 1976-2002), in concert with the dramatic loss of shellfish populations in Great South Bay during recent decades, supports the hypothesis that filter feeders play an important role in regulating algal biomass in shallow Long Island estuaries.

During 2002, phytoplankton populations in Mecox Bay were both phosphorous and nitrogen limited (Table 2). In contrast, most Long Island estuaries are only nitrogen limited (Gobler and Sañudo-Wilhelmy, 2001b; Gobler, et al., 2002). Such nitrogen limitation is similar to the Atlantic Ocean (Table 2) and may be the result of larger exchanges of water between the estuaries and the ocean and longer residence times. Mecox Bay was phosphorous limited between Jan and the beginning of May and in late Nov and Dec and was nitrogen limited from Jun to Oct (Table 2). Systems are determined to be phosphorus limited when the DIN:DIP ratio is above the ideal Redfield Ratio value of 16:1 and nitrogen limited when below the same value (Berner and Berner, 1992). Accordingly, the DIN:DIP ratio in Mecox Bay was  $87.6 \pm 55.5$  from Jan-May and Nov-Dec, but was  $3.7 \pm 4.1$  during Jun-Oct (Fig 10). The seasonal change in phosphorus and nitrogen limitation seen in Mecox Bay has also been observed in Chesapeake Bay (Howarth et al., 1995).

Picoplankton comprised the majority of phytoplankton cells within Mecox Bay (Fig 18). This data concurs with the size fractionated chl *a* data (Fig 17) and with other studies of Long Island estuaries, showing that small phytoplankton dominate the total phytoplankton biomass (Lively et. al., 1983). This dominance of small phytoplankton, however, may not be good for shellfish. Previous studies of Long Island estuaries have linked high cell densities of small phytoplankton to a decline in shellfish (Lively et. al., 1983) due to their inability to retain small cells (Bass et al., 1990). However, the abundance of shellfish in Mecox Bay suggests there must be enough larger algal biomass to support robust growth (Fig 16). Microplankton (>10  $\mu\text{m}$ ) within Mecox Bay were dominated by dinoflagellates and ciliates (Fig 20), while diatoms dominated microplankton in the Atlantic Ocean (Fig 21). These results are consistent with other studies which have found diatoms in open, well flushed waters around Long Island but dinoflagellates in more eutrophic areas (Bruno, et al., 1980; Gobler and Boneillo, 2003). Dinoflagellates and ciliates within Mecox Bay could be herbivorous grazers which consume the smaller phytoplankton (Grahmn and Wilcox, 2000). The abundance of such algal grazers may not only be promoted by the presence of prolific numbers of picoplankton, but they may also regulate the algal biomass.

The composition of Mecox Bay bottom sediments is likely influenced by the depth, hydrography, and productivity of this estuary. The prevalence of coarse grain, organically deplete sediments around the perimeter and near the inlet of this system (Fig 23, 24) is likely due to the heavier physical motion of the water within these regions. These regions are shallow, and thus are the most likely to be influenced by surface wave

motion. Moreover, when the inlet is open, these regions are also subject to tidal currents. The sum of these motions is likely to keep fine grain material, such as mud, in suspension, but allows coarser material (sands) to remain. By contrast, the deeper portions of Mecox in the bay's center are less subject to these actions, and thus fine grain material, which is organically enriched, settles in these regions (Fig 23,24). The abundance of fine grain, organically rich material in Mill Creek is likely a reflection of the drastic reduction of currents in this tributary as it passes through constricted, high flow region under the Route 27 bridge to the more quiescent, brackish portion of the tributary south of the bridge. In addition, the process of flocculation, which occurs as freshwater and saltwater mix, is likely to cause organic particle formation and settling in Mill Creek (Sharp et al., 1984). Finally, the high levels of nutrients and phytoplankton biomass in Mill Creek (data not shown) are also likely to contribute to a high rate of deposition of organic matter in this tributary.

As stated previously, Mecox Bay hosts a notable abundance of multiple shellfish, including the American Oyster, *Crassostera virginia*, the ribbed mussel, *Geukensia demissa*, and the soft shell clam, *Mya arenaria* (Fig 25). Although densities of shellfish in the north, northeast, and southern regions of the bay were similar, densities were highest in the southwest corner and lower in the northwest and southeast. Reduced densities in the southeast corner may reflect the meandering of ocean inlet into this region during all 2002 inlet openings. The lower food (phytoplankton) content and high salinities in this region may restrict oyster growth. The lower shellfish densities in the northwest corner of the bay is likely a function of this region including Mill Creek, which

had low salinity, muddy sediment, and frequent phytoplankton blooms of dinoflagellates, all properties which may negatively impact filter feeding oysters (Thompson, 1997). The higher shellfish abundances in the southwest corner of Mecox Bay may be due to the more sandy and variable sediment composition of this region. The proximity of this region to Mill Creek may also be beneficial in that this region seemed to receive higher levels of food (phytoplankton), but had ideal brackish salinities (Thompson, 1997).

Pelagic surveys in Mecox Bay revealed a high level of diversity of both fish and invertebrates (Table 5). The great abundance of the blue claw crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) indicates the potential for another successful fishery in the ecosystem. Initially, there was concern that some species of fish or invertebrates may suffer ill effects from the opening and closing of the inlets and the associated drastic changes in salinity in this bay. Such a scenario could be a particularly troublesome for ocean dwelling organisms which could become trapped in Mecox Bay once the ocean inlet has closed. However, the seining survey and subsequent literature search indicated that most pelagic fish and invertebrates found in Mecox Bay are euryhaline, being able to live in waters of a wide range of salinity (Table 5).

#### ***Health of Mecox Bay:***

One goal of this study was to assess the health of the Mecox Bay ecosystem. High nitrogen levels are present in the bay (Fig 7) and may be partly due to input from the tributaries and groundwater (Fig 8,13). The tributaries and groundwater entering Mecox Bay are a major source of nutrients (Fig 8, 13, 15). High levels of nitrogen are present in the tributaries entering Mecox Bay (up to 200  $\mu$ M; Fig 13). Groundwater was

also a major source of nitrogen for Mecox Bay, particularly on the eastern shore of the bay where nitrogen levels exceeded 500  $\mu\text{M}$  (Fig 8). The peak levels of DIN in tributaries (200 $\mu\text{M}$ ) and in groundwater (500 $\mu\text{M}$ ) entering Mecox Bay exceeds levels previously reported around other Long Island estuaries (Gobler and Sañudo-Wilhelmy, 2001a; Clark, et al., submitted). The sources of the high nutrient levels entering Mecox Bay from the tributaries and groundwater are likely to be anthropogenic, due to the large amount of housing and/or farming in the area (Richardson and Jorgensen, 1996; LaRoche et al., 1997; Gobler and Sañudo-Wilhelmy, 2001a). Anthropogenic sources may also be the cause of high dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) levels seen in Mecox Bay (Fig 11). These nutrients likely support the high levels of primary and secondary productivity in this system.

The high levels of nitrogen in Mecox Bay may be responsible for the increase in phytoplankton densities, which could in turn die off and result in lower dissolved oxygen (DO) levels. The Mecox Bay water column, however, is usually well mixed (data not shown) a condition which seemingly prevents hypoxic conditions. The DO levels were usually above the hypoxic threshold of 3 mg/L, except on 2 Jul and during some summer observations in Hayground Cove, which is poorly flushed (data not shown; Fig 6).

Most of Mecox Bay is open to shellfishing between 15 Dec and 15 Apr, although the NYDEC threshold for closing the shellfish bed is 70 MPN during this period. In 2002, densities of coliform bacteria were below the NYDEC threshold of 70 MPN during the time Mecox Bay was open to shellfishing. Coliform densities reached levels above 70 MPN for the first time on 8 May (Station 3), 22 May (Station 2), and 16 Jul (Station 1;

Fig 14). It is believed therefore that the shellfish season is appropriately chosen. As of 8 May, Station 3, at 93 colonies/100mL, was the only station above the NYDEC closing threshold (Fig 14) and throughout the study, station 3 consistently had coliform densities the same or higher than stations 1 and 2 (Fig 14). Moreover, coliform input from the creeks into Mecox Bay averaged  $350.2 \pm 153.5$  colonies/100mL (Fig 15). Hence, the permanent closing of station 3 and Mecox Bay tributaries to shellfishing also seems to be a necessary precaution for the ecosystem.

From the data collected, the opening of the inlet appears to decrease coliform bacteria densities in Mecox Bay (Fig 14). Densities of coliform bacteria were always low in the Atlantic Ocean (Fig 14). Densities of coliform bacteria dramatically increased when the Mecox Bay inlet was closed, particularly in the warm summer months, and decreased with the opening of the inlet during Jun (Fig 14). It is also likely that the heavy rainfall seen in the autumn months contributed to the high densities of coliform bacteria seen at that time (Table 4).

#### ***Impact of Inlet Openings on Mecox Bay:***

The residence time of Mecox Bay is variable and dependent on many factors, including the size of the inlet, the strength of the tides, the prevailing winds, and the state of the Atlantic Ocean. Although the average residence time in Mecox Bay was  $18.7 \pm 7.5$  days during the course of this study, the residence time ranged from 5 days in Nov to 26 days in Jun (Table 1). In contrast, West Neck Bay, Shelter Island has an average residence time of 14 days (Dilorenzo and Ram, 1991) and North Sea Harbor, Southampton has an average residence time of 3 days (Gobler and Boneillo, 2003). The

residence time of Peconic Estuary is approximately 50 days and in Great South Bay it is 100 days (Hardy, 1976; Wilson, et al., 1991). The difference in residence times between Mecox Bay and the other small Long Island bays may be the result of a smaller inlet size and fewer openings and thus lower exchanges with the Atlantic Ocean. Depending on the structure of the Mecox Bay inlet, the time needed to flush the bay is variable.

Almost all of the parameters measured during the study were higher in Mecox Bay than in the Atlantic Ocean (Table 3). Salinity concentrations, phosphate concentrations and diatom cell densities were the only parameters that showed higher annual mean levels in the Atlantic Ocean. The higher phosphate levels seen in the Atlantic Ocean is consistent with the general consensus that marine systems are nitrogen limited (Richardson and Jørgensen, 1996). However, it can generally be stated that inlet opening will dilute most parameters within Mecox Bay. Rapid input from groundwater and tributaries, particularly during cooler months, will prevent Mecox Bay from being completely flushed of contaminants such as nitrogen. The opening of the inlet did effectively reduce coliform bacteria, DON, and silicate in Mecox Bay during 2002.

Physical parameters were strongly and obviously influenced by the opening of the Mecox Bay inlet. The parameters most influenced by the opening of the inlet during this study were the depth, salinity, and DO of Mecox Bay. The depth of Mecox Bay increased with the closing of the inlet and decreased with the opening of the inlet (Fig 2). Salinity levels within Mecox Bay dramatically increased during the openings of the inlet from 6.3 ppt (7 Feb) to 26.6 ppt (7 Mar) and again from 13.96 ppt (22 May) to 25.6 ppt (17 Jun; Fig 4). In contrast, the average salinity in the Atlantic Ocean remained fairly

constant at  $32.1 \pm 1.1$  ppt (Fig 4). These results indicate rapid freshwater input to the system from groundwater and tributaries, in concert with inlet openings, play an important role in regulating water level in Mecox Bay. DO decreased with the Jun opening of the inlet and increased dramatically with the closing of the inlet in Jul (Fig 6). As with the sporadic inlet openings during the autumn, DO concentrations followed variable increases and decreases, finally increasing to an annual high in Nov after the closing of the inlet (Fig 6).

Higher phosphate concentrations in the Atlantic Ocean relative to Mecox Bay during the winter and early spring months resulted in the delivery of phosphate to phosphate limited phytoplankton in Mecox Bay during the Feb opening of the inlet (Fig 9). The increased levels of phosphate seemed to simulate a phytoplankton bloom as levels of chl *a* increased during the Feb inlet opening (Fig 16). At the time of the opening of the Mecox Bay inlet in late May and Jun, nitrogen concentrations in the Atlantic Ocean were occasionally greater than in Mecox Bay (Fig 7). With the opening of the inlet in Jun, chl *a* concentrations in Mecox Bay increased, suggesting that during the summer, the Atlantic Ocean may bring nitrogen into Mecox Bay (Nixon, et al., 1994).

Temperature followed an expected seasonal pattern during this study and may have directly or indirectly influenced nutrient levels within Mecox Bay (Fig 5). The levels of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) in the bay decreased with the warming temperatures and again increased with the declining autumn temperatures (Fig 7). In fact, DIN levels were highly and inversely correlated with bay temperature during this study ( $r^2=0.66$ ,  $P<0.000001$ ). With lower water temperature, marine organisms have a

lower metabolic rate and thus lower nutrient uptake. A decrease in uptake rate by organisms during the cooler months may explain the increase in DIN seen at that same time. Also, higher groundwater and stream flow in winter, due to less evaporation, may increase DIN levels (Steinhuis, et al., 1985). Benthic fluxes decrease with decreasing temperatures and this may explain the coinciding decreases in phosphate concentrations in late fall (Fig 9; Gobler and Sañudo-Wilhelmy, 2001a).

In conclusion, the opening of the Mecox Bay inlet has a prominent effect on some physical, chemical, and biological attributes of Mecox Bay. Depth and salinity are most directly affected by the opening of the inlet. Seasonal temperature changes play a direct and indirect role in altering Mecox Bay nutrient concentrations, as does the significant input from tributaries and groundwater. Large nutrient input into Mecox Bay likely supports high levels of primary and secondary productivity in the system. Coliform bacteria densities increase with the closing of the inlet and data from this study has shown that the shellfishing season and areas of the bay open to shellfishing as determined by the NYDEC to be accurate. The presence of large nutrient concentrations promotes phytoplankton growth, which results in healthy shellfish populations within Mecox Bay. The low flushing rate of Mecox Bay, resulting from the inlet remaining closed most of the year, creates a phytoplankton community with higher net growth than that seen in most open estuaries, particularly Peconic Estuary and Great South Bay. Mecox Bay is both phosphate and nitrogen limited, with phosphate limitation occurring in the winter, early spring, and autumn and nitrogen limitation occurring in the summer. This contrasts with the nitrogen limitation seen in most Long Island estuaries.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, T.H. and Taylor G.T. (2001). Nutrient Pulses, Plankton Blooms, and Seasonal Hypoxia in Western Long Island Sound. *Estuaries*. 22: 228-243.
- APHA. (1969). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater Including Bottom Sediments and Sludges. 12<sup>th</sup> Edition. American Public Health Association Inc.
- Araujo, F.G., Bailey, R.G., Williams, W.P. (1999) Spatial and temporal variations in fish populations in the upper Thames estuary. *Journal of Fish Biology* 55: 836-853
- Barbanti, A. and Bothner, M.H. (1993) A procedure for partitioning bulk sediments into distinct grain size fractions for geochemical analysis. *Environmental Geology* 21: 3-21
- Bass, A.E., Malouf, R.E. and Shumway, S.E. 1990. Growth of Northern Quahogs (*Mercenaria mercenaria*) fed on picoplankton. *J. Shellfish Res.* 9:299-307.
- Berner, E.K. and Berner, R.A. (1992). Global Environment: Water, Air and Geochemical Cycles. Prentice Hall Inc, NJ.
- Bricelj VM, Lonsdale DJ (1997) *Aureococcus anophagefferens*: Causes and ecological consequences of brown tides in U.S. mid-Atlantic coastal waters. *Limnol Oceanogr* 42: 1023-1038.
- Bruno, S.F., Staker, R.D., and Sharma, G.M. (1980). Dynamics of Phytoplankton Productivity in the Peconic Bay Estuary, Long Island. *Estuarine and Coastal Marine Science*. 10: 247-263.
- Capone, D.G. and Bautista, M.F. (1985). A groundwater source of nitrate in nearshore marine sediments. *Nature*, 313: 214-216.
- Caroppo, C. (2000). The contribution of picophytoplankton to community structure in a Mediterranean brackish environment. *Journal of Plankton Research*. 22: 381-397.
- Clark, L., Gobler, C.J., Sañudo –Wilhelmy, S.A. Cycling of dissolved constituents in a coastal lagoonal system: The Great South Bay. Submitted to *Marine Environmental Research*.
- COSMA 1985. Suffolk County's hard clam industry: An overview and an analysis of management alternatives. MSRC, SUNY-Stony Brook Report.
- Dilorenzo, J.L. and Ram, R.V. (1991). Flushing-time estimates for West Neck Harbor: A

small tidal embayment of the Peconic Bays, New York. Final report to the Suffolk County (NY) Department of Health Services, Office of Ecology.

- Environmental Protection Agency. About Estuaries. Dec. 2001  
<<http://www.epa.gov/owow/estuaries/about1.htm>>. (20 Sept. 2002)
- Fischer HB, List EJ, Koh RCY, Imberger J, Brooks NH (1979) Mixing in Inland and Coastal Waters. Academic Press, New York, pp. 483.
- Fisher, T.R., Melack, J.M., Grobbelaar, J.U., and Howarth, R.W. (1995). Nutrient Limitation Of Phytoplankton And Eutrophication Of Inland, Estuarine, And Marine Waters. In: Tiessen, H. (ed.) Phosphorus in the Global Environment. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. p. 301-322.
- Friedl, G., Dinkel, C., and Wehrl, B. (1998). Benthic Fluxes of Nutrients in the Northwestern Black Sea. *Marine Chemistry*. 62: 77-88.
- Froneman, P.W. (2001). Response of the Plankton to Three Different Hydrological Phases of the Temporarily Open/closed Kasouga Estuary, South Africa. *Estuarine, Coastal, and Shelf Science*. 55: 535-546.
- George, I., Petit, M., Theate, C., and Servais, P. (2001). Distribution of Coliforms in the Seine River and Estuary (France) Studied by Rapid Enzymatic Methods and Plate Counts. *Estuaries*. 24:994-1002.
- Gobler CJ, Sañudo-Wilhelmy SA (2001a) Temporal variability of groundwater seepage and brown tide blooms in a Long Island embayment. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 217: 299-309.
- Gobler CJ, Sañudo-Wilhelmy SA (2001b) Effects of organic carbon, organic nitrogen, inorganic nutrients, and iron additions on the growth of phytoplankton and bacteria during a brown tide bloom. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 209: 19-34.
- Gobler, C.J. (2002). Mecox Bay, Southampton, NY: Estuary or Salt Pond?. Southampton College of LIU, NY.
- Gobler CJ, Renaghan MJ, Buck NJ (2002) Impacts of nutrients and grazing mortality on the abundance of *Aureococcus anophagefferens* during a New York brown tide bloom. *Limnol Oceanogr* 47: 129-141.
- Gobler CJ, & Boneillo, G. E. 2003. Impacts of anthropogenically influenced groundwater seepage on water chemistry and phytoplankton dynamics within a coastal marine system. In revision with *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* In press.
- Graham, L.E. and Wilcox, L.W. (2000). Introduction to the Algae-Occurrence, Relationships, Nutrition, Definition, General Features. In: Ryu, T.,

- Tarabojkia, L., Dellas, K. (ed.) Algae. Prentice Hall Inc., N.J., p. 1-21.
- Hardy, C.D. 1976. A preliminary description of the Peconic Bay Estuary. MSRC, SUNY at Stony Brook. Special report 3.
- Hasle, G.R. (1978). Settling: The inverted-microscope method. In: Sournia, A. (ed.) Phytoplankton Manual. Unesco, Paris, p. 88-96.
- Howarth, R.W., Jensen, H.S., Marino, R., Postma, H. (1995). Transport To and Processing of P in Near-shore and Oceanic Waters. In: Tiessen, H. (ed.) Phosphorous in the Global Environment. John Wiley & Sons Ltd. p. 332.
- Jones, S.H. and Summer-Brason, B. (1998). Incidence and Detection of Pathogenic *Vibrio* sp. in a Northern New England Estuary, USA. Journal of Shellfish Research. 17:1665-1669.
- Kuo, A.Y. and Neilson, B.J. (1987). Hypoxia and Salinity in Virginia Estuaries. Estuaries. 10: 277-283.
- Lalli, C.M. and Parsons, T.R. (1997). Phytoplankton and Primary Production. In: (ed) Biological Oceanography An Introduction 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. The Open University p.39-73.
- LaRoche, J., Nuzzi, R., Waters, R., Wyman, K., Falkowski, P.G., Wallace, D.W.R. (1997) Brown tide blooms in Long Island's coastal waters linked to variability in groundwater flow. Global Change Biol 3: 397-410.
- Leong, L.S. and Tanner, P.A. (1999) Comparison of methods for determination of organic carbon in sediments. Marine Pollution Bulletin 38: 875-879
- Lively, J.S., Kaufman, Z., and Carpenter, E.J. (1983). Phytoplankton Ecology of a Barrier Island Estuary: Great South Bay, New York. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. 16: 51-68.
- Lorrain, A., Paulet, Y., Chauvaud, L., Savoye, N., Nezan, E., and Guerin, L. (2000). Growth anomalies in *Pecten maximus* from coastal waters (Bay of Brest, France): relationship with diatom blooms. J. Mar. Bio. Ass. 80: 667-673.
- Macedo, M.F., Duarte, P., Mendes, P. and Ferreira, J.G. (2001). Annual Variation of Environmental Variables, Phytoplankton Species Composition and Photosynthetic Parameters in a Coastal Lagoon. Journal of Plankton Research 23: 719-732.
- Nixon SW, Granger SL, Taylor DI, Johnson PW, Buckley BA (1994) Subtidal volume fluxes, nutrient inputs and the brown tide – an alternative hypothesis. Estuar Coast Shelf Sci 39: 303-312.
- Nozais, C., Perissinotto, R., and Mundree, S. (2001). Annual cycle of microalgal biomass

in a South African temporarily-open estuary: nutrient versus light limitation. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 223: 39-48.

- Officer, C.B., Smayda, T.J., and Mann, R. 1982. Benthic filter feeding: a natural eutrophication control. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 9: 203-210.
- Parsons TR, Maita Y, Lalli CM (1984) A manual of chemical and biological methods for seawater analysis. Pergamon Press Ltd, Oxford.
- Parsons, T.R., Maita, Y., and Lalli, C.M. (1989). Chapter 4.3. In: A Manual of Chemical and biological Methods for Seawater Analysis. Pergomon Press, Oxford, p. 107-109.
- Pierce, B.E. and Leboffe, M.J. (1999). Exercises for the Microbiology Laboratory. p.131-132.
- Pinckney, J.L., Millie, D.F., Vinyard, B.T., and Paerl, H.W. (1997). Environmental controls of phytoplankton bloom dynamics in the Neuse River Estuary, North Carolina, U.S.A. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 54: 2491-2501.
- Puls RW, Powell RM (1992) Acquisition of representative groundwater quality samples for metals. *Ground Water Monitoring Rev* 12: 167-176.
- Puls RW, Paul CJ (1995) Low-flow purging and sampling of ground water monitoring wells with dedicated systems. *Ground Water Monitoring Rev* 15: 116-123.
- Richardson, K. and Jørgensen, B.B. (1996). Eutrophication: Definition, history, and Effects. In: Jørgensen, B.B. and Richardson, K. (ed.) *Coastal and Estuarine Studies Volume 52*. American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C., p. 1-19.
- SCDHS, 1976-2002. Suffolk County Dept. of Health Services. 1977-2002. Annual water quality reports.
- Sharp, J.H., Pennock, J.R., Church, T.M., Tramontano, J.M., Cifuentes, L.A. (1984) The estuarine interaction of nutrients, organics, and metals: A case study in the Delaware Estuary. In: V.S. Kennedy (ed) *The Estuary as a Filter*, Academic Press, NY, pp 241-257
- Sin, Y., Wetzel, R., and Anderson, I. (2000). Seasonal variations of size-fractionated phytoplankton along the salinity gradient in the York River estuary, Virginia (USA). *Journal of Plankton Research*. 22: 1945-1960.
- Staker, R.D. and Bruno, S.F. (1978). An Annual Phytoplankton Study in Coastal Waters off Eastern Long Island (Block Island Sound). *Botanica Marina*. 21: 439-449.
- Stanley, D.W. and Nixon, S.W. (1992). Stratification and Bottom-Water Hypoxia in the

Pamlico River Estuary. *Estuaries*. 15: 270-281.

Steenhuis, T.S., Jackson, C.D., Kung, S.K.G. and Brutsaert, W.H. (1985). Measurement of groundwater recharge on Eastern Long Island, NY, USA. *J. Hydrol.* 79: 145-169.

Strobel, C.J. and Heltshe, J. (2002). Application of the Indicator Evaluation Guidelines to Dissolved Oxygen Concentration as an Indicator of the Spatial Extent of Hypoxia in Estuarine Waters. US EPA. p. 1-17.


Thompson, R.J. 1997. *The American Oyster*. Fisheries And Marine Institute of Memorial University of Newfoundland.

Twomey, L. and Thompson, P. (2001). Nutrient Limitation of Phytoplankton in a Seasonally Open Bar-Built Estuary: Wilson Inlet, Western Australia. *Journal of Phycology*. 37: 16-29.

U.S. Geological Survey (2002). Plankton Dynamics in Tidal Estuaries. August 2002 <<http://water.usgs.gov/nrp/proj.bib/cloern.html>>. (23 Sept. 2002)

Wilson, R.E., K.C. Wong, H.H. Carter. 1991. Aspects of circulation and exchange in Great South Bay, p.33-42. *In*: J.R. Schubel, T.M. Bell and H.H. Carter [eds.] *The Great South Bay*. SUNY press, Albany, NY.





**Figure 1:** Location of set sampling stations for Mecox Bay, Long Island, New York. Stations 1, 2, and 3 are within Mecox Bay. Station 4 is within the brackish region of Mill Creek. Station 5 is located in the Atlantic Ocean just outside the Mecox Bay Inlet.





Figure 2: Mean Depth of Mecox Bay. The opening and closing of the inlet is also shown. The y-axis indicates the depth in meters. The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.



**Figure 3:** Mean secchi depth of Mecox Bay. The y-axis indicates the depth in meters. The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 4: Salinity in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the salinity in ppt. The x-axis indicates the date.




Figure 5: Temperature in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the temperature in oC. The x-axis indicates the date.




Figure 6: Bottom dissolved oxygen (DO) in Mecox Bay. The y-axis indicates the bottom DO in mg/L. The x-axis indicates the date.




Figure 7: DIN concentrations in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the DIN concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$ . The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 8: Concentration of nutrients in groundwater entering Mecox Bay. The y-axis indicates the nutrient concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$ . The x-axis indicates the portion of the bay tested. Nitrogen, phosphate, and silicate concentrations are shown. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 9: Phosphate concentrations in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the phosphate concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$ . The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 10: DIN:DIP ratio in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the DIN:DIP ratio. The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 11: DON concentration in Mecox bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the DON concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$ . The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 12: Silicate concentration in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the silicate concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$ . The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 13: Concentration of nutrients in tributaries entering Mecox Bay. The y-axis indicates the nutrient concentration in  $\mu\text{M}$ . The x-axis indicates the tributary. Nitrate, DON, silicate, ammonium, and orthophosphate concentrations are shown for each tributary. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 14: Coliform bacteria densities in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the MPN index for coliform bacteria in #colonies/100mL. The x-axis indicates the date.




Figure 16: Whole Chlorophyll a (chl a) levels in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the chl a concentration in ug/L. The x-axis indicates the date. Error bars represent  $\pm 1$  standard deviation.




Figure 19: Picoplankton and nanoplankton densities in the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the cell density in cells/ml. The x-axis indicates the date.




Figure 20: Dinoflagellate, diatom, and ciliate cell densities in Mecox Bay. The y-axis indicates the cell density in cells/ml. The x-axis indicates the date.





Figure 21: Dinoflagellate, diatom, and ciliate cell densities in the Atlantic Ocean. The y-axis indicates the cell density in cells/ml. The x-axis indicates the date.



**Figure 22.** Bathymetry of Mecox Bay. Depths, in meters, were normalized to 18 Apr 2002, which is representative of the 'average' depth or state of the bay.






Figure 23. Sediment composition of Mecox Bay as determined by standard sieve analysis



**Figure 24.** Sediment organic carbon content of Mecox Bay expressed as a percentage of weight.



**Figure 25.** Densities of shellfish surveyed in Mecox Bay, spring 2002.

**Table 1: Residence time of Mecox Bay.**

Time frame	Residence time (d)	method
Feb	18	observed salinity change
Feb	19	salt balance
June	19	observed salinity change
June	25	current measurements
June	26	salt balance
November	5	current measurements

**Table 2: Nutrient limitation in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean.**

**Nutrient Limitation**

date	Mecox	Ocean
24-Jan	P	-
7-Feb	-	-
15-Feb	P	-
25-Feb	P	-
7-Mar	-	-
14-Mar	P	-
28-Mar	P	-
4-Apr	P	-
11-Apr	P	N
18-Apr	P	-
8-May	P	-
22-May	-	-
3-Jun	-	N
10-Jun	-	N
17-Jun	N	N
2-Jul	N	-
16-Jul	N	N
1-Aug	N	-
12-Aug	N	N
5-Sep	-	N
20-Sep	N	-
3-Oct	N	-
10-Oct	N	N
17-Oct	N	-
24-Oct	N	N
31-Oct	N	-
7-Nov	-	N
14-Nov	-	-
27-Nov	P	-
13-Dec	P	-

**Table 3: Annual means of parameters in Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean**

	<u>Mecox Bay</u>	<u>Atlantic Ocean</u>
Mean depth	1.6 ± 0.3 m	-
Mean secchi depth	1.1 ± 0.2 m	-
Salinity	17.4 ± 5.1	32.1 ± 1.1
Temperature	14.0 ± 7.8 °C	12.5 ± 5.8 °C
Bottom DO	7.9 ± 2.4	-
DIN	14.3 ± 13.5 µM	3.2 ± 1.8 µM
Phosphate	0.6 ± 0.4 µM	0.8 ± 0.2 µM
DIN:DIP ratio	49.7 ± 59.6	4.3 ± 2.4
DON	43.2 ± 16.1 µM	16.6 ± 5.7 µM
Silicate	43.7 ± 17.2 µM	7.0 ± 7.2 µM
Coliform bacteria	91.0 ± 121.0 colonies/100mL	2.8 ± 4.3 colonies/100mL
Whole chl <i>a</i>	8.4 ± 5.1 µg/L	1.9 ± 0.4 µg/L
<5 chl <i>a</i>	6.8 ± 4.3 µg/L	1.3 ± 1.2 µg/L
picoplankton	317,822 ± 313,763.9 cells/mL	56,932 ± 67,696.4
nanoplankton	117,883 ± 126,616.3 cells/mL	11,537 ± 12,228.4 cells/mL
dinoflagellates	657 ± 626.0 cells/mL	73 ± 82.9 cells/mL
diatoms	227 ± 298.6 cells/mL	360 ± 298.9 cells/mL
ciliates	156 ± 339.2 cells/mL	4.0 ± 17.2 cells/mL

**Table 4: Total Monthly Rainfall in 2002 for Southampton, NY.**

Month	Total Rainfall (cm)
Feb	2.6416
Mar	7.4676
Apr	10.3378
May	11.4046
Jun	8.9916
Jul	2.4384
Aug	5.1308
Sep	16.9672
Oct	10.1092
Nov	5.9436
Dec	11.5062

Table 5. Pelagic seining survey, spring 2002

Species	Total	# around perimeter	% around perimeter	% around perimeter	# in creeks	% in creeks	salinity ranges
Inland silverside ( <i>Menidia beryllina</i> )	1175	83	7.06	1092	92.9	prefers lower salinities	
Atlantic silverside ( <i>Menidia menidia</i> )	110	110	100	0	0	prefers higher salinity	
Mix (Atlantic + Inland silversides)	248	70	28.2	178	71.7		
sheepshead minnow ( <i>Cyprinodon variegatus</i> )	120	11	9.2	109	90.8	0-90ppt	
striped killifish ( <i>Fundulus majalis</i> )	115	4	3.5	111	96.5	prefers higher salinity, rarely enter freshwater	
marsh killifish ( <i>Fundulus confuentus</i> )	34	0	0	34	100		
fourspined stickleback ( <i>Apeltes quadracus</i> )	37	0	0	37	100	found throughout bay, retreat to deeper water during winter	
mummichug ( <i>Fundulus heteroclitus</i> )	12	4	33.3	8	66.6	prefers low salinity	
alewife ( <i>Alosa pseudoharengus</i> )	2	1	50	1	50	andronomous, young remain in fresh or brackish water	
atlantic herring ( <i>Clupea harengus</i> )	2	2	100	0	0		
banded killifish ( <i>Fundulus diaphanus</i> )	58	0	0	58	100	prefers low salinity, 5-20	
white perch ( <i>Morone americana</i> )	14	1	7.1	13	92.9	0-full seawater, usually <18ppt	
American eel ( <i>Anguilla rostrata</i> )	1	0	0	1	100	catronomous	
Blueclaw Crab	160	21	13.1	139	86.9		
Green Crab	3	2	66.7	1	33.3		
Sand shrimp	22	20	90.9	2	9.1		
Grass shrimp	45	3	6.7	42	93.3		
Japanese shore crab	2	2	100.0	0	0.0		
<b>Total species</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1,826</b>	<b>10</b>		
<b>Total number</b>	<b>2,160</b>	<b>334</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>1,826</b>	<b>1,642</b>		

APPENDIX C  
NYSDEC Inlet Management Permit  
and  
Emergency Authorizations

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

DEC PERMIT NUMBER 1-4738-03009/00005
FACILITY/PROGRAM NUMBER(S)



**PERMIT**  
Under the Environmental  
Conservation Law


EFFECTIVE DATE February 21, 2006
EXPIRATION DATE(S) February 20, 2016

TYPE OF PERMIT  New  Renewal  Modification  Permit to Construct  Permit to Operate

- |                                                                                     |                                                                                  |                                                                                       |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Article 15, Title 5: Protection of Waters       | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 17, Titles 7, 8: SPDES                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 27, Title 9: 6NYCRR 373: Hazardous Waste Management  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Article 15, Title 15: Water Supply                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 19: Air Pollution Control                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 34: Coastal Erosion Management                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Article 15, Title 15: Water Transport                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 23, Title 27: Mined Land Reclamation            | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 36: Floodplain Management                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Article 15, Title 15: Long Island Wells                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 24: Freshwater Wetlands                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Articles 1, 3, 17, 19, 27, 37; 6NYCRR 380: Radiation Control |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Article 15, Title 27: Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Article 25: Tidal Wetlands                   |                                                                                       |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 6NYCRR 608: Water Quality Certification         | <input type="checkbox"/> Article 27, Title 7; 6NYCRR 360: Solid Waste Management |                                                                                       |

PERMIT ISSUED TO Board of Trustees of the Freeholders and Commonalty of the Town of Southampton		TELEPHONE NUMBER (631) 287-5717
ADDRESS OF PERMITTEE 116 Hampton Road, Town Hall, Southampton, NY 11968		
CONTACT PERSON FOR PERMITTED WORK Inter-Science Research Associates, P.O. Box 1201, Southampton, NY 11969-1201		TELEPHONE NUMBER (631) 283-5958
NAME AND ADDRESS OF PROJECT/FACILITY Mecox Bay and the Atlantic Ocean		
COUNTY Suffolk	TOWN Southampton	WATERCOURSE Mecox Bay/Atlantic Ocean
NYTM COORDINATES		
DESCRIPTION OF AUTHORIZED ACTIVITY: Dredge to 6 ft. below mean low water a 200 ft. wide by 2408 ft. long channel from Mecox Bay to the Atlantic Ocean. Resultant 30,000 cubic yards of dredged material to be placed on the beaches east and west of the inlet as beach nourishment. All work must be done in accordance with the attached plans prepared by Inter-Science on 8/25/04 and stamped NYSDEC approved on 2/21/06.		


By acceptance of this permit, the permittee agrees that the permit is contingent upon strict compliance with the ECL, all applicable regulations, the General Conditions specified (see page 2 & 3) and any Special Conditions included as part of this permit.

PERMIT ADMINISTRATOR: George W. Hammarth (DMG)	ADDRESS Region 1 Headquarters, Bldg. #40, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11790-2356
AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE 	DATE February 23, 2006
Page 1 of 4	

File: Southampton Town/Mecox Bay/ACOE Setdng  
 Applicant: Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc.  
 County: Suffolk County  
 In: Mecox Bay  
 Name of Project:  
 Dredge Project  
 Location of Project:

Client:  
 Board of Trustees of the Freshkills and  
 Community of the Town of Southampton  
 116 Hampton Road, Town Hall  
 Southampton, NY 11968  
 Disclaimer: This drawing is for concept design purposes only. Please to constructional design.


Purpose: Dredging Plan / Proposed Conditions  
 Adjacent Property Owners:  
 900-179-1-3: Alexander Lollins and Stuart Baker  
 900-179-1-39: Town of Southampton  
 900-179-1-34: Marcia and Richard Godosky  
 900-179-1-35: Joint Ownership  
 900-179-1-2: Ethel Hurwitz  
 900-179-1-1: Burnetts Cove Association, Inc  
 900-179-2-2-1: Jay and Prudence Mortimer  
 900-179-2-15: Town of Southampton




ATLANTIC OCEAN

MECOX BAY

- Legend
- ATW and Sediment Deposits
  - ATW
  - AHW
  - Wetlands Limit Line
  - Current Shoreline
  - Dredging Limit Line
  - Proposed Dredge Spots Deposit Area





**Purpose: Dredging Plan / Proposed Conditions**  
 Adjacent Property Owners:  
 900-179-1-33: Alexander Lollins and Stuart Baker  
 900-179-1-39: Town of Southampton  
 900-179-1-34: Marcia and Richard Godosky  
 900-179-1-35: Joint Ownership  
 900-179-1-2: Ethel Hurvitz  
 900-179-1-1: Bumetts Cove Association, Inc  
 900-179-2-2.1: Jay and Prudence Mortimer  
 900-179-2-15: Town of Southampton

**Detail View #1**

0                      300'                      600'

Scale: 1"=300'

**Client:**  
 Board of Trustees of the Freeholders and  
 Commonalty of the Town of Southampton  
 116 Hampton Road, Town Hall  
 Southampton, NY 11968


Disclaimer: This drawing is for concept design purposes only. Prior to construction, all design

**Name of Project:**  
 Dredge Project

**Location of Project:**

In: Mecox Bay  
 County: Suffolk County  
 Applicant: Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc.  
 PO Box 1201 Southampton, NY 11969

File: Southampton Town/Mecox Bay/ACOE Set.dwg



**Purpose: Dredging Plan / Proposed Conditions**  
 Adjacent Property Owners:  
 900-179-1-33: Alixander Lollins and Stuart Baker  
 900-179-1-39: Town of Southampton  
 900-179-1-34: Marcia and Richard Godosky  
 900-179-1-35: Joint Ownership  
 900-179-1-2: Ethel Hurvitz  
 900-179-1-1: Burnetts Cove Association, Inc  
 900-179-2-2.1: Jay and Prudence Mortimer  
 900-179-2-15: Town of Southampton

**Detail View #2**

0                      300'                      600'

Scale: 1"=300'

Client:  
 Board of Trustees of the Freeholders and  
 Commonalty of the Town of Southampton  
 116 Hampton Road, Town Hall  
 Southampton, NY 11960

Disclaimer: This drawing is for concept design purposes only. Prior to construction, all design

Name of Project:  
 Dredge Project

Location of Project:

In: Mecox Bay  
 County: Suffolk County  
 Applicant: Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc.  
 PO Box 1201 Southampton, NY 11969





File: Southampton Town/Mecox Bay/ACOE Set.dwg

4 of 5

Name of Project: Dredge Project  
 Location of Project: Mecox Bay  
 In: Mecox Bay  
 County: Suffolk County  
 Applicant: Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc.  
 PO Box 1201 Southampton, NY 11969  
 File: Southampton Town/Mecox Bay/ACOE Setdys

Client:  
 Board of Trustees of the Freeholders and  
 Commonalty of the Town of Southampton  
 116 Hampton Road, Town Hill  
 Southampton, NY 11968  
 Declaration: This drawing is for concept design purposes only. Prior to construction, all design  
 Scale: 1" = 100'  
 Profile View  
 0 100 200'

Purpose: Dredging Plan / Proposed Conditions  
 Adjacent Property Owners:  
 900-179-1-33: Alexander Lollins and Stuart Baker  
 900-179-1-39: Town of Southampton  
 900-179-1-34: Marcia and Richard Godosky  
 900-179-1-35: Joint Ownership  
 900-179-1-2: Ethel Huntz  
 900-179-1-1: Burnetts Cove Association, Inc  
 900-179-2-1: Jay and Prudence Mortimer  
 900-179-2-15: Town of Southampton  
 900-179-3-18: Town of Southampton



NYS DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00008

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> June 14, 2016	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> June 24, 2016
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc. PO Box 1201 – 36 Nugent St. Southampton, N.Y. 11969-1201	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands  
 Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters  
 Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 220 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the west side of the cut. The channel is necessary to relieve very high water levels in Mecox Bay. The work shall be as shown on the two attached maps by Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc. stamped "NYSDEC Approved 6/14/16".


**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Freeholders & Commonality of the Town of Southampton and Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc., and having consulted with the Department's Bureau of Wildlife, the Department has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources.**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures regulations, Section 621.12.

There is currently an immediate threat to human health and welfare, and water quality in Mecox Bay due to the back-up or temporary failure of septic systems on properties in the Mecox Bay watershed from the extraordinarily high groundwater levels associated with the high pond surface water level. The high pond water level / groundwater level is also causing the flooding of the basements of many homes in the watershed.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Act regulations due to the threat noted above. The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:

  
George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

1. Activities authorized by this permit shall be conducted before June 21 or prior to the date when monitoring indicates that the nests located in front of 209 or 421 Dune Road (see approved plans) have hatched, whichever occurs first.
2. The activities authorized by this permit must be conducted under the supervision of a DEC-approved environmental monitor to ensure that there is no disturbance to the state and federally-listed piping plover and the state listed least tern as a result of the project. The monitor shall survey the project area before the start of any regulated activity each day and must remain on the project site supervising activities throughout the work day. If the monitor observes the presence of piping plovers or least terns within the construction area, and determines that the project activities are disturbing these species, the monitor must stop the project and immediately contact NYSDEC Region 1 Bureau of Wildlife (Kevin Jennings 631-444-0307).
3. All trucks and mechanical equipment must access the beach from Flying Point Road as shown on the approved plans. Vehicles and equipment associated with this project must be restricted to areas west of the cut.
4. The permittee shall grade the beach to remove all tire tracks or ruts made by trucks and earth moving equipment immediately upon completion of the authorized work.
5. After project completion, the Town of Southampton plover stewards shall fence any additional piping plover foraging areas which may be formed as a result of changes to the water level in Mecox Bay.
6. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plans submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 10-day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date and may not be renewed. DEC's review of the Town of Southampton's application for a standard Tidal Wetlands, Protection of Waters and Water Quality Certification permit for the opening of the Mecox Cut is ongoing.

Town of Southampton  
Mecox Cut Emergency Authorization  
Page 3 of 3

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
File

NYSDEC  
 APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
 AND CONDITIONS OF

PERMIT NO. 1-4736-03009/00009  
 DATE 6/14/16 *SWB*




Proposed Machinery and Vehicle Route from Road End to Work Area on Beach. (Shaded Area indicates Stockpile Location for Material Excavated to open the Cut)

Proposed Dredge Area

Proposed Emergency Dredging (10 Ft. wide / 4 Ft. Deep / 220 Ft. Long Approx.)

**Purpose: Dredging Plan / Proposed Conditions**  
 Adjacent Property Owners:  
 900-179-1-33: Alikander Lollins and Stuart Baker  
 900-179-1-39: Town of Southampton  
 900-179-1-34: Marcia and Richard Godosky  
 900-179-1-35: Joint Ownership  
 900-179-1-2: Ethel Hurvitz  
 900-179-1-1: Burnetts Cove Association, Inc  
 900-179-2-2.1: Jay and Prudence Mortimer  
 900-179-2-15: Town of Southampton  
 900-179-2-18.1: Avra and Munro Bank



Client:  
 Board of Trustees of the Freeholders and  
 Commonality of the Town of Southampton  
 116 Hampton Road, Town Hall  
 Southampton, NY 11968

Disclaimer: This drawing is for concept design purposes only. Prior to construction, all design elements should be evaluated by the master site contractor and/or engineer to provide proper design.

Name of Project:  
 Dredge Project  
 Location of Project:  
 In. Mecox Bay  
 County: Suffolk County  
 Applicant: Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc.  
 PO Box 1201 Southampton, NY 11969  
 File: m:\clients\southampton town-board of trustees\mecox\mecox dredging aerial overlay w emergency dredge alt 3 06082016.dwg  
 Sheet 3 of 4 Date: June 8, 2016



**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00008

29AUG'16AM11:58 TRUSTE

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> August 25, 2016	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> September 24, 2016
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Scott Horowitz (631) 740-1290	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 220 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the west side of the cut. The channel is necessary to release water containing unsafe concentrations of cyanobacteria and to relieve high water levels in Mecox Bay. The work shall be as shown on the attached map by Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc. stamped "NYSDEC Approved 8/25/16".

**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton, and having consulted with the Department of Environmental Conservation's Bureau of Wildlife, DEC has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources.**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures Regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently an immediate threat to human health, welfare, and water quality in Mecox Bay due to an overgrowth or bloom of cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) in the bay. This has prompted officials of the Suffolk County Department of Health Services to issue a public notification recommending that people refrain from swimming, wading or otherwise using the waters of Mecox Bay and keep children and pets away from the area.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Regulations due to the threat noted above. The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:



George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures Regulations:

### **SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

1. If the activities authorized by this permit are undertaken prior to August 31, 2016, the work must be conducted under the supervision of a DEC-approved environmental monitor to ensure that there is no disturbance to the state and federally-listed piping plover and the state listed least tern as a result of the project. The monitor shall survey the project area before the start of any regulated activity each day and must remain on the project site supervising activities throughout the work day. If the monitor observes the presence of piping plovers or least terns within the construction area, and determines that the project activities are disturbing these species, the monitor must stop the project and immediately contact NYSDEC Region 1 Bureau of Wildlife (Kevin Jennings 631-444-0307).
2. All trucks and mechanical equipment must access the beach from Flying Point Road as shown on the approved plan. Vehicles and equipment associated with this project must be restricted to areas west of the cut.
3. It is the permittee's responsibility to contact the Suffolk County Department of Health Services (Michael Jensen: [michael.jensen@suffolkcountyny.gov](mailto:michael.jensen@suffolkcountyny.gov) / 631-852-5760) prior to the start of any activities authorized herein to determine whether there are any public health concerns for people swimming, wading or otherwise coming into contact with the waters of the Atlantic Ocean in the vicinity of the Mecox Cut during the period when the pond is draining and for some period thereafter. The permittee shall follow any and all recommendations made by SCDHS for the protection of ocean beach users from cyanobacteria-related water quality impacts.
4. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plan submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 30-day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date. This authorization may be renewed for an additional 30-day period provided the permittee requests said renewal in writing and complies with all conditions contained herein.

Town of Southampton  
Mecox Cut Emergency Authorization  
August 25, 2016  
Page 3 of 3

Said renewal request must be submitted to the Regional Permit Administrator no later than 7 days prior to the expiration of the original 30-day period and no additional activity may occur after the expiration of the original 30-day period unless authorized in writing by the Department prior to commencement of said activities. DEC's review of the Town of Southampton's application for a standard Tidal Wetlands, Protection of Waters and Water Quality Certification permit for the opening of the Mecox Cut is ongoing.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.


Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 608.

cc: Michael Jensen - SCDHS  
USACE  
USFWS  
Carrie Meek Gallagher – DEC Regional Director  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
file

NYSDEC  
APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
AND CONDITIONS OF

PERMIT NO. 1-4736-03009/00008

DATE 6/14/16 *Swift*  
8/25/16



**Proposed Dredge Area**

**Proposed Emergency Dredging**  
(10 Ft. wide / 4 Ft. Deep  
/ 220 Ft. Long Approx.)


**Proposed Machinery and  
Vehicle Route from Road End  
to Work Area on Beach.**  
(Shaded Area indicates  
Stockpile Location for Material  
Excavated to open the Cut)

ATLANTIC OCEAN

**Purpose: Dredging Plan / Proposed Conditions**

Adjacent Property Owners:  
900-179-1-33: Alexander Lollins and Stuart Baker  
900-179-1-39: Town of Southampton  
900-179-1-34: Marcia and Richard Godosky  
900-179-1-35: Joint Ownership  
900-179-1-2: Ethel Hurvitz  
900-179-1-1: Burnetts Cove Association, Inc  
900-179-2-2.1: Jay and Prudence Mortimer  
900-179-2-15: Town of Southampton  
900-179-2-18.1: Avra and Munro Bank

**Dredge Channel with Access**



Scale: 1" = 400'

Client:  
Board of Trustees of the Freeholders and  
Commonalty of the Town of Southampton  
116 Hampton Road, Town Hall  
Southampton, NY 11968

Disclaimer: This drawing is for concept design purposes only. Prior to construction, all design elements should be evaluated by the owner site contractor and/or engineer to ensure proper design.

Name of Project:  
Dredge Project

Location of Project:

In: Mecox Bay  
County: Suffolk County  
Applicant: Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc  
PO Box 1201 Southampton, NY 11969

File: m:\clients\southampton town-board of trustees\mecox\mecox  
dredging aerial overlay w emergency dredge alt 3 06082016.dwg

Sheet 3 of 4

Date: June 8, 2016

**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00011

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> October 11, 2016	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> November 10, 2016
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Scott Horowitz (631) 740-1290	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 220 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the west side of the cut. The channel is necessary to relieve high water levels in Mecox Bay. The work shall be as shown on the attached map by Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc. stamped "NYSDEC Approved 8/25/16".

**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton, DEC has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources.**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures Regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently an immediate threat to human health, welfare, and water quality in Mecox Bay due to the back-up or temporary failure of septic systems on properties in the Mecox Bay watershed from the high groundwater levels associated with the high pond surface water level. This high pond water level / high groundwater level can also cause flooding of the basements of houses in the watershed.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Regulations due to the threat noted above. The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:



George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures Regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

1. The cut shall be closed using the material stockpiled from the cut opening if the channel moves more than 150 feet from the excavated center line or the cut does not close by itself within 14 days of the opening.
2. All trucks and mechanical equipment must access the beach from Flying Point Road as shown on the approved plan. Vehicles and equipment associated with this project must be restricted to areas west of the cut.
3. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plan submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 30-day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date. This authorization may be renewed for an additional 30-day period provided the permittee requests said renewal in writing and complies with all conditions contained herein.

Said renewal request must be submitted to the Regional Permit Administrator no later than 7 days prior to the expiration of the original 30-day period and no additional activity may occur after the expiration of the original 30-day period unless authorized in writing by the Department prior to commencement of said activities. DEC's review of the Town of Southampton's application for a standard Tidal Wetlands, Protection of Waters and Water Quality Certification permit for the opening of the Mecox Cut is ongoing.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Town of Southampton  
Mecox Cut Emergency Authorization  
October 11, 2016  
Page 3 of 3

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
Nica B. Strunk, Esq.  
Carrie Meek Gallagher – DEC Regional Director  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
file



**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00014

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> December 13, 2016	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> January 12, 2017
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Scott Horowitz (631) 740-1290	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 220 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the east side of the cut. The channel is necessary to establish a temporary flushing connection between the bay and the ocean in order to address a bloom of cyanobacteria confirmed on December 2, 2016 and to regulate the salinity of the bay to support the survival of shellfish and finfish. The work shall be as shown on the attached map stamped "NYSDEC Approved 12/13/16".


**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton, DEC has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources," as defined in the Uniform Procedures Regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently an immediate threat to human health, welfare, and water quality in Mecox Bay due to the overgrowth of blue-green algae in a tributary of the bay as confirmed by the Suffolk County Department of Health Services news release of December 2, 2016. In addition, The Board of Trustees of the Freeholders & Commonality of the Town of Southampton has confirmed that the salinity level in Mecox Bay is currently too low for the survival of shellfish and finfish, which are significant natural resources.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Regulations due to the threat noted above.

The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, natural resources and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:



George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures Regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

1. The cut shall be closed using the material stockpiled from the cut opening if the channel moves more than 150 feet from the excavated center line or the cut does not close by itself within 14 days of the opening.
2. The area east of the cut footprint is important habitat for the reproductive activities of state and federally listed species of shorebirds. If the permittee stores the material excavated pursuant to this emergency authorization on the east side of the cut, it must do so in accordance with one of the following two provisions:
  - If the material is not placed as a stockpile, it must be graded upon excavation in a manner which maintains the placement area's suitability as nesting and foraging habitat for the piping plover and least tern.
  - If the material is placed as a stockpile, it is the Permittees responsibility to monitor the condition of the stockpile area. If the stockpile area is not in a condition to support piping plover and least tern nesting and foraging (inappropriate grades, slopes or surface irregularities, etc.) by March 15, 2017, the permittee must grade the stockpile area to re-establish suitable nesting conditions by March 31, 2017.
3. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plan submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 30-day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date. This authorization may be renewed for an additional 30-day period provided the permittee requests said renewal in writing and complies with all conditions contained herein.

Said renewal request must be submitted to the Regional Permit Administrator no later than 7 days prior to the expiration of the original 30-day period and no additional activity may occur after the expiration of the original 30-day period unless authorized in writing by the Department prior to commencement of said activities. DEC's review of the Town of Southampton's application for a standard Tidal Wetlands, Protection of Waters and Water Quality Certification permit for the opening of the Mecox Cut is ongoing.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
Nica B. Strunk, Esq.  
Carrie Meek Gallagher – DEC Regional Director  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
file

APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
 AND CONDITIONS OF  
 PERMIT NO. 1-4736-03909/00014  
 DATE 12/13/16 *JWB*



**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00017

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> March 27, 2017	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> March 31, 2017
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Scott Horowitz (631) 740-1290	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 220 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the west side of the cut. The channel is necessary to establish a temporary flushing connection between the bay and the ocean in order to regulate the water level in the bay. The work shall be as shown on the attached map stamped "NYSDEC Approved 3/27/17".

**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton, DEC has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources,**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures Regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently an immediate threat to significant property on the parcels adjacent to Mecox Bay due to the rising water level in the bay. Elevated water levels in this bay have a negative effect on the functioning of the septic systems on the residential properties around the water body and can temporarily raise the groundwater elevation in the area, leading to water in basements.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Regulations due to the threat noted above.

The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, natural resources and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:



George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures Regulations:

### **SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

**1. Definition of Terms** This emergency authorization allows the opening of the channel or "cut" across the beach to temporarily connect Mecox Bay with the ocean. Because of the hydraulic characteristics of the flowing connection between the water bodies, the dimensions of the initially excavated channel are not maintained. Accordingly, it is necessary to define the following terms for the purposes of this authorization:

**Cut Open:** A flowing connection between the pond and the ocean which exists during any astronomical tide stage.

**Cut Closed:** A condition in which the connection between the bay and the ocean is closed during all normal tidal stages. The minimum parameters of the closed condition are the existence of an area of sand at least 150 feet wide (north-south) of minimum elevation +5 NAVD'88 with maximum slope 1 vertical to 15 horizontal (1:15) to existing grade on both the bay and ocean sides in place across the channel.

**2. Cut Must be Closed by March 31, 2017** The bay-ocean cut allowed by this emergency authorization must be closed in accordance with Special Condition 1 above, with the cut area and all associated stockpile and access areas graded smooth and left in a suitable condition to provide habitat for piping plovers and other listed shorebirds by 11:59 PM on March 31, 2017.

**3. Remove Material From Stockpile Location by March 31, 2017** All stored sand must be removed from the stockpile location, with the underlying beach substrate graded smooth to match the surrounding, undisturbed areas by 11:59 PM on March 31, 2017.

4. **Permittee Must Obtain Authorization of All Involved Landowners** It is the permittee's responsibility to obtain the permission of the owners of all properties upon which the activities allowed by this emergency authorization will be conducted before starting work.
5. **Permittee Must Monitor & Control Position of Bay-Ocean Cut** The permittee shall monitor the position and condition of the bay – ocean cut on a daily basis whenever it is open. If the open cut moves more than 150 feet off the approved alignment, the permittee must take immediate action to either maintain the cut on the approved alignment or close it in conformance with the definition given in Special Condition 1 above.
6. **Closing the Bay-Ocean Cut** The permittee shall use the material stockpiled on-site to close the cut by moving or grading the sand into place to achieve the minimum closed cut specification set forth in Special Condition 1. If the quantity of on-site stockpiled material is insufficient to meet the minimum closed cut specification, beach compatible sand from another source must be used to supplement the on-site material.
7. **All Excavated Material Must Remain in the Atlantic Ocean Littoral System** All sand removed to open the Mecox Bay – ocean cut must remain in the Atlantic Ocean littoral system.
8. **Excavated Materials Above AHW** All material excavated or dredged pursuant to this emergency authorization shall be placed landward of the line of apparent high water.
9. **No Disturbance to Vegetated Tidal Wetlands** There shall be no disturbance to vegetated tidal wetlands as a result of the activities authorized herein.
10. **Storage of Equipment, Materials** The storage of construction equipment and materials shall be on the beach landward of the line of apparent high water or on a road.
11. **Project Drawing** All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be as shown on the attached drawing stamped "NYSDEC Approved 3/27/17."

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a five (5) day period commencing on the effective date and ending at 11:59 PM on the March 31, 2017. This authorization may not be renewed.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
Nica B. Strunk, Esq.  
Carrie Meek Gallagher – DEC Regional Director  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
file

NYSDEC  
 APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
 AND CONDITIONS OF

PERMIT NO. 174736-03009/00017  
 DATE 3/27/17 SLW



**Purpose: Dredging Plan / Proposed Conditions**  
 Adjacent Property Owners:  
 900-178-1-23, Alexander Lukins and Stuart Baker  
 900-178-1-24, Town of Southampton  
 900-178-1-25, Alessi and Richard Colosky  
 900-178-1-26, John Ciaramitola  
 900-178-1-27, Robert Hundo  
 900-178-1-28, Borealis Cove Association, Inc  
 900-178-2-1, Jay and Providence McGinley  
 900-178-2-14, Town of Southampton  
 900-178-2-18, A. Jetti and Michael Bask



Client:  
 Board of Select of the Town of Southampton  
 414 Heritage Blvd. Suite 104  
 Southampton, NY 11968

This drawing is to be used for informational purposes only. It is not to be used for any other purpose without the written consent of the engineer.

Name of Project:  
 Dredge Project  
 Location of Project:  
 In: Moron Bay  
 County: Suffolk County  
 Applicant: Inter-Science Research Associates, Inc.  
 PO Box 1201 Southampton, NY 11968  
 File: 174736-03009/00017  
 Dredging work to be performed in accordance with the approved permit.  
 Sheet 2 of 4 Date: June 6, 2016

**DRAWING 1 OF 1**

# NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Permits, Region 1

SUNY @ Stony Brook, 50 Circle Road, Stony Brook, NY 11790

P: (631) 444-0365 | F: (631) 444-0360

www.dec.ny.gov

May 26, 2017

Town of Southampton  
116 Hampton Road  
Southampton, NY 11968

RE: Emergency Authorization No.: 1-4736-03009/00020  
Mecox Cut


Dear Permittee:

In conformance with the requirements of the State Uniform Procedures Act (Article 70, ECL) and its implementing regulations (6NYCRR, Part 621) we are enclosing your Emergency Authorization for the referenced activity. Please carefully read all conditions and special Emergency Authorization conditions contained in the Emergency Authorization to ensure compliance during the term of the Emergency Authorization. If you are unable to comply with any conditions please contact us at the above address.

The Department anticipates any future requests to be carried out through a permit and long term management plan. We look forward to working with the Town of Trustees to complete that process.

Note: This Emergency Authorization expires on June 8, 2017.

Sincerely,

  
John A. Wieland  
Deputy Permit Administrator

JAW/lis



Department of  
Environmental  
Conservation

**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009/00020

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 26, 2017

**EXPIRATION DATE:** June 08, 2017

**Name & Address of Permittee/Applicant:** Town of Southampton  
 116 Hampton Rd.  
 Southampton, N.Y. 11968

Town of Southampton  
 Board of Trustees  
 116 Hampton Rd.  
 Southampton, N.Y. 11968

**Telephone #:** (631) 287-5717

**Name & Address of Contact/Agent:** Trustee Scott Horowitz  
 (631) 740-1290

**Project Location:** Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road, Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.

**Emergency Authorization Type**


- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification
- ECL 11-0535, 6NYCRR Part 182

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 220 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be side cast on the west side of the cut and remain where it is placed. The channel is necessary to relieve high water levels in Mecox Bay. The work shall be as shown on the accompanying aerial plan stamped "NYSDEC Approved 5/26/17."

**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Freeholders & Commonality of the Town of Southampton, and having consulted with the Department's Bureau of Wildlife and Bureau of Marine Habitat Protection, the Department has determined that the circumstances confronting the property owners fronting Mecox Bay as well as the health of the Bay itself, as more fully set forth below meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources,**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently an immediate threat to human health and welfare, and water quality in Mecox Bay due to the back-up or temporary failure of septic systems on properties in the Mecox Bay watershed from the extraordinarily high groundwater levels associated with the high pond surface water level. The high pond water level / groundwater level is also causing the flooding of the basements of many homes in the watershed.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Act regulations due to the threat noted above. The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:  
  
for John A. Wieland  
George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

1. Activities authorized by this permit shall be conducted before any nests located within 1,000 meters of the work area produce hatchings. If an unavoidable need arises to conduct authorized activities after hatching, the permittee shall notify the Department ([kevin.jennings@dec.ny.gov](mailto:kevin.jennings@dec.ny.gov); [george.hammarth@dec.ny.gov](mailto:george.hammarth@dec.ny.gov)). Work may be permitted after additional consultation with the Department.
2. The activities authorized by this permit must be conducted under the supervision of a DEC-approved environmental monitor to ensure that there is no disturbance to the state and federally-listed piping plover and the state listed least tern as a result of the project. The monitor shall survey the project area before the start of any regulated activity each day and must remain on the project site supervising activities throughout the work day. If the monitor observes the presence of piping plovers or least terns within the construction area, and determines that the project activities are disturbing these species (including but not limited to the interruption of incubation, prevention of foraging, causing of territorial displays), the monitor must stop the project and immediately contact NYSDEC Region 1 Bureau of Wildlife (Kevin Jennings; 631-444-0307, [kevin.jennings@dec.ny.gov](mailto:kevin.jennings@dec.ny.gov) ).
3. The permittee must provide notice of commencement of work to DEC (Kevin Jennings; 631-444-0307, [kevin.jennings@dec.ny.gov](mailto:kevin.jennings@dec.ny.gov)) and (George Hammarth 631 444-0371, [George.Hammarth@dec.ny.us](mailto:George.Hammarth@dec.ny.us)) at least 24 hours prior to the start of any work authorized by this authorization.
4. All material excavated to open the cut must be side cast on the west side of the cut and remain where it is placed in order to minimize activity that may disturb nesting birds and habitat. There shall be no stockpiling of material.
5. All trucks and mechanical equipment must access and exit the beach from Flying Point Road as shown on the approved plans. Vehicles and equipment associated with this project must be restricted to areas west of the cut.
6. The permittee shall grade the beach area disturbed by construction to remove all tire tracks or ruts made by trucks and earth moving equipment immediately upon completion of the authorized work.
7. After project completion, the Town of Southampton plover stewards shall fence any additional piping plover foraging areas which may be formed as a result of changes to the water level in Mecox Bay.

8. Upon completion of the work authorized herein, the permittee shall prohibit recreational off-road-vehicle (ORV) driving into the cut area for the remainder of the shorebird nesting season. This prohibition may take the form of the full closure of the ORV beach access points to the west (Flying Point Road) and east (Scott Cameron Town Beach) of the cut area or the implementation of a system to divert ORVs away from the cut area immediately upon entrance to the beach (west from the Flying Point Road access point and east from the Scott Cameron Beach access point).
9. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plans submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.
10. It is the permittee's responsibility to obtain any and all other approvals or authorizations required for this project from federal, state and local agencies.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 14 day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date and may not be renewed.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
DEC Wildlife  
DEC TW  
File



1/2  
NY SDEC  
APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
AND CONDITIONS OF  
PERMIT NO. 2009-03009100020  
DATE 01/16/2014  
M. DAN

Access Route

Fencing to redirect QP/V opposite direction of the cut

Initial excavation and expected footprint of the forming cut once established  
All material will be stockpiled on west side of cut, no stockpile will be created

Fencing to redirect QP/V opposite direction of the cut

BRID SEHAM

PHOTO 1

2/2  
NYSDEC  
APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
AND CONDITIONS OF  
PERMIT NO. 1-4736-03009/00020  
DATE 05-26-2017  
JAW



PHOTO 2

**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009/00023

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> September 11, 2017	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> October 11, 2017
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968  Town of Southampton Board of Trustees 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Edward J. Warner, Jr. (631) 287-5717	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road, Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 400 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the east side of the cut. The channel is necessary to relieve high water levels and re-establish salinity levels in Mecox Bay. The work shall be as shown on the accompanying aerial plan stamped "NYSDEC Approved 09/11/17."

**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Freeholders & Commonality of the Town of Southampton, and having consulted with the Department's Bureau of Wildlife and Bureau of Marine Habitat Protection, the Department has determined that the circumstances confronting the property owners fronting Mecox Bay as well as the health of the Bay itself, as more fully set forth below meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources,**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently an immediate threat to human health and welfare, and water quality in Mecox Bay due to flooding of properties in the Mecox Bay watershed from the high groundwater levels associated with the high pond surface water level.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Act regulations due to the threat noted above. The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:  
  
John A. Wieland  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

1. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plans submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.
2. It is the permittee's responsibility to obtain any and all other approvals or authorizations required for this project from federal, state and local agencies.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 30 - day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
DEC Wildlife  
DEC TW  
File

Mecox Bay

Access Route


Initial Excavation and expected footprint of the flowing cut once established

—

Fencing to redirect ORV

Stockpiled dredge material

(~~1000~~ cubic yards)  
4000 sqw



NYS DEC  
APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
AND CONDITIONS OF  
PERMIT NO. 1-4736-02009/0023  
DATE 09/11/2017

Schm A. Wieland

400' gaw  
4ft deep

100ft

BRIDGE

**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00027

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> November 9, 2017	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> December 8, 2017
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968  Town of Southampton Board of Trustees 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Scott Horowitz (631) 740-1290	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands  
 Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters  
 Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a flowing connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 600 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 4,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the east side of the cut. The channel is necessary to relieve high water levels in Mecox Bay. The work shall be as shown on the attached sketch stamped "NYSDEC Approved 11/ 9 /17".

**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Freeholders & Commonality of the Town of Southampton, the Department has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources.**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently a threat to human health and welfare on some properties around Mecox Bay due to the back-up or temporary failure of septic systems from the extraordinarily high groundwater levels associated with the high pond surface water level. The high pond water level / groundwater level is also causing the flooding of the basements of many homes in the watershed. In addition, recent precipitation events have lowered the pond's salinity to a level which stresses and has the potential to permanently damage commercially significant shellfish resources in the bay.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Act regulations due to the threat noted above.

The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary to protect the public welfare and health, and the natural resources of Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:



George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

1. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plans submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.
2. The permittee must monitor the location and condition (width, average depth, alignment) of the open cut daily. If the cut does not close naturally within 15 days of the initial opening, the permittee shall assess the situation to determine whether the project goals (bay water levels lowered by 16-20 inches as determined by observation of the water level gauge/s in the bay and the increase of bay salinity to 16-20 parts-per-thousand) have been achieved every five days in consultation with DEC. If it is determined that the project goals have been achieved, or if the cut channel moves more than 150 feet off the center line of the approved alignment, the permittee shall use the material stockpiled from the cut opening and, if necessary, additional clean, beach compatible sand to close the cut channel.
3. It is the permittee's responsibility to obtain all other approvals or authorizations required for this project from federal, state and local agencies.
4. The project area provides vital reproductive habitat for listed species of beach nesting shorebirds such as the piping plover and least tern during the spring and summer. It is the permittee's responsibility to ensure that any stockpiles or other accumulations of sand resulting from this project are removed, with the beach graded to the slopes and the level of surface smoothness appropriate for the nesting of shorebirds by March 31, 2018.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 30-day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date. One, 30-day extension may be granted, if warranted.

Town of Southampton  
Mecox Cut Emergency Authorization  
Page 3 of 3

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
File

Access Route  
 Initial Excavation and expected footprint of the flowing cut once established

*Mecox Bay*

Fencing to redirect ORV  
 Stockpiled dredge material

*(4,000 cubic yards)*

- Shards
- Turbid Roads
- Access / Pedestrian Roads
- Access
- Paths
- Long Island Path Road
- Road Road
- DEC F-Endorsement Vehicle



**NY DEC TERMS**  
 APPROVED AS PER  
 AND CONDITIONS OF  
 PERMIT NO. *1-4136-03009/00027*  
 DATE *11/5/19*

**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00014

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> December 13, 2016	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> January 12, 2017
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Scott Horowitz (631) 740-1290	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 220 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 1,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the east side of the cut. The channel is necessary to establish a temporary flushing connection between the bay and the ocean in order to address a bloom of cyanobacteria confirmed on December 2, 2016 and to regulate the salinity of the bay to support the survival of shellfish and finfish. The work shall be as shown on the attached map stamped "NYSDEC Approved 12/13/16".


**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton, DEC has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources,**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures Regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently an immediate threat to human health, welfare, and water quality in Mecox Bay due to the overgrowth of blue-green algae in a tributary of the bay as confirmed by the Suffolk County Department of Health Services news release of December 2, 2016. In addition, The Board of Trustees of the Freeholders & Commonality of the Town of Southampton has confirmed that the salinity level in Mecox Bay is currently too low for the survival of shellfish and finfish, which are significant natural resources.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Regulations due to the threat noted above.

The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary in order to protect the public welfare and health, natural resources and the surface water quality in Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:



George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures Regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

All work is to be performed in accordance with the conditions described below:

1. The cut shall be closed using the material stockpiled from the cut opening if the channel moves more than 150 feet from the excavated center line or the cut does not close by itself within 14 days of the opening.
2. The area east of the cut footprint is important habitat for the reproductive activities of state and federally listed species of shorebirds. If the permittee stores the material excavated pursuant to this emergency authorization on the east side of the cut, it must do so in accordance with one of the following two provisions:
  - If the material is not placed as a stockpile, it must be graded upon excavation in a manner which maintains the placement area's suitability as nesting and foraging habitat for the piping plover and least tern.
  - If the material is placed as a stockpile, it is the Permittees responsibility to monitor the condition of the stockpile area. If the stockpile area is not in a condition to support piping plover and least tern nesting and foraging (inappropriate grades, slopes or surface irregularities, etc.) by March 15, 2017, the permittee must grade the stockpile area to re-establish suitable nesting conditions by March 31, 2017.
3. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plan submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 30-day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date. This authorization may be renewed for an additional 30-day period provided the permittee requests said renewal in writing and complies with all conditions contained herein.

Said renewal request must be submitted to the Regional Permit Administrator no later than 7 days prior to the expiration of the original 30-day period and no additional activity may occur after the expiration of the original 30-day period unless authorized in writing by the Department prior to commencement of said activities. DEC's review of the Town of Southampton's application for a standard Tidal Wetlands, Protection of Waters and Water Quality Certification permit for the opening of the Mecox Cut is ongoing.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
Nica B. Strunk, Esq.  
Carrie Meek Gallagher – DEC Regional Director  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
file

APPROVED AS PER TERMS  
 AND CONDITIONS OF  
 PERMIT NO. 1-4736-03909/00014  
 DATE 12/13/16 *JWB*



**EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATION**

Pursuant to Environmental Conservation Law Article 70 (6 NYCRR Part 621)

N.Y.S. D.E.C. REGION 1  
 Division of Environmental Permits  
 SUNY @ Stony Brook  
 50 Circle Road  
 Stony Brook, NY 11790 - 3409



DEC # 1-4736-03009 / 00030

<b>EFFECTIVE DATE:</b> February 2, 2018	<b>EXPIRATION DATE:</b> March 4, 2018
<b>Name &amp; Address of Permittee/Applicant:</b> Town of Southampton 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968  Town of Southampton Board of Trustees 116 Hampton Rd. Southampton, N.Y. 11968	
<b>Telephone #:</b> (631) 287-5717	
<b>Name &amp; Address of Contact/Agent:</b> Trustee Scott Horowitz (631) 740-1290	
<b>Project Location:</b> Mecox Cut; Atlantic Ocean Beach Between the Termini of Flying Point Road and Dune Road. Town of Southampton, Suffolk County.	

**Emergency Authorization Type**

- Article 25 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 661: Tidal Wetlands
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Protection of Waters
- Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL, 6NYCRR Part 608: Water Quality Certification

**Project Description:** Mechanically excavate a channel across the beach to establish a flowing connection between Mecox Bay and the ocean. The channel will be of approximate dimensions 600 feet long by 10 feet wide by 4 feet deep. Up to 4,000 cubic yards of excavated sand will be stockpiled on the upper beach on the west side of the cut. The channel is necessary to relieve high water levels in Mecox Bay. The work shall be as shown on the attached sketch stamped "NYSDEC Approved 2/ 2 /18".

**Finding That An Emergency Exists:**

Based on the Department's review of the situation and the information provided by the Board of Trustees of the Freeholders & Commonality of the Town of Southampton, the Department has determined that this situation meets the definition of an emergency, "**an event which presents an immediate threat to life, health, property, or natural resources,**" as defined in the Uniform Procedures regulations, Section 621.12. There is currently a threat to human health and welfare on some properties around Mecox Bay due to the back-up or temporary failure of septic systems from the extraordinarily high groundwater levels associated with the high pond surface water level. The high pond water level / groundwater level is also causing the flooding of the basements of many homes in the watershed. In addition, recent precipitation events have lowered the pond's salinity to a level which stresses and has the potential to permanently damage commercially significant shellfish resources in the bay.

Accordingly, the Department hereby makes a finding that an emergency exists pursuant to Section 621.12 of the Uniform Procedures Act regulations due to the threat noted above.

The Department has determined that emergency action is necessary to protect the public welfare and health, and the natural resources of Mecox Bay.

Authorized and issued by:



George W. Hammarth  
Deputy Regional Permit Administrator

Please note further that this Emergency Authorization is granted subject to the following conditions in accordance with Section 621.12(e) (2) of the Uniform Procedures regulations:

**SPECIAL CONDITIONS**

1. All activities authorized by this emergency authorization must be in strict conformance with the approved plans submitted by the applicant or his agent as part of the emergency authorization application.
2. The permittee must monitor the location and condition (width, average depth, alignment) of the open cut daily. If the cut does not close naturally within 15 days of the initial opening, the permittee shall assess the situation to determine whether the project goals (bay water levels lowered by 16-20 inches as determined by observation of the water level gauge/s in the bay and the increase of bay salinity to 16-20 parts-per-thousand) have been achieved every five days in consultation with DEC. If it is determined that the project goals have been achieved, or if the cut channel moves more than 150 feet off the center line of the approved alignment, the permittee shall use the material stockpiled from the cut opening and, if necessary, additional clean, beach compatible sand to close the cut channel.
3. It is the permittee's responsibility to obtain all other approvals or authorizations required for this project from federal, state and local agencies.
4. The project area provides vital reproductive habitat for listed species of beach nesting shorebirds such as the piping plover and least tern during the spring and summer. It is the permittee's responsibility to ensure that any stockpiles or other accumulations of sand resulting from this project are removed, with the beach graded to the slopes and the level of surface smoothness appropriate for the nesting of shorebirds by March 31, 2018.

This Emergency Authorization is issued for a 30-day period commencing on the effective date and ending at midnight on the expiration date. One, 30-day extension may be granted, if warranted.

As per 6 NYCRR Part 621, Section 621.12(i), a person who violates any term or condition of an Emergency Authorization will be ordered to perform any necessary restoration or mitigation of environmental damage resulting from that action.

Failure to comply with the terms and conditions of this Emergency Authorization will be considered a violation of Article 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law and its implementing regulations, 6 NYCRR Part 661; and Article 15, Title 5 of the ECL and its implementing regulations, 6NYCRR Part 608.

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
Wildlife  
BOH-TW  
File



NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION

Division of Environmental Permits, Region 1  
SUNY @ Stony Brook, 50 Circle Road, Stony Brook, NY 11790  
P: (631) 444-0365 | F: (631) 444-0360  
www.dec.ny.gov

Amendment to Emergency Authorization of August 20, 2018

Scott Horowitz, Southampton Trustee  
Board of Trustees of the Commonality of the Town of Southampton  
116 Hampton Road  
Southampton, NY 11968

September 4, 2018

Re: Mecox Cut Opening  
DEC EA # 1-4736-03009/00036


Dear Mr. Horowitz:

The Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) has reviewed your request to modify special condition # 2 of the Emergency Authorization which allows the temporary opening of the Mecox Cut inlet to the Atlantic Ocean. It has been determined that the proposed modifications will not substantially change the scope of the authorized actions or the existing special conditions. Further, limiting the period of the cut opening was intended to preclude tropical storms from causing any damage that would not have occurred if the cut were closed. Given that the tropical weather forecast today, Tuesday September 4, 2018, does not foresee any tropical storm effects reaching the project location within a week's time, DEC can agree that the cut may remain open for an additional seven days, beyond the originally approved 14 days.

Therefore, unless the tropical weather forecast changes substantially, special condition #2 is modified to allow the cut to remain open seven additional days. At the end of seven additional days the cut must be closed, if it has not closed on its own. The Trustees may request also request additional time at the end of the additional seven days.

All other terms and conditions remain as written in the original authorization. All involved are reminded that this Emergency Authorization expires September 19, 2018 and may be extended once for an additional 30 days, at the DEC's discretion. In order for the authorization to be extended the Town and the Trustees must request such extension seven days prior to the expiration of the EA.

Sincerely,



Roger Evans  
Regional Permit Administrator

cc: USACE  
USFWS  
C. M. Gallagher, NYSDEC  
DEC Wildlife  
DEC BOH-TW  
DEC Coastal Erosion Unit  
Nica B. Strunk, Esq.



Department of  
Environmental  
Conservation

**APPENDIX D**

**Legislative Acts of the State of New York**

### Chap. 403.

AN ACT to provide for the opening and maintenance of inlets from the ocean into Shinnecock bay and into Mecox bay, in the town of Southampton in the county of Suffolk and repealing chapter six hundred and twenty-eight of the laws of eighteen hundred and eighty-seven, chapter two hundred and fifty-seven of the laws of eighteen hundred and ninety-two and a part of chapter twenty-nine of the laws of eighteen hundred and seventy-three.

Became a law, June 4, 1907, with the approval of the Governor. Passed, three-fifths being present.

*The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:*

Section 1. It shall be lawful for the trustees of the freeholders and commonalty of the town of Southampton in the county of Suffolk, from time to time, whenever in their judgment it shall be necessary in order to secure a sufficient inlet from the ocean into Shinnecock bay, or into Mecox bay in said town, to locate or cause to be located the place or places where such inlet or inlets may be dug through the beaches and meadows which separate said bays from the ocean, and to determine the courses and boundaries thereof; and to that end to appoint, from time to time, one or more committees of their number, of not exceeding three each, to examine and report to them concerning such inlet or inlets, and the places, courses and boundaries thereof. The said trustees and said committee shall have power to enter upon any premises and make such examinations and surveys as shall be necessary and proper to enable them to discharge their duties in the premises.

§ 2. The said trustees also shall have power to authorize the said committees or either of them to take charge of the work of digging through the said beaches and meadows, and to fix the time when the said inlet or inlets shall be actually opened.

§ 3. When the committee appointed by the board of trustees as prescribed in sections one and two of this act shall report that the opening of an inlet, or inlets, from the Atlantic ocean to said bay or bays, be necessary for the promotion of public interests and when the board of trustees upon such committee's report, shall have voted that the opening of such inlet, or inlets, be necessary, any resident of Southampton town or any assessed property holder

therein may serve notice upon the owners or occupants of the land through which the said committee has recommended that the inlet, or inlets, should be opened to the effect that if the said owner or owners, shall fail within ten days after service of said notice as aforesaid to file with the board of trustees of Southampton town a written consent and dedication, duly acknowledged, giving the board of trustees of said Southampton town power and authority to open such inlet at a time and in a manner that they shall deem necessary and dedicating such land to the town of Southampton for the purpose of an inlet, or inlets, he will make application to the county court of said county for an order appointing three disinterested freeholders to assess the damages to be caused the owner or owners of the land through which the inlet, or inlets, is recommended to be opened.

§ 4. If the owner or owners of the land through which said inlet, or inlets, is proposed to be opened shall fail to file with the clerk of the said board of trustees within ten days, his consent, or consents, to the opening of such inlet, or inlets, duly acknowledged as hereinbefore provided, the applicant may present to the county court of said county an application duly verified praying for the appointment of three commissioners to assess damages to be caused the owner, or owners, of the land through which the inlet, or inlets, is proposed to be opened. Such application shall be made upon the usual notice of motion served upon the owner, or owners, and upon the clerk of the said board of trustees.

§ 5. Upon the return day of said motion the county court shall appoint three disinterested freeholders not interested in the proceedings, nor related in the sixth degree to any person interested therein, who shall be residents of said county but not of said town. They shall take the constitutional oath of office and appoint a time and place within said town at which they shall all meet to hear all persons interested in said proceedings and shall personally examine said property proposed to be taken for said inlet, or inlets, and they may also assess and award damages, if any such there may be, on such proceedings to any person or persons, owning land adjacent or contiguous to the land to be taken for the said inlet, or inlets, if the right of access or egress of persons owning such land may be impaired by the opening of such inlet, or inlets; they may adjourn the proceedings from time to time, issue subpoenas and administer oaths in said proceedings and shall keep minutes of their proceedings

and shall reduce to writing all oral evidence given before them on the subject of assessment of damages; they shall make duplicate certificates of their decisions and shall file the certificate of their decision in the clerk's office of said town and the other certificate with such minutes and evidence in the clerk's office in Suffolk county. Upon the filing of said certificates as herein prescribed the committee of the board of trustees hereinbefore referred to shall proceed to open such inlet, or inlets.

§ 6. The applicant shall cause at least eight days previous written or printed notice to be posted up in not less than three public places in said town specifying as near as may be the course of proposed inlet, or inlets, the tract or parcels of land through which it runs and the time and place of the meeting of said commissioners; such notice shall also in like time be served on the owners and occupants of the land through which said inlet, or inlets is proposed to be opened personally, if they reside in the town; if they do not reside in the town or if personal service cannot be made, service shall be made by mailing a copy of such notice to such owners and occupants at their last known place of residence at least sixteen days before the time fixed for the meeting of the commissioners, and a like copy shall be served on the clerk of said board of trustees at least eight days before the time fixed for such meeting.

§ 7. The commissioners so appointed shall be paid at the rate of four dollars per day and they shall also be allowed their necessary costs and disbursements.

§ 8. Upon filing the report of said commissioners as hereinbefore set forth, the committee of the board of trustees hereinbefore referred to shall proceed to dig out and open the inlet, or inlets, along the course prescribed. The costs and expenses of the commission incurred in viewing the property and digging said inlet, or inlets, the damages to owner, or owners, and the cost of survey, of said inlet, or inlets, shall be a charge upon the town of Southampton to be levied, collected, audited and paid in the same manner as are bills in proceedings to lay out a highway and the damages awarded to the owner, or owners, of the land through which the said inlet, or inlets, is proposed to be opened shall be a charge upon the town of Southampton, to be levied, collected, audited and paid in the same manner as are bills in proceedings to lay out and open a highway.

§ 9. Costs and expenses of digging and opening the said inlet, or inlets, of maintaining and keeping the same in repair and of closing and reopening the same shall be borne by public subscription but the electors of Southampton town may, and they are hereby authorized to, at any regular or special town meeting, vote upon a proposition submitted in the manner in which propositions in the town law are required to be submitted to raise money for town purposes, to be raised by tax on the taxable property of said town, any sum not exceeding one thousand dollars in any one year for the digging, maintaining, closing and reopening of said inlet or inlets.

§ 10. If property has once been condemned for the purposes of an inlet, or inlets, as hereinbefore prescribed, said inlet, or inlets, may be closed by order of the board of trustees of Southampton town whenever in their judgment they may deem the closing of said inlet, or inlets, necessary for the promotion of public interests and in case the said board of trustees, after said condemnation proceedings, may deem it necessary that said inlet, or inlets, be reopened they may be reopened along the same course and places in which they heretofore existed, by a compliance with the provisions set forth in sections one and two of this act without further condemnation proceedings.

§ 11. Money raised by public subscription shall be expended for digging, maintaining, closing or reopening said inlet, or inlets, by, or under the direction, of, the committee of the board of trustees hereinbefore referred to, and when money shall be raised by tax for the purpose of said inlet, or inlets, it shall be turned over to the supervisor of said town to be paid by him to said committee when they may require the same, and shall be accounted for by the supervisor of said town and shall be accounted for by said committee in the same manner as other town expenses are accounted for. The provisions of this act apply to Quanteck canal, Shinnecock bay, inlet, or inlets, from the ocean and Mecox inlet or inlets from the ocean.

§ 12. It shall not be lawful for any person, or persons, to make or attempt to make, any inlet from the ocean to either of said bays, or any outlet from either of said bays to the ocean, at any other time or place than such as shall be fixed and determined by said trustees or such committees, or otherwise than under and in pursuance of the authority and direction of said trustees or such committees. Every person offending against the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

## 404.] ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTIETH SESSION.

845

§ 13. It shall be lawful for the said trustees, whenever in their judgment it may be necessary, in order to secure a sufficient inlet into Shinnecock bay as aforesaid to dam up or otherwise close the canal or drain leading from said Shinnecock bay to Quanteck bay or authorize the same to be dammed up or otherwise closed, and to open the same, and to that end they may authorize the construction of a suitable gate. It shall be unlawful for any person, or persons, to dam up or otherwise close the said canal or drain, or to open the same, except by authority of the said trustees. Every person offending against the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

§ 14. So much of chapter twenty-nine of the laws of eighteen hundred and seventy-three, entitled "An act to authorize the construction of a canal or drain from Shinnecock bay into Quanteck bay in the county of Suffolk," as authorizes the commissioners mentioned in that act to dam up the said canal or drain, is hereby repealed.

§ 15. Chapter six hundred and twenty-eight of the laws of eighteen hundred and eighty-seven, entitled "An act to provide for the opening and maintenance of an inlet from the ocean into Shinnecock bay," is hereby repealed.

§ 16. Chapter two hundred and fifty-seven of the laws of eighteen hundred and ninety-two, entitled "An act to provide for the opening and maintenance of inlets from the ocean into Shinnecock bay and into Mecox bay, in the town of Southampton in the county of Suffolk," is hereby repealed.

§ 17. This act shall take effect immediately.

## Chap. 872.

AN ACT to amend chapter two hundred and fifty-seven of the laws of eighteen hundred and ninety-two, entitled "An act to provide for the opening and maintenance of inlets from the ocean into Shinnecock bay and into Mecox bay in the town of Southampton, in the county of Suffolk."

Became a law May 22, 1896, with the approval of the Governor. Passed, a majority being present.

*The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows :*

Act amended.

Section 1. Section one of chapter two hundred and fifty-seven of the laws of eighteen hundred and ninety-two is hereby amended to read as follows:

Location of inlets.

§ 1. It shall be lawful for the trustees of the freeholders and commonalty of the town of Southampton, in the county of Suffolk, from time to time, whenever in their judgment it shall be necessary in order to secure a sufficient inlet from the ocean into Shinnecock bay, or into Mecox bay, or into Sagg pond in said town, to locate or cause to be located the place or places where such inlet or inlets may be dug through the beaches and meadows which separate said bays and said pond from the ocean, and to determine the courses and boundaries thereof; and to that end to appoint, from time to time, one or more committees of their number, of not exceeding three each, to examine and report to them concerning such inlet or inlets, and the places, courses and boundaries thereof. The said trustees and said committee shall have power to enter upon any

Entry upon lands.

premises and make such examinations and surveys as shall be necessary and proper to enable them to discharge their duties in the premises; provided, however, that the consent of the owner in fee or leasehold of such premises must first be obtained by such trustees or committee. If any question shall arise between the said trustees, or the committee, and the owner or owners of property through which it is proposed to dig such inlet in case they can not agree upon the compensation to be given to such owner or owners therefor, then and in that case all property rights taken by said trustees or committee for the purposes of this act are hereby declared to be for public purposes, and shall be compensated for through pro-

Proviso.

Appoint-ment of commis-sioners of appraisal.

**CHAP. 876.] ONE HUNDRED AND NINETEENTH SESSION. 1143**

ceedings taken on behalf of the trustees of the freeholders and commonalty of the town of Southampton, who shall apply through their counsel to the supreme court for the appointment of commissioners to ascertain the compensation to be made to the owners of such property, or the property rights so taken, and such proceedings and all proceedings subsequent thereto shall, so far as possible, be regulated by the provisions of the code of civil procedure, known as the condemnation law.

§ 2. Section three of said chapter two hundred and fifty-seven of the laws, of eighteen hundred and ninety-two is hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 3. It shall not be lawful for any person or persons to make or attempt to make, any inlet from the ocean to either of said bays or to said pond, or any outlet from either of said bays or from said pond, to the ocean, at any other time or place than such as shall be fixed and determined by said trustees or such committees, or otherwise than under and in pursuance of the authority and direction of said trustees or such committees. Every person offending against the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

§ 3. This act shall take effect immediately.

---

**Chap. 876.**

AN ACT in relation to the Wallabout Market lands, in the city of Brooklyn.

Accepted by the city.

Became a law May 23, 1896, with the approval of the Governor. Passed, three-fifths being present.

*The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:*

Section 1. The commissioner of city works of the city of Brooklyn is hereby authorized to prepare plans and specifications for all constructions, whether of canals, basins, bulkheads, wharves, piers, buildings, or any other that he may deem necessary for the improvement of the Wallabout market lands, lying on both sides of Washington avenue, now owned or hereafter to be acquired by said city, and after the approval of the mayor of said city to said plans, and with the written consent of the mayor to such action, he may advertise for said work and award

MECOX 1892

Petition before contract.

§ 2. No contract for the lighting of the streets, avenues, highways, public places or buildings in any lamp or lighting district established under this act shall be made, unless a petition for such lighting, signed by not less than twenty-five of the taxable inhabitants of said district, or a majority of the taxpayers thereof, shall have been filed with the town clerk at least thirty days before entering into any such contract.

Notice of filing petition and action thereon.

§ 3. The town board, upon the filing of such petition, shall cause notice of the same to be published for two weeks in one or more of the newspapers published in such town, or if no newspapers be published therein, then by posting said notice in at least six public or conspicuous places in said district of the filing of said petition, and of the time and place when the same will be acted upon by said town board. Said notice to be published or posted shall, in addition to the requirements above mentioned, contain a description of the lighting or lamp districts.

Annual tax for contract.

§ 4. The amount of any contract that may be entered into pursuant to the provisions of this act, shall be assessed, levied and collected upon the taxable property in said district in the same manner, at the same time, and by the same officers as the town taxes, charges or expenses of the town in which said district is located are now assessed, levied and collected, and shall be paid over by the supervisor to the corporation, company, person or persons furnishing or supplying said light.

§ 5. This act shall take effect immediately.

CHAP. 256.

AN ACT to establish the compensation of the county judge of the county of Oneida.

APPROVED by the Governor April 8, 1892. Passed, three-fifths being present.

*The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:*

SECTION 1. The annual salary of the county judge of Oneida county, from and after the first day of January, in the year eighteen hundred and ninety-three, is hereby fixed at the sum of two thousand dollars.

§ 2. This act shall take effect immediately.

CHAP. 257.

AN ACT to provide for the opening and maintenance of inlets from the ocean into Shinnecock bay and into Mecox bay, in the town of Southampton in the county of Suffolk.

APPROVED by the Governor April 8, 1892. Passed, three-fifths being present.

*The People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:*

Location of inlets by town.

SECTION 1. It shall be lawful for the trustees of the freeholders and commonalty of the town of Southampton in the county of Suffolk, from time to time, whenever in their judgment it shall be necessary to secure a sufficient inlet from the ocean into Shinnecock

RECEIVED  
MAY - 6 2002

bay, or into Mecox bay in said town, to locate or cause to be located the place or places where such inlet or inlets may be dug through the beaches and meadows which separate said bays from the ocean, and to determine the courses and boundaries thereof; and to that end to appoint, from time to time, one or more committees of their number, of not exceeding three each, to examine and report to them concerning such inlet or inlets, and the places, courses and boundaries thereof. The said trustees and said committee shall have power to enter upon any premises and make such examinations and surveys as shall be necessary and proper to enable them to discharge their duties in the premises.

§ 2. The said trustees also shall have power to authorize the said committees or either of them to take charge of the work of digging through the said beaches and meadows, and to fix the time when the said inlet or inlets shall be actually opened.

§ 3. It shall not be lawful for any person or persons to make or attempt to make, any inlet from the ocean to either of said bays, or any outlet from either of said bays to the ocean, at any other time or place than such as shall be fixed and determined by said trustees or such committees, or otherwise than under and in pursuance of the authority and direction of said trustees or such committees. Every person offending against the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

§ 4. It shall be lawful for the said trustees, whenever in their judgment it may be necessary, in order to secure a sufficient inlet into Shinnecock bay as aforesaid, to dam up or otherwise close the canal or drain leading from said Shinnecock bay to Quanteck bay, or authorize the same to be dammed up or otherwise closed, and to open the same, and to that end they may authorize the construction of a suitable gate. It shall be unlawful for any person or persons to dam up or otherwise close the said canal or drain or to open the same, except by authority of the said trustees. Every person offending against the provisions of this section shall be guilty of a misdemeanor.

§ 5. So much of chapter twenty-nine of the laws of eighteen hundred and seventy-three, entitled "An act to authorize the construction of a canal or drain from Shinnecock bay to Quanteck bay in the county of Suffolk" as authorizes the commissioners mentioned in that act to dam up the said canal or drain, is hereby repealed.

§ 6. Chapter six hundred and twenty-eight of the laws of eighteen hundred and eighty-seven, entitled "An act to provide for the opening and maintenance of an inlet from the ocean into Shinnecock bay," is hereby repealed.

§ 7. This act shall take effect immediately

Committees therefor.

Charge of construction.

Right to make inlets vested in trustees. etc., only.

Right to dam or close up canal.

Erection of gate and control of canal.

Repeal.

Repeal.

n  
n  
it  
nd  
nd  
k,  
ry  
ck

CHAP. 283.

AN ACT declaring the Powers and Duties of the Trustees of the Freeholders and Commonalty of the Town of Southampton, in the County of Suffolk.

Passed April 25, 1831.

The People of the State of New-York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:

Corporation created.

§ 1. The trustees of the freeholders and commonalty of the town of Southampton, in the county of Suffolk, are and shall continue to be a corporation, and they shall be elected as heretofore, at the annual town-meetings.

Meeting of trustees.

§ 2. The said trustees shall meet at the place where elected, on the first Tuesday after such election, at one o'clock in the afternoon, and choose one of their number president, and another clerk, and may adjourn to such time and place as they shall think proper.

President.

§ 3. The president shall preside at the meetings of the trustees, but shall have no other than a casting vote; he may call meetings of the trustees at such time and place as he may think proper and necessary, and it shall be his duty, whenever required by any three of the trustees, to call such meetings by giving such previous notice as the trustees shall by their by-laws direct. The trustees may elect a chairman pro tempore in the absence of the president.

Clerk.

§ 4. The clerk shall enter in a book to be provided by the trustees, all rules, orders and by-laws which shall be made and adopted by the said trustees; he shall be the treasurer of the trustees, and the said trustees may at their pleasure remove the president and clerk, and appoint others from their own body in their stead; every officer elected or appointed under this act shall hold their offices for one year and until others are elected or appointed in their stead, unless removed as above provided; the trustees may appoint a clerk pro tempore in the absence of the clerk; the funds arising from fines, penalties and forfeitures, and the management of the property and franchises of the town, shall be appropriated and applied as the trustees may deem most proper to promote the interest of the said town.

Fisheries, &c.

§ 5. The said trustees shall have the sole control over all the fisheries, fowling, sea wood, waters and productions of the waters within the said town, not the property of individuals, and all the property, commodities, privileges and franchises granted to them, by the charter of Governor Dongan, in the thousand six hundred and eighty-six, except so far as are abo-

gated, changed and altered by the laws of this state, passed in conformity to the constitution and not now belonging to individuals nor to the proprietors, by virtue of an act entitled "An act relative to the common and undivided lands and marshes in Southampton, in the county of Suffolk," passed April 16th, 1818; and they shall have power to make rules, orders and by-laws for the management thereof and the regulation of their affairs, and to impose such penalties on any person offending against such rules, orders or by-laws, or any of them, as they shall deem meet and proper; such penalty shall not exceed the sum of fifty dollars for any one offence; which penalty may be sued for and recovered in an action of debt, with costs, in the name of the said trustees, in their corporate capacity, in any court having cognizance thereof.

## CHAP. 284.

*AN ACT to extend the time for filling up the Stock of the Merchants' Exchange Bank of the City of New-York.*

Passed April 25, 1831.

*The People of the State of New-York, represented in Senate and Assembly, do enact as follows:*

§ 1. The act entitled "An act to incorporate the president, directors and company of the Merchants' Exchange Bank in the city of New-York," passed April 20th, 1829, and all the parts and provisions of said act are hereby revived, and shall hereafter have the same force and effect as if the said act was first passed at the time of the passage hereof, subject to such alterations and provisions as are in this act prescribed and contained.

§ 2. The aforesaid commissioners, with six other persons to be chosen by the stockholders in the manner specified in the act hereby revived, shall be directors for the first year.

§ 3. The subscription books shall be opened anew by said commissioners, and the subscriptions heretofore made to the stock of said corporation shall be considered invalid and of no effect; and in case there should be subscriptions to said stock within the first three days in which the said subscription books shall be re-opened, to more than the amount of said stock, it shall be the duty of the said commissioners to apportion the same among the subscribers thereto, in such manner as a majority of them shall deem most advantageous to the interest of the institution, but no person who shall have subscribed for twenty shares or upwards, shall upon such distribution receive less than twenty shares, unless the subscriptions for the said

# CHAPTER 155 of Laws of 1818

## CHAPTER CIV

### AN ACT relative to the common and undivided land and meadows in Southampton, in the county of Suffolk

Passed April 16, 1818

Trustees to be appointed

I. *Be it enacted by the people of the state of New York, assembled in senate and assembly,* That it shall and may be lawful for the proprietors of the undivided lands and meadows held by them as tenants in common in the town of Southampton county of Suffolk and state of New York to meet on the first Tuesday in May next at the meeting house in Southampton aforesaid and annually thereafter on the first Tuesday in April at such time and place as a majority of the said proprietors present shall determine and at every such meeting the said proprietors or a majority of them or them or shall be present in person or by attorney or attorney authorized and empowered to that end for the term of one year or until others are chosen not less than six nor more than twelve persons being proprietors trustees to manage all the undivided lands meadows and mill streams in said town of Southampton which trustees shall meet immediately after the annual meeting of the proprietors and shall adjourn from time to time as they shall think proper.

Power of Trustees

II. *And be it further enacted* That the said trustees shall have the same power to superintend and manage the undivided lands meadows and mill streams aforesaid as the trustees of the meadowholder and commonalty of the town of Southampton now have and shall have full power to sell lease or to partition and to make such rules and regulations and by-laws for managing the said lands meadows and mill streams and meadows that may hereafter make in the waters of said town that shall not be the property of individuals and also to impose such penalties on any proprietor offending against such rules and regulations or any of them as a majority of them shall judge meet and proper not exceeding twenty five dollars for any one offence which by laws rules and regulations made by the trustees shall be recorded by the clerk to be appointed by said trustees and furthermore the trustees shall at their first meeting annually elect one of their number president who shall preside at their meetings and shall have a casting vote and no others and it shall be the duty of said trustees whenever they may judge it necessary to call a special meeting of the proprietors by advertising the same in four or more conspicuous places in the said town of Southampton at least eight days previous to said meeting and the proceedings of such meeting thus called shall be as good and valid as if done at the annual meeting aforesaid and it shall also be the duty of the president of the trustees to call a meeting of the trustees whenever he may deem it necessary.

Duty of clerk

III. *And be it further enacted* That it shall be the duty of said clerk of the trustees to keep a record of the names of each proprietor and the amount of right to him or her belonging and to make transfers of the same and no person shall be entitled to a vote in

any meeting of said proprietors without their names are entered on said record.

IV. *And be it further enacted* That the said trustees shall have <sup>Penalties</sup> power to sue for and recover for the use of said proprietors all such penalties as may be incurred by breach of any rule, regulation or by law made as aforesaid, and shall have full power and authority to prosecute, bring and maintain suits for all trespasses which may hereafter be committed on the said lands, meadows and mill streams by any person or persons. <sup>Proviso</sup> *Provided nevertheless* that nothing in the above recited act shall be construed to give the proprietors or their trustees any power to make any laws, rules or regulations concerning the waters (other than mill streams) the fisheries, the sea-weed, or any other productions of the waters of said town, or to any manner or way to deprive the inhabitants of said town from the privilege of taking sea-weed from the shore of any of the common lands of said town, or cutting or transporting to or from, or landing property on said shores, in the manner heretofore practised, which waters, fisheries, sea-weed and productions of the waters, shall be managed by the trustees of the freeholders and commonalty of the town of Southampton for the benefit of said town, as they had the power to do before the passing of this act. <sup>Further proviso</sup> *Repealed further* that nothing herein contained shall in any manner affect or alter the right, title or interest of any person, or the inhabitants of said town to any of the before mentioned premises.

CHAPTER VI.

AN ACT for the relief of the Onondaga Indians.

Passed April 15 1818.

WHEREAS by a treaty entered into by commissioners on the part of the state of New York and the chiefs and warriors of the Onondaga tribe of Indians, the twenty eighth day of July, in the year one thousand seven hundred and ninety five, it was stipulated that the said tribe should receive an annual annuity of two thousand dollars per year, one thousand of which sum was to be paid at Canandaigua and the residue at Onondaga, but the recent removal of almost the whole tribe to Onondaga renders it necessary that the whole sum should be paid at Onondaga. *And whereas* the said chiefs and warriors have petitioned that the whole sum may be paid at Onondaga in order that a more equitable distribution may be made. Therefore

*Be it enacted by the People of the State of New York, represented in Senate and Assembly*, That the person whose duty it shall be to pay the annual annuity to the Onondaga Indians, shall, instead of paying one thousand dollars at Canandaigua, pay all the money due or which may hereafter become due, to the said tribe at Onondaga, any usage or custom to the contrary notwithstanding.

**APPENDIX E**

**Implementation Timeline**

<b>MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE</b>				
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTIONS (2018-2020)</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>	<b>TARGET OBJECTIVES (2019-2023)</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>OUTCOME (2023)</b>
<b>Mecox Bay Management Plan Adoption</b>				
<i>By December 2018, complete agency review and any needed revision to September 2018 Draft Mecox Bay Management Plan.</i>	<i>Board of Trustees, Town of Southampton, NYSDEC, USFWS, Army Corps of Engineers</i>	<i>The plan needs to align with federal, state, and local government policies and regulations. Operate in accordance with interim agreed upon plan standards and criteria for inlet opening and closure, in coordination with NYSDEC, USFWS and Army Corps, and pursuant to needed permits and approvals, until plan is formally adopted by Trustees and Town.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	<i>Implement a management plan for Mecox Bay, that preserves its unique biodiversity, beauty, culture, identity, recreational assets, storm protection benefits and economic values for generations to come.</i>
<i>Release plan to the public in fall 2018.</i>	<i>Trustees,</i>	<i>Release of the plan to the public will allow for independent review and further consideration of environmental, social, cultural and economic factors that drive adoption of plan and recommendations.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	
<i>Commence and complete SEQR (State Environmental Quality Review Act) review.</i>	<i>Trustees, NYSDEC</i>	<i>Compliance with all state requirements, as such demonstration is fundamental to plan adoption and implementation.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	
<i>Commence and complete NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) review, if required.</i>	<i>Army Corps, USFWS</i>	<i>Compliance with all federal laws, as deemed essential, to implement plan.</i>	<i>Federal funds.</i>	
<i>Hold Public hearings, complete SEQR review and finalize Mecox Bay Management Plan.</i>	<i>Board of Trustees</i>	<i>Input, support and partnerships across all sectors, inclusive of government and stakeholders, will be key to finalizing the plan and meeting community objectives.</i>	<i>Trustees,</i>	
<i>By August 2019, Adopt Mecox Bay Management Plan.</i>	<i>Board of Trustees</i>	<i>Adopt a joint Trustees/Town plan that collectively builds upon and achieves a consensus among federal, state and local agencies, landowners, research and conservation groups, as to how to best manage Mecox Bay.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	

<b>MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE</b>				
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTIONS (2018-2020)</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>	<b>TARGET OBJECTIVES (2019-2023)</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>OUTCOME (2023)</b>
<b>Federal and State Agency Issued Permits and Approvals</b>				
<i>By 2019,commence application to NYSDEC and Army Corps and obtain ten year inlet maintenance permits, tidal wetland permit, state-issued water quality certification, coastal consistency review and Department of the Army Nationwide General Permit.</i>	<i>Board of Trustees, NYSDEC, Department of State, and New York District of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</i>	<i>Issuance of required ten year state and federal inlet maintenance permits is the highest level of agreement that is needed by the Trustees and the Town, in order to proceed with future planned inlet openings and closures consistent with plan recommendations.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Provide for continued long lasting meeting of inter-agency goals and objectives for Mecox Bay.</i>
<i>Obtain emergency authorizations from NYSDEC, if conditions warrant inlet opening/closure, prior to obtaining 10 year inlet maintenance permit.</i>	<i>Board of Trustees, NYSDEC and Army Corps</i>	<i>Multi-sectoral assessment of emergency threats will facilitate response and provide mechanism for meeting plan goals, with respect to protection of human life, health and welfare, as well as living resources.</i>	<i>Trustees,</i>	
<i>Complete Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) consultation with USFWS, if needed, as part of federal permit authorization.</i>	<i>Board of Trustees, Town, NYSDEC, Army Corps, USFWS</i>	<i>Compliance with all federal laws, as deemed essential, to implement plan.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town, federal funds</i>	
<i>Regularly monitor management actions and standards, for their effectiveness in achieving plan goals. Update plan, as needed, to achieve long term plan goals.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Adaptation and improvement of management recommendations and actions, by evaluation of new scientific evidence and emerging issues related to management response, on a five year cycle, will enhance plan effectiveness in achieving priority goals.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	
<b>Monitoring of Inlet and Barrier Beach Geomorphology</b>				
<i>Survey NAVD measurements for low, normal, and high bay and update depth gauges.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Determine accurate bay heights to trigger opening of inlet</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	<i>Assurance that possible threats to ocean beaches and Mecox Bay are being addressed based upon the best available science.</i>
<i>Monitor and periodically survey bathymetry in bay shoals to estimate volume changes.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>On-going sophisticated modeling of changes in bay and beach geomorphology is key to understanding bay, inlet and barrier dynamics and processes, as well as to responsible decision making with respect to planned inlet openings and closures.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	

<b>MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE</b>				
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTIONS (2018-2020)</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>	<b>TARGET OBJECTIVES (2019-2023)</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>OUTCOME (2023)</b>
<i>Monitor, survey and/or GPS map dry sand beach widths along proximate ocean beaches. Calculate and quantify littoral sand budget.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town, Bridgehampton-Water Mill Beach Erosion Control District (BECD)</i>	<i>The aim is to ensure that decision making, with regards to mechanical inlet closures, is based upon reliable comparative data and maps of beach conditions, as well as standard agreed upon closure criteria.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	
<i>GPS average high water and seaward dune toe lines, within 1500 feet west and east of the inlet, both approximately 4 days prior to and approximately 14 days after inlet opening , to assess, based upon comparative data, whether dry beach width has decreased in width by 50% or greater.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Data collection will serve as one of the primary catalysts for deciding whether or not there are observed severe changes in channel meandering or ocean beach width.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	
<i>Complete pre and post storm damage assessments, to assess changes in inlet and barrier beach geomorphology, and whether planned inlet openings or closures may be beneficial in addressing impacts.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Investments in pre and post storm damage assessments, surveys, and photo documentation will allow for an accurate assessment of how flood shoal and ocean beach conditions change, which is essential for inlet management positions, and, in the case of engineered beaches, may well position the Town and Bridgehampton-Water Mill beach erosion control district (BECD) for federal assistance for repair, in the event of federal disaster declarations.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	
<i>GPS map inlet location, width and configuration.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>These data sets will continue to be a key component in modeling inlet behavior, as a consequence of natural and manmade openings, and in deciding whether excessive inlet meandering and erosion threats warrant mechanical closure.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	
<b>Re-nourishment of Mecox Bay Barrier Beaches</b>				
<i>Evaluate potential for dredging and re-use of overwash material for re-nourishment of barrier beaches.</i>	<i>Trustees, NYSDEC, Army Corps, USFWS</i>	<i>Better mechanisms need to be in place for deciding when excavation and return of inlet overwash deposits back to ocean beaches is warranted.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Beach conditions remain in good condition with a stable to improving trend.</i>

<b>MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE</b>				
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTIONS (2018-2020)</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>	<b>TARGET OBJECTIVES (2019-2023)</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>OUTCOME (2023)</b>
<i>Re-nourish ocean beach, within bounds of Trustees easement, with compatible sands dredged from shoal, if deemed warranted and permitted.</i>	Trustees	<i>Such pilot approach to ocean beach re-nourishment would warrant consideration, only in extreme circumstances, where there has been a significant loss of sand to the flood shoal and great risk of storm damage to the mainland or ocean front homes, as a result of a tropical cyclone or major nor'easter.</i>	Trustees, Town, private funds	
<i>Re-nourish ocean beaches with trucked in compatible sand.</i>	Private landowners, BECD	<i>Utilization of trucked in sand of a compatible grain size for beach re-nourishment purposes can prove to be a viable practicable alternative, where access to and use of flood shoal sand is environmentally denied.</i>	Town, private funds	
<i>Re-nourish privately-owned area barrier beaches with sand dredged from offshore borrow sites.</i>	BECD, Town	<i>Building on the success of the 2013 BECD project, supplemental sand could be sought, for re-nourishing ocean beaches, along the Mecox Bay barrier, with little potential for negative environmental impacts.</i>	Private funds	
<i>Re-nourish town-owned ocean beaches with emergency stockpiled sand.</i>	Town, Trustees	<i>Off-season emergency stockpiles will be established at area town-owned beach parking lots and the east end of Flying Point Road, for use in mechanical inlet closures, conditioned upon removal, prior to Memorial Bay to prevent loss of needed summer vehicular parking. Reserves of sand could be deposited for re-nourishment of town-owned beaches prior to restricted bird season.</i>	Trustees, Town	

<b>MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE</b>				
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTIONS (2018-2020)</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>	<b>TARGET OBJECTIVES (2019-2023)</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>OUTCOME (2023)</b>
<i>Inlet Openings</i>				
<i>Continue to allow for natural inlet openings, without human interference, unless bay conditions warrant intervention.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>The natural hydrodynamics of the inlet and bay barrier processes make Mecox Bay one of the richest complex coastal ecosystems in the Town. Seasonal erosion and accretion of ocean beaches, together with overwashes and input of sediments to the bay, are integral to the area's sustainability and evolution. A policy of avoiding human intervention, as much as is practicable, is therefore warranted.</i>	<i>No funding necessary.</i>	<i>The costs and benefits of natural and planned inlet openings will continue to be weighed, in the interest of preserving water quality and living resources, while avoiding undue adverse impacts on bay and inlet hydrodynamics and storm related damages.</i>
<i>Proceed with decision-making with regards to necessity for and timing of planned inlet openings, based upon plan recommendations and criteria.</i>	<i>Trustees, NYSDEC, Army Corps, USFWS</i>	<i>Planning for inlet openings based upon collectively agreed upon principles, standards and environmental triggers.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	
<i>Excavate/dredge inlet channel within designated 250 feet wide central corridor, to restore aquatic habitat and protect water quality, in accordance with state and federal approvals.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Standardizing protocols and criteria for planned inlet openings will lessen risks of adverse impact related to excessive channel meandering.</i>	<i>Trustees/Town Community Preservation Fund (CPF) Water Quality Funds</i>	
<i>Monitor inlet opening to ensure active floodway remains within limited central corridor and does not migrate or meander excessively to the east or west.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Field observations, together with GPS mapping of inlet location, size, and configuration, provides information critical to deciding whether active inlet management actions need to be taken.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	
<i>Stockpile and/or re-use and grade dredged material within inlet corridor, as part of inlet dredging.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Any sand, which is excavated from inlet and stockpiled within channel corridor, will be graded, consistent with natural morphology and contours of flood shoal, outside restricted shorebird season, to avoid adverse impacts to listed species and habitats.</i>	<i>Town CPF Water Quality Funds</i>	
<i>Create off-site emergency stockpiles using sand dredged from channel, as part of inlet dredging</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Creation of off-site emergency stockpiles aids in emergency preparedness and avoids possible adverse impacts to listed species.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	

<b>MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE</b>				
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTIONS (2018-2020)</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>	<b>TARGET OBJECTIVES (2019-2023)</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>OUTCOME (2023)</b>
<b>Manage Floodway to Mimic Natural Overwashes and Encourage Inlet Openings within Designated Centrally Located 250 feet wide Corridor</b>				
<i>Construct and maintain 25 feet wide berm or nearly horizontal elevated plateau of sand with 1 on 15 slope, within channel corridor and aligned with Dune Road, outside of restricted shorebird season, consistent with management plan and required agency approvals.</i>	Trustees	<i>Underpinning this strategy is the need to encourage, without adverse impacts to endangered species, natural inlet openings to occur, within a 250 feet wide centrally located corridor, lessening the potential for erosive impacts to dunes, as well as effects on ocean beaches to the west and east of the inlet.</i>	Trustees	<i>The inlet will continue to be protected and managed in a manner which lessens potential for storm damage and other adverse impacts.</i>
<b>Monitor and Maintain Water Quality.</b>				
<i>By 2019, fabricate, install and maintain in-situ moored and fixed real time water quality sensors in Mecox Bay, to monitor bay water levels, dissolved oxygen, salinity, nitrates and blue green algae, as triggers for mechanical inlet openings.</i>	Trustees, Town and Stony Brook University School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences (SoMAS)	<i>By 2023, obtain five years of water quality monitoring data, in order to assess effectiveness of inlet openings and closures in achieving water quality improvement goals, to establish pollutant load reduction targets, and prioritize where management actions are needed.</i>	Town CPF Water Quality Funds	<i>Restored water quality, as a consequence of collective efforts to change land use practices and management of the bay and inlet based upon best available scientific evidence and advice.</i>
<i>Protect and restore surface waters and aquatic habitats through wetland permitting and other regulatory frameworks</i>	Trustees, Town, NYSDEC, Army Corps	<i>Taking a strong stand, with regards to requiring adherence to wetland regulations, is vital to maintaining and improving water quality and aquatic habitats.</i>	Trustees, Town	
<i>Continue to purchase and preserve key environmentally sensitive wetlands, coastal habitats and watershed lands</i>	Town	<i>Continued investment in wetland, shoreland and watershed preservation is viewed as essential in order to maintain and enhance water quality and biodiversity.</i>	Town CPF Monies	
<i>Require and encourage septic system upgrades, within the Mecox Bay watershed, including landward relocation in areas vulnerable to inundation and installation of nitrogen reducing innovative alternative on-site wastewater treatment systems (I/A OWTS), through wetlands permit and septic system rebates.</i>	Town, County of Suffolk	<i>Over successive decades, the quality of water entering Mecox Bay from land use would have no significant adverse impacts related to nitrogen inputs.</i>	Town CPF Water Quality Funds and County Septic Rebates and low interest loans.	

<b>MECOX BAY MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION TIMELINE</b>				
<b>RECOMMENDED ACTIONS (2018-2020)</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCY</b>	<b>TARGET OBJECTIVES (2019-2023)</b>	<b>FUNDING</b>	<b>OUTCOME (2023)</b>
<i>Implement aquatic habitat restoration projects.</i>	<i>Town, Trustees</i>	<i>Achieve a net gain in wetlands, which are essential for filtration of contaminants.</i>	<i>CPF Water Quality Funds</i>	
<i>Implement best management practices to foster water quality improvements.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town, Private Landowners</i>	<i>BMPs will help address all land-based sources of water pollution system-wide, including from residential and agricultural lands, as well as public facilities and roads.</i>	<i>Public and private funding sources</i>	
<i>Mechanically open inlet when bay water levels are excessive and when there is documented flooding of septic systems and basements.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Avert threats to human life, health and welfare as a consequence of home inundations, septic effluent pollution and harmful algal blooms (HABs), by managing inlet openings to allow for adequate water flows and flushing.</i>	<i>CPF Water Quality funds</i>	
<i>Open inlet when salinity falls below 8 parts per thousand (ppt) and persists at this level for a minimum of three days.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Maintenance of salinity levels is directly linked to the continued health and sustainability of commercially important bay shellfisheries, most notably American oyster grounds.</i>	<i>CPF Water Quality Funds</i>	
<i>Open inlet when dissolved oxygen (DO) falls below 3 mg/l and persists at this level for a minimum of three days.</i>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Sharp declines in DO need to be used as a trigger for planned inlet openings, to avoid hypoxia, fish kills and potential adverse impacts to shellfisheries.</i>	<i>CPF Water Quality funds</i>	

<b>Inlet Closures</b>				
<i>Allow for natural closure of inlet, except in cases where there has been excessive meandering of the inlet or greater than 50 % loss in dry sand beach width, west and east of the inlet, based upon comparative surveys or GPS mapping pre and post openings.</i>	Trustees	<i>Unnecessary modification of the Mecox inlet and/or unsupported intervention in the natural hydrodynamics of the inlet and bay barrier processes can have unintended costly environmental and economic costs.</i>	No funding necessary	<i>Natural and mechanical inlet closures will proceed in an environmentally sound manner.</i>
<i>Mechanically close inlet when channel meandering has exceeded 150 feet to either side of the centerline of the designated centrally located 250 feet wide floodway corridor.</i>	Trustees	<i>Implement actions to avoid potential for excessive meandering of the inlet, based upon scientific research, prior observations of inlet behavior and knowledge of potential for adverse impacts to dunes and ocean beaches.</i>	Trustees, Town	
<i>Mechanically close inlet where storm events have shifted excessive amounts of sand from the beach/channel way to the flood shoal.</i>	Trustees	<i>Recognize the possible need for emergency post storm response, based upon damage assessments and develop consensus for when mechanical closure may be necessary in the aftermath of storms, large overwashes and/or severe flooding.</i>	Trustees, Town	
<i>Mechanically close inlet, where there has been a significant loss of dry ocean beach, not related to severe storms or shore hardening structures, where GPS mapping of average high water and seaward dune toe lines, within 1500 feet west and east of the inlet, both approximately 4 days prior to and approximately 14 days after inlet opening, has revealed a decrease in beach width of 50% or more.</i>	Trustees	<i>Build capacity and justification for implementing inlet closures, when warranted due to changes in ocean beach conditions.</i>	Trustees, Town	
<i>Mechanically close inlet, in the event of an approaching tropical cyclone or significant nor'easter, where permitted by emergency authorization and/or state and federal permits.</i>	Trustees, NYSDEC, USACE	<i>Providing a mechanism for closing the inlet, as quickly as possible, in the event of an approaching severe storm, will aid in storm damage reduction and lessen the potential for mainland flooding.</i>	Trustees, Town	
<b>Protect and sustain rare and endangered animals and plants</b>				

<p><i>Continue to survey, document and monitor rare plant and animal occurrences along the Mecox Bay barrier beaches, floodway and sand flats, inclusive of piping plover, least tern, red knot, seabeach amaranth and seabeach knotweed.</i></p>	<p>Trustees, Town, NYSDEC, USFWS</p>	<p><i>Continue to directly engage NYSDEC and USFWS in rare and endangered species monitoring and reporting of occurrences and potential impact assessments, to improve scientific understanding of management issues that potentially threaten sustainability of listed species.</i></p>	<p>Federal, state, Town and Trustees funds</p>	<p><i>Mecox Bay maintains its diversity of rare shorebirds and plants, with a trend towards increased populations and habitats.</i></p>
<p><i>Take protective measures to prevent loss, injury or harassment of listed species, individuals and colonies, including installing symbolic fences, predator exclosures, beach/snow fences and interpretive signage; restricting ORV use, requiring environmental windows for permitted construction, and adhering to required buffers and setbacks.</i></p>	<p>Trustees, Town, NSDEC, USFWS</p>	<p><i>The prescribed endangered species protection mechanisms are already in place and will continue to be delivered by the Trustees, in coordination with the Town, NYSDEC and USFWS.</i></p>	<p>Trustees, Town</p>	
<p><i>Establish protocols for permitting and undertake inlet openings and closures during restricted bird season, when there is an immediate threat to health, welfare, and water quality, pursuant to federal and state emergency authorizations and subject to mitigation conditions.</i></p>	<p>Trustees, NYSDEC, Army Corps, USFWS</p>	<p><i>Any divergence from the prohibition on inlet openings and closures, during the restricted plover and least tern season, and/or within environmental windows set, as needed, to protect red knot, would and can only occur pursuant to state and federal emergency authorizations.</i></p>	<p>Trustees</p>	
<p><i>Maintain morphology of natural floodway, washover deposits, and un-vegetated sand flats, while inhibiting excessive dune building or land aberrations and vegetation colonization.</i></p>	<p>Trustees</p>	<p><i>Inlet habitats will continue to be managed to sustain healthy and diverse populations of listed species.</i></p>	<p>Trustees, CPF Water Quality Funds</p>	
<p><i>Design, grade and maintain inlet closure berm, as a nearly horizontal plateau, with 1 on 15 or gentler slopes, to mimic natural overwash conditions and avoid adverse impact to listed species, with all work performed outside of restricted shorebird season, consistent with management plan criteria.</i></p>	<p>Trustees, NYSDEC, Army Corps, USFWS</p>	<p><i>Pro-active measures, in terms of management of the inlet corridor, can lessen the potential for adverse impacts to ocean beaches and dunes.</i></p>	<p>Trustees</p>	
<p><b>Protect and restore living resources.</b></p>				

<p><b>Protect and restore shellfisheries, inclusive of American oyster grounds, by managing inlet openings to improve flushing, restore aquatic habitats and maintain optimal salinity and DO levels.</b></p>	<p>Trustees</p>	<p><i>Actions which seek to protect and restore shellfisheries result in water quality improvements, as well as ecological and economic benefits for the Town.</i></p>	<p>CPF Water Quality Funds</p>	<p><i>Long term sustainable living resources are maintained and enhanced over successive decades.</i></p>
<p><b>Promote scientific research with regards to occurrences of anadromous fish and viability of restoring anadromous fish runs.</b></p>	<p>Trustees, Sea Grant</p>	<p><i>By 2023, develop and implement plans for anadromous fish recovery, in coordination with Sea Grant.</i></p>	<p><i>Use town funds as leverage for possible grants..</i></p>	
<p><b>Design and implement wetland restoration projects within greater Mecox Bay, inclusive of tributaries and headwaters.</b></p>	<p>Trustees, Town, private landowners</p>	<p><i>Invest in and seek outside funding for prioritized wetland restoration projects.</i></p>	<p><i>Trustees, CPF Water Quality funds, grants and private monies</i></p>	

<b>Improve Coastal Resiliency/Adapt to Climate Change and Rising Sea Levels</b>				
<b><i>Consider scientific evidence that Mecox Bay is under threat from climate change, including rising sea levels, more severe flooding, changes in barrier island morphology, water quality impacts and effects on aquatic habitat health, due to increasing intensity of rain events, storms, nor'easters and hurricanes.</i></b>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	<i>Tackling climate change is vital to the future of Mecox Bay.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	<i>Assurance that Mecox Bay is more resilient to the effects of climate change and will continue to provide significant natural resource, economic and social values for future generations.</i>
<b><i>Expand the scope of the plan to address emerging climate change issues and to improve coastal resiliency</i></b>	<i>Trustees</i>	<i>Keeping abreast of climate change issues will lead to improved strategies for addressing flooding and bay barrier/inlet changes and adaption of management plan as needed.</i>	<i>Trustees, Town</i>	

## LOOKING AHEAD

The Trustees are looking to create enduring solutions to address the need for responsible environmental management, that acknowledges the many challenges and threats facing Mecox Bay. However limited the various inlet models, data bases, and their applications may be today, it is clear that the long history of Trustees stewardship of our waters and wetlands, together with knowledge gained from scientific study of the region, has provided a reliable and multifaceted foundation for effecting favorable future management decisions for Mecox Bay. It is with such promise that both the Trustees will continue to reach out to all stakeholders for their valued feedback, as it is only with the support of the broader diverse community, that we can truly achieve our goal of this Plan.



*Figure 27: Mecox Inlet- closing naturally.*

## REFERENCES

- Gobler, C. 2003. A comprehensive study of Mecox Bay for the Southampton Town Trustees. Southampton College, Long Island University, Southampton, NY, 46 pp.
- Zarillo, GA, and GL Smith. 1986. Dynamics of Mecox Inlet and resulting effects on adjacent beaches. Final Report to Town of Southampton (NY), Marine Sciences Research Center, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY, 26 pp.
- Town of Southampton. 2000. Atlantic Ocean shoreline: draft general environmental impact statement. Southampton, NY, various pages.
- State of New York. 1993. Emergency response to coastal storms. Draft Final Report Volume One, Governor's Coastal Erosion Task Force, Department of State, Albany, NY, 30 pp + appendices.
- State of New York. 1994. Long-term strategy. Final Report Volume Two, Governor's Coastal Erosion Task Force, Department of State, Albany, NY, 206 pp + appendices.
- Flint, RF. 1971. *Glacial and Quaternary Geology*. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 892 pp.
- Taney, NE. 1961a. Geomorphology of the south shore of Long Island, New York. Tech Memo No 128, US Army Corps of Engineers, Beach Erosion Board, Washington, DC, 50 pp. 8) Taney, NE. 1961b Littoral materials of the south shore of Long Island, New York. Tech Memo No 129, US Army Corps of Engineers, Beach Erosion Board, Washington, DC, 59 pp.
- Panuzio, FL. 1968. The Atlantic Coast of Long Island. In Proc 11<sup>th</sup> Coastal Engineering Conf, ASCE, New York, NY, pp 1222-1241.
- Bruun, P, and F. Gerritsen. 1959. Natural bypassing of sand at coastal inlets. Jour. Waterways and Harbor Div, ASCE, New York, NY, Vol. 85(WW4), pp 75-107.
- Keulegan, GH. 1967. Tidal flow in entrances: water level fluctuations of basins in communication with seas. US Army Corps of Engineers, Tech. Bull. 14, Committee on Tidal Hydraulics, Washington, DC.
- Brown, EI. 1928. Inlets on sandy coasts. In Proc. Amer. Soc. Civil Engrs, Vol. 54(1), pp 505-523.
- O'Brien, MP. 1931. Estuary tidal prisms related to entrance areas. Civil Engineering, Vol. 1(8), pp 738-739.
- O'Brien, MP. 1969. Equilibrium flow areas of inlets on sandy coasts. Jour. Waterways and Harbors Div, ASCE, New York, NY, Vol. 95, pp 43-52.
- Jarrett, JT. 1976. Tidal prism-inlet area relationship. GITI Rept No 3, US Army Engineer WES, Vicksburg, MS, 76 pp.
- Maddock, Jr, T. 1969. The behavior of straight open channels with movable beds. US Geological Survey, Professional Paper 622-A, 70 pp.
- Mehta, AH. 1996. A perspective on process related research needs for sandy inlets. Jour Coastal Research, Spec Issue 23, pp 3-21.
- Hayes, MO. 1979. Barrier island morphology as a function of tidal and wave regime. In S Leatherman (ed), *Barrier Islands*, Academic Press, New York, NY, pp 1-26
- CERC. 1984. *Shore Protection Manual*. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition, US Army Corps of Engineers, Coastal Engineering Research Center, Ft Belvoir, VA; US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 2 vols.
- Militello, A, and NC Kraus. 2001. Shinnecock Inlet, New York, site investigation. Report 4 – Evaluation of flood and ebb shoal sediment source alternatives for the west of Shinnecock interim project, New York. Tech Rept CHL-98-32, US Army Engineers Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS, 118 plus appendices.
- Bruun, P, and F. Gerritsen. 1960. *Stability of Coastal Inlets*. North Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 140 pp. 22) Bruun, P. 1978. *Stability of Tidal Inlets: Theory and Engineering*. Elsevier Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 528 pp.
- Hayes, MO. 1967. Hurricanes as geological agents: case studies of hurricanes *Carla*, 1961, and *Cindy*, 1963. Report of Investigations—No 61, Bureau of Economic Geology, Univ. Texas, Austin, 56 pp.
- Leatherman, SP, and RE Zaremba. 1987. Overwash and aeolian processes on a U.S. northeast coast barrier. Journal of Sedimentary Geology, Vol 52, pp 183-206.
- Vogel, MJ, and TW Kana. 1985. Sedimentation patterns in a tidal inlet system, Moriches Inlet, New York. In Proc. 19<sup>th</sup> Intl Coastal Engineering Conf, ASCE, New York, NY, pp 3017-3033.
- CSE. 2012. Shoreline erosion assessment and plan for beach restoration, Sagaponack Beach, New York. Feasibility Report for Sagaponack Erosion Control District, Town of Southampton, New York. CSE, Columbia (SC), 110 pp + appendices.
- Nassau-Suffolk Regional Planning Board. 1973. Seminar on dredging and dredge spoil disposal and coast stabilization and protection. Proceedings sponsored by the Regional Marine Resources Council and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Hauppauge, NY, 117 pp.
- CSE. 2014. 2013–2014 Sagaponack and Bridgehampton beach erosion control districts nourishment project, Southampton, Long Island, Suffolk County (NY). Final Report for Town of Southampton, New York. CSE, Columbia (SC), 79 pp + appendices.
- Pritchard, DW. 1967. What is an estuary: physical viewpoint.. In GH Lauff (ed), *Estuaries*, Publ #83, American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, DC, pp 3-5.
- Anderson, TH, and GT Taylor. 2001. Nutrient pulses, plankton blooms and seasonal hypoxia in western Long Island Sound. Journal of Estuaries, Vol 22, pp 228-243.
- Richardson, K, and BB Jorgensen. 1996. Eutrophication: definition, history, and effects. In BB Jorgensen and K Richardson (eds), *Coastal and Estuarine Studies*, Vol 52, American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, pp 1-19. 32) USEPA. 1979. *Bacteria*.

*Water-Quality Standards Criteria Digest: A Compilation of State/Federal Criteria*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Nixon, SW. 1995. Coastal marine eutrophication – a definition, social causes and future causes. *Ophelia*, Vol 41, pp 199-219.

Glibert, PM. 1988. Primary productivity and pelagic nitrogen cycling. In TH Blackburn and J Sorensen (eds), *Nitrogen Cycling in Coastal Marine Environments*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK, pp 3-31.

Anderson, DM, PM Glibert, and JM Burkholder. 2002. Harmful algal blooms and eutrophication: nutrient sources, composition, and consequences. *Estuaries*, Vol 25(4b), pp 704-726.

Pinckney, JL et al. 1997. Environmental controls of phytoplankton bloom dynamics in the Neuse River Estuary, North Carolina, USA. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, Vol 54, pp 2491-2501.

Graham, LE, and LW Wilcox. 2000. Introduction to the algae – occurrence relationships, nutrition, definition, general features. In T Ryu, L Tarabojkia, and K Dellas (eds), *Algae*, Prentice Hall, NJ, pp 1-21.

USFWS. 2001. 50 CFR Part 7 endangered and threatened wildlife and plants: final determination of critical habitat for wintering piping plovers: final rule. *Federal Register*, Vol 66(132), 10 July 2001, pp 36038-36079.

Urban Harbors Institute and University of Massachusetts- Boston. 2016. Town of Southampton Coastal Resources and Water Protection Plan. Various pages.

Town of Southampton. 2016. Southampton Town CPF Water Quality Improvement Project Plan (WQIPP). Various pages.

CSE. 2018. Monitoring and Analysis of the 2013-2014 Sagaponack & Bridgehampton- Water Mill Beach Erosion Control Districts Nourishment Project- 2017 Beach Monitoring. Various pages.

CSE for the Town of Southampton. 2014. Draft Management Plan for Mecox Bay. Various pages.